

Theoretical Formulation of the Phobos, Moon of Mars Rate of Altitudinal Loss

Bijay Kumar Sharma

Indian Institute of Technology Patna, India

***Corresponding author**

Bijay Kumar Sharma, Indian Institute of Technology Patna, India

Received: February 06, 2023; **Accepted:** February 22, 2023; **Published:** March 08, 2023

Introduction

It is well established that our Moon is receding from the Earth at the rate of 3.7cm/yr. It is also well established that it is spiraling out until it will get into a geosynchronous orbit [1]. In this futuristic orbit it will be orbiting in 47 days. But what has not been known commonly that Roche's Limit of 18,000 Km lies just beyond the inner Geosynchronous Orbit [2]. At the inner Geosynchronous Orbit, length of month = length of day = 5 hours. At that point of accretion from the circumterrestrial impact generated debris, the fully formed Moon experienced a Gravitational Sling Shot Effect which launched it on an outward expanding spiral path. Gravitational Sling Shot is termed as Planet Fly-by-Gravity-Assist maneuver which is routinely used to boost mission spacecrafts to explore the farthest reach of our Solar System [3-6]. Gravitational sling Shot creates an impulsive torque which gave the orbiting Moon its extra rotational energy with which it continues to spiral out and climb up the potential well created by a much heavier Earth. It was this Gravitational Sling Shot impulsive torque which enabled Charon to spiral out from the inner Geosynchronous Orbit to outer Geosynchronous Orbit where it is in stable equilibrium tidally interlocked with Pluto. If Moon had fallen short of the inner Geosynchronous Orbit it would have been launched on a gravitational runaway inward spiral path to its certain doom. The Phobos is launched on precisely such an inward gravitational runaway collapsing spiral path because it orbits below the synchronous orbit. It is losing its altitude at the rate of 60ft per century which comes out to be 18.29cm/year [www.ozgate.com/infobytes/mars_views.htm]. This paper will arrive at the same rate of altitude loss by applying planetary satellite dynamics.

Phobos-Mars System

Phobos and Deimos are the two moons of Mars. They were discovered by Asaph Hall in 1877. The history of the studies of Mars and its moons are given in Table 1.

Year	Person or Spacecraft	Work done
1659	Christian Huggens	Drew the first sketch of the dark and bright side of Mars.
1780	William Herschel	Noted thin Martian Atmosphere.
1877	Giovanni Schiaparelli	Drew first detailed map of Martian surface.
1900	Percival Lowell	Used Lowell Telescope to make drawing of the canals on Martian Surface.
1965	Mariner 4	Beamed back 20 photos from first flyby of Mars.
1971	Mariner 9	Sent back 7300 images from first ever orbital mission.
1976	Viking 1 & 2	First probes to land on Martian Surface and photograph the terrain.
July 7, 1988	Phobos 1	It failed enroute
July 12, 1988	Phobos 2	It sent 38 high quality images.
1998	Mars Global Surveyor	It is mapping the whole surface of Mars
2003	European Space Agency Mars Express	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It has revealed the volcanic past of Mars; 2. Icy Promethei Planum, the icy south pole of Mars, has been photographed; 3. In 2008 Atmosphere stripping on Mars and Venus are being simultaneously studied by Mars Express and Venus Express.

Phobos is the least reflective body in our Solar System largely constituted of carbonaceous chondrite material called Type-C asteroids (lying in outer part of the Asteroid Belt) and captured early in Solar history. Mars Express has revealed that it is relatively red in colour resembling D-Type Asteroids (lying at the outer edge of the main Asteroid Belt). Phobos is thought to be made of ultra

primitive material containing carbon as well as ice but it has experienced even less geo-chemical processing than many carbonaceous chondrites. Hence Phobos date of capture is kept at more than 2.5 Gy. We will assume the date of capture at 2.5Gy. The synchronous orbit of Phobos is 20,400km. Phobos at an orbital radius of 9380km (about 6000km above the Martian surface) and with an orbital period of 7 hrs 39mins is gradually being drawn inward. Altitudinal loss rate is 1.8m/century as given by Wikipedia and 60ft per century as given by www.ozgate.com/infobytes/mars_views.htm It is estimated that within 50 Myr Phobos will crash into Mars [7]. The New Perspective gives the crash time as 11Myr from now. The altitude loss is at the rate of 20cm per year or 20m per century.

