Journal of Nephrology & Endocrinology Research

Mini Review

SCIENTIFIC Research and Community



Varicocelectomy in Male Subfertility Treatment: "Updated" Indications for Surgery

Azizbek B Shomarufov

Department of Urology, Tashkent Medical Academy. 2, Farobiy str. 100109, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

We analyzed the recent literature data on varicocelectomy in treatment men from infertile couples. There are still a lot of unresolved issues that require clarification. It is still unclear the exact pathogenesis of testicular malfunction in some men with varicocele. Recent European and American urological guidelines recommend varicocele repair in men from infertile couples with "abnormal" semen parameters. Although, according to the 6th ed. of WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen it is not clear the cut-off values between normal and abnormal semen parameters. Also, still unclear why approximately third part of patients do not experience any improvement after varicocele repair. According to the recent data analysis, total progressively motile sperm count (TMSC) and sperm concentration can be the significant predictors of semen improvement and pregnancy after varicocele repair. However, it should be remarked that the mean quality of existed data from low to moderate. All mentioned issues indicate the necessity of further large-scale multicenter randomized clinical trials.

*Corresponding author

Azizbek B Shomarufov, Department of Urology, Tashkent Medical Academy. 2, Farobiy str. 100109, Tashkent, Uzbekistan. Tel: +99878 150-78-25.

Received: September 23, 2023; Accepted: September 28, 2023; Published: September 30, 2023

Main Body

Varicocele is considered the most common and treatable cause of male subfertility [1]. Varicocele is common in the general healthy male population (present in 15% of healthy men) [2]. Additionally, 35% of men with primary infertility and up to 81% of men with secondary infertility may have a varicocele [3]. Although despite the numerous studies, it is still unclear the exact mechanism of spermatogenesis failure and varicocele. In recent large-scale studies it was shown that men with varicocele had lower sperm concentration and motility than men without varicocele [4,5]. At the same time, the study conducted by Bozhedomov et al. with total 3908 patients (3632 subfertile and 276 fertile) demonstrated almost the similar prevalence of varicocele between fertile and subfertile male groups (29,5% vs 27,2%, OR 1.13) [6].

The American Urological Association (AUA)/American Society of Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) recommend varicocele repair (VR) in case of palpable varicocele in non-azoospermic infertile men with "abnormal" semen parameters [7]. Unfortunately, they do not describe the term "abnormal semen parameters". The European Association of Urology (EAU) also recommends VR in infertile men with a clinical varicocele, abnormal semen parameters, and without other male causes of infertility [1]. Again, the term "abnormal semen" is not defined. Considering the fact that in recent 6th edition of WHO Manual for Human Semen Analysis it is decided to refuse the terms that define different kinds of semen abnormalities, those kind of ambiguities in guidelines become crucial [8]. In addition, as a separate paragraph, EAU recommends not to treat varicocele in infertile men with normal semen parameters [1]. Again, a reasonable question arises: which is a normal sperm, and which is abnormal one?

The recent meta-analyses and RCTs indicated the efficacy of varicocele treatment in terms of semen quality improvement and reproductive function recovery [9-11]. According to E. Persad et al. meta-analysis microsurgical varicocelectomy can be considered the preferred treatment method of clinical varicocele in men with compromised reproductive function [12]. But, there is still questions why VR improves semen quality only in 60-70% of treated men, and real fertility only in 30% of cases [9,13,14].

The results of evidence critical analysis conducted by Shomarufov et al. have shown that the total progressively motile sperm count (TMSC) and sperm concentration are the significant predictors of semen improvement and pregnancy after varicocele repair. For semen improvement alone, scrotal DUS parameters, sperm DFI and bilateral varicocelectomy are reliable predictors of microsurgical varicocelectomy success. They also concluded that despite microsurgical varicocelectomy is the preferred option for varicocele surgical repair, there is still insufficient evidence on predictors of this technique efficacy in terms of pregnancy and live birth rates [15].

So, how should we select which of the patients is recommended to be operated for varicocele and who is not according to semen quality? Moreover, how could we predict which patient will experience improvement after VR and which will not? Maybe we should use other (not routine) semen parameters such as TMSC as a novel indicator of male fertility status and the best predictor of VR efficacy. According to some recent data TMSC is the better indicator of male fertility status than other traditional semen parameters [16,17]. However, where is that "magic" cut-off line Citation: Azizbek B Shomarufov (2023) Varicocelectomy in Male Subfertility Treatment: "Updated" Indications for Surgery . Journal of Nephrology & Endocrinology Research. SRC/JONE-135.

between normal TMSC (or other semen parameters) when VR is not indicated and abnormal TMSC.

Interestingly, according to data of Shomarufov et al. in patients with initial high TMSC the semen quality may even worsen after VR. In their study with 93 included subfertile men with clinical varicocele, semen worsening in 3 months after VR and low pregnancy rates (PR, 12%) were observed in 25 (27%) patients with initially high TMSC (that changed from median of 54 million to 16 million, p<0.001). Simultaneously, the greater improvement of semen quality and PR (46%) were revealed in 48 (52%) patients with relatively low TMSC (that changed from median of 15 million to 105 million, p<0.001) [18].