Globe-Orbit Parameters of Phobos& Mars and the Relevant Parameters of Lom/Lod

Table 2: Globe and Orbit Parameters of the Mars-Phobos system [Chaisson & McMillan 1998, Hannu et al 2003, Moore 2002]

	M(kg) Mass of Planet	RM (m) radius of Planet	C (kg-m ²)	a (m)	P1 (solar d)	P2 (solar d)	m(kg) Mass of moon	□M (gm/cc)	□ m (gm/c.c.)
Mars- Phobos	6.4191 ^{^23}	3.397 ^{^6}	2.9634 ^{^36}	9.38 ^{^6}	0.319	1.026	1.1 ^{^19}	3.93	2.0

C= Moment of Inertia around the spin axis of the Planet=(2/5)MR_M²;

a = semi-major axis of the moon;

P₁= satellite’s orbital period;

P₂= planet’s spin period;

It has been shown in Basic Mechanics of Planetary Satellites with special emphasis on Earth-Moon System[http://arXiv.org/abs/0805.0100],

$$\square/\Omega = P_1/P_2 = \text{LOM/LOD} = E.a^{3/2} - F.a^2 \tag{1}$$

where $E = J_T/(BC)$;

$F = (m/(1 + m/M))(1/C)$;

$B = \sqrt{GM(1 + m/M)} = \sqrt{G(m + M)}$;

$J_T = C\square + (m/(1 + m/M))B\sqrt{a}$;

$\square = (2\pi/P_2) = \text{planet’s angular spin velocity}$;

$\Omega = (2\pi/P_1) = \text{satellite’s angular orbital velocity}$;

Roots of LOM/LOD=1 give the two geosynchronous orbits a_{G1} and a_{G2}.

Using Mathematica the two roots are:

a_{G1} = 2.04×10⁷ m = 20,400Km;

a_{G2} = 8.65×10¹⁸ m = 8.65×10¹⁵ Km;

For all practical purposes a_{G2} is infinity and Phobos if it had tumbled beyond a_{G1} it would never evolve out of a_{G1} as already seen in a previous paper [Sharma & Ishwar 2004a,b,c] for very light mass ratio. This same situation exists for the man made satellites around Earth’s geo-synchronous orbit. For man-made satellites 4 there is only one geo-synchronous orbit at 36,000 km above the equator. The other is at infinity.

All the relevant parameters are given in Table 2. As seen from the Table 2 lom/lod by calculation and observation are the same. So we can say that lom/lod equation is correctly derived.

Table 3: Parameters E, F, aG1, aG2, aR, lom/lodcal & lom/lodobs of Mars & Phobos.

	B	Jspin	Jorb	JT	E	F	a _{G1} (m)	a _{G2} (m)	a _R (m)	(□/Ω) _{obs}	(□Ω) _{cal}
M-P	6.54 ^{^6}	2.1005 ^{^32}	2.1898 ^{^26}	2.1005 ^{^32}	1.083 ^{^-11}	3.683 ^{^-21}	2.04 ^{^7}	8.65 ^{^18}	10.4 ^{^6}	0.3109	0.311

Here we give the Mathematica Commands used to arrive at Lom/LOD.

First we substitute the numerical values of E and F in LOM/LOD equation:

$$E \times x^{1.5} - F \times x^2 /. \{E \rightarrow 1.083 \times 10^{-11}, F \rightarrow 3.683 \times 10^{-21}\}$$

The result is:

$$1.08300000000000018 \times 10^{-11} x^{1.5} - 3.68299999999999982 \times 10^{-21} x^2 \tag{2}$$

We use this Equation to determine the theoretical value of LOM/LOD. For this we substitute the present value of semi-major axis of Phobos which is 9.38×10⁶m in Equation 2.

$$1.08300000000000018 \times 10^{-11} x^{1.5} - 3.68299999999999982 \times 10^{-21} x^2 /. x \rightarrow 9.38 \times 10^6$$

The result is: 0.311123103174615378 which concurs with observed LOM/LOD within observational errors.

We will use Equation 2 to deduce the geo-synchronous orbits and gravity resonance points.

By equating Eq. 2 to Unity and determining the roots we obtain a_{G1} and a_{G2} .

$$\text{Solve}[1.08300000000000018^{*11} x^{1.5} - 3.68299999999999982^{*21} x^2 == 1, x]$$

$$\{\{x \rightarrow 2.04290485535965071^{*17}\}, \{x \rightarrow 8.64676140767263667^{*18}\}\}$$

These are the two values of geosynchronous orbits which have been tabulated in Table 2.

By equating Eq.2 to TWO we obtain x_2 the gravity resonance point.

$$\text{Solve}[1.08300000000000018^{*11} x^{1.5} - 3.68299999999999982^{*21} x^2 == 2, x]$$

$$\{\{x \rightarrow 3.24291018020962829^{*17}\}, \{x \rightarrow 8.64676140767263667^{*18}\}\}$$

$x_2 = 3.2429 \times 10^7$ m. At this gravity resonance point Velocity of Recession will be a maxima and hence its derivative will be a zero.

The Kinematics of Mars-Phobos

Now we proceed to determine the velocity of recession/approach and setting up of the orbital integral equation to obtain the transit time from the point of capture which we are assuming to be a_{G1} to the present position of 9.38×10^6 m.

From Sharma & Ishwar 2004a, velocity of recession/approach is:

$$da/dt = (K/a^M)(Ea^2 - Fa^{2.5} - \sqrt{a})(2(1+m/M_{\text{mars}}))/(mB) \quad (3)$$

Expressing Eq. 3 in a more conventional coordinate of x ,

$$dx/dt = (K/x^M)(Ex^2 - Fx^{2.5} - \sqrt{x})(2(1+m/M_{\text{mars}}))/(mB) \quad (4)$$

From now on x refers to the semi-major axis a of the evolving Satellite. There are two unknowns exponent 'M' and structure constant 'K' in Eq.3. Therefore two unequivocal boundary conditions are required for the complete determination of the Velocity of Recession.

First boundary condition is at $x = x_2$ which is a Gravitational Resonance Point where LOM/LOD = 2 [Rubin 1975],

i.e. $Ea^{3/2} - Fa^2 = 2$ has a root at $a_2 = x_2$.

At $a_2 = x_2$ the velocity of recession maxima occurs. i.e. $V(x_2) = V_{\text{max}}$.

$$\text{Therefore at } x=x_2, (dV(x)/dx)(dx/dt)|_{x_2} = 0 \quad (5)$$

Eq.5. simplifies to the form:

$$E(2 - M)x^{1.5} - F(2.5 - M)x^2 - (0.5 - M) = 0 \text{ where } x = x_2 \quad (6)$$

From Eq. 6, M (exponent) is determined.

Using Mathematica Command we obtain exponent M:

$$(-0.5 + M) / x^{0.5} + E \times (2 - M) x - F \times (2.5 - M) x^{1.5} /, \{E \rightarrow 1.083 \times 10^{-11}, F \rightarrow 3.683 \times 10^{-21}\}$$

$$\frac{-0.5 + M}{x^{0.5}} + 1.08300000000000018^{*11} (2 - M) x - 3.68299999999999982^{*21} (2.5 - M) x^{1.5}$$