According to this analysis of recent data, it becomes clear that with the introduction of the new (6th ed.) WHO Manual for Human Semen Analysis, the recent AUA and EAU recommendations seem to be unclear and should to be updated. We can no longer fully rely on these guidelines. Such kind of unresolved challenges requires us to take decisive action in organizing large-scale multicenter (international) randomized clinical trials to determine the threshold values of semen or other clinical parameters when varicocelectomy should be performed. And, until new guidelines are issued clarifying the indications for the treatment of varicocele in subfertile men, we may only be guided by the 2010 WHO Manual for the Study of Human Semen.

References

- 1. Salonia (2022) EAU Guidelines on Sexual and Reproductive Health», Eur. Assoc. Urol 232. https://uroweb.org/guidelines/ sexual-and-reproductive-health.
- 2. U. Levinger, M. Gornish, Y. Gat, G. N. Bachar (2007) Is varicocele prevalence increasing with age? Andrologia 3: 77-80.
- 3. Ira D Sharlip, Jonathan P Jarow, Arnold M Belker, Larry I Lipshultz, Mark Sigman, et al. (2002) Best practice policies for male infertility. 77: 873-782.
- F Pallotti, D Paoli, T Carlini, A R Vestri, G Martino, et al. (2018) Varicocele and semen quality: a retrospective case–control study of 4230 patients from a single centre. J. Endocrinol. Invest 41:185-192.
- Jakob Damsgaard, Ulla N Joensen, Elisabeth Carlsen, Juris Erenpreiss, Martin Blomberg Jensen, et al. (2016) Varicocele Is Associated with Impaired Semen Quality and Reproductive Hormone Levels: A Study of 7035 Healthy Young Men from Six European Countries», Eur. Urol. 70: 1019-1029.
- 6. V A Bozhedomov, A B. Shomarufov, G E Bozhedomova, O A D, D M Kamalov, A A Kamalov (2021) Varicocele and reproductive function: epidemiology and infertility risk (the eamination of 3632 patients. Urologiia 3: 122-128.
- AUA|ASRM (2020) Diagnosis and Treatment of Infertility in Men: AUA/ ASRM Guideline», Am. Soc. Reprod. Med PP: 1-53.
- 8. Florence Boitrelle, Rupin Shah, Ramadan Saleh, Ralf Henkel, Hussein Kandil et al. (2021) the Sixth Edition of the WHO Manual for Human Semen Analysis: A Critical Review and SWOT Analysis», Life 11: 1-13.
- 9. T. A. Abdel-Meguid, A. Al-Sayyad, A. Tayib, H. M. Farsi (2011) Does varicocele repair improve male infertility? An evidence-based perspective from a randomized, controlled trial», Eur. Urol 59: 455-461.
- 10. Abdulaziz Baazeem, Eric Belzile, Antonio Ciampi, Gert Dohle, Keith Jarvi, et al. (2011) Varicocele and male factor infertility treatment: A new meta-analysis and review of the

role of varicocele repair. European Urology 60: 796-808.

- 11. Ashok Agarwal, Rossella Cannarella, Ramadan Saleh, Florence Boitrelle, Murat Gül, et al. (2022) Impact of Varicocele Repair on Semen Parameters in Infertile Men: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis», World J. Mens. Health 40: 1-22.
- 12. Emma Persad, Clare Aa O'Loughlin, Simi Kaur, Gernot Wagner, Nina Matyas, et al. (2021) Surgical or radiological treatment for varicoceles in subfertile men», Cochrane Database Syst 4: CD000479.
- B. Shomarufov (2021) Prediction of reproductive function recovery after microsurgical varicocelectomy in men from infertile couples: Clinical and laboratory predictors», Andrologia 53: e14101.
- K. Almekaty, M. H. Zahran, A. Zoeir, S. Minhas, K. Salem (2019) The role of artery-preserving varicocelectomy in subfertile men with severe oligozoospermia: a randomized controlled study», Andrology 7: 193-198.
- 15. Azizbek B Shomarufov, Vladimir A Bozhedomov, Nikolay I Sorokin, Igor P Matyukhov, Abdukodir A Fozilov, et al. (2023) Predictors of microsurgical varicocelectomy efficacy in male infertility treatment: critical assessment and systematization. Asian J. Androl 25: 21-28.
- Q. Wang, Y. Yu, Y. Liu, L. Wang (2019) Outcome of varicocelectomy on different degrees of total motile sperm count: A systematic review and meta-analysis», Syst. Biol. Reprod. Med 65: 430-436.
- 17. E. Borges (2016) Total motile sperm count: A better way to rate the severity of male factor infertility?, Jornal Brasileiro de Reproducao Assistida, Vol. 20(2). Sociedade Brasileira de Reproducao Assistida 47-48.
- M. Al-Adl (2010) Intra-testicular hemodynamics and seminal parameters in men with oligospermia: Preoperative predictors of improvement after Varicocelectomy. Curr. Urol 4: 182-187.

Copyright: ©2023 Azizbek B Shomarufov. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.