Since the above equation is zero at x_2 , hence x_2 is substituted and the equation equated to ZERO:

$$\frac{-0.5 + M}{x^{0.5}} + 1.08300000000000018^{*11} (2 - M) x - 3.68299999999999982^{*21} (2.5 - M) x^{1.5} /, x \rightarrow 3.24291018020962829^{*17}$$

$$0.000351207172516702836^{*2} (2 - M) - 6.8014905494453659^{*10} (2.5 - M) + 0.00017560324618382392^{*1} (-0.5 + M)$$

$$\text{Solve}[0.000351207172516702836^{*2} (2 - M) - 6.8014905494453659^{*10} (2.5 - M) + 0.00017560324618382392^{*1} (-0.5 + M) == 0, M]$$

$$\{\{M \rightarrow 3.49999806339270591^{*1}\}\}$$

So we obtain the value of exponent M to be 3.5. Second boundary condition is at $x = x_1$. This is the point where the sling-shot effect peaks and radial acceleration is at its positive maximum value. Mathematically this is known as the point of inflexion where the second time derivative is zero. If first time derivative is defined as follows :

$$A_{\text{radial}}(X) = (dV(x)/dx)(dx/dt) \quad (7)$$

Then the second time derivative of Eq. 7 equated to zero at $x = x_1$ gives the correct value of K (the constant of the Structure Factor).

$$\text{Therefore } (dA_{\text{radial}}(x)/dx)(dx/dt)|_{x_1} = 0 \quad (8)$$

Solution of Eq. 8 gives the correct value of K.

Solution of Eq. 6 gives the value of exponent M and solution of Eq.8 gives the correct value of K. But sling-shot peak point x_1 is not known unequivocally therefore we have to arrive at the correct value of K by iteration method. If the Age of the Planet is known we can be sure that the upper limit of the Age of the Satellite has to be equal or less than the Age of Planet. In our case we have assumed Phobos to be captured 2.5Gy ago. The Transit time in making Non-Keplerian journey from a_{G1} to the present position of the Satellite should be equal to 2.5Gy..

$$\text{Transit time from } a_{G1} \text{ to present day } a = \int [1/V(x)] dx, a_{G1}, a_{\text{present}}$$

Using Eq. 9 and 3, we can arrive at the correct value of K.

Through several iterations we arrive at

$$K = \{\{K \rightarrow 2.72108019350420438^{*138}\}\}$$

In Table 4. the various kinematic parameters are given.

Table 4: Tabulation of Sling-shot point (x1), Gravitational Resonance point (x2) and Structure Factor parameters M, K

	$X_1 (m)$	Lom/lod x1	$X_2 (m)$	M	$K (N - m^{M+1})$
Phobos	2.37^7	1.25	3.24252^7	3.49999	2.7210802^38

5. Determination of the Kinetics, Time Constant of Evolution, Age and Evolution Factor

To determine the time evolution of Phobos orbital path we will have to set up the Velocity of Recession/Approach by substituting the numerical values of different parameters in Equation 4. Following Mathematica Commands are executed for achieving the same:

First the numerical values of E and F are substituted and the velocity expression which is in m/second is converted into m/year by multiplying Eq.4 by 31.5569088×10^6 seconds per year:

$$\begin{aligned} & (K \div x^M) \times (E \times x^2 - F \times x^{2.5} - \sqrt{x}) \times \\ & (2 \times (1 + m/M) \div (mB)) \times 31.5569088 \times 10^6 /. \\ & \{E \rightarrow 1.083 \times 10^{-11}, F \rightarrow 3.683 \times 10^{-21}\} \end{aligned}$$

The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{mB} (6.31138175999999884^{^{\wedge}7} K \\ & (1 + \frac{m}{M}) x^{-M} (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{^{\wedge}11} x^2 - \\ & 3.68299999999999982^{^{\wedge}21} x^{2.5})) \end{aligned}$$

Next mass of Mars m_0 and mass of Phobos m_1 are substituted:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m_1 B} (6.31138175999999884^{^{\wedge}7} K \\ & (1 + \frac{m_1}{m_0}) x^{-M} (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{^{\wedge}11} x^2 - \\ & 3.68299999999999982^{^{\wedge}21} x^{2.5})) /. \\ & \{m_1 \rightarrow 1.1 \times 10^{19}, m_0 \rightarrow 6.4191 \times 10^{23}\} \end{aligned}$$

The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{B} (5.7377181037287297^{^{\wedge}12} \\ & K x^{-M} (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{^{\wedge}11} x^2 - \\ & 3.68300000000000071^{^{\wedge}21} x^{2.5})) \end{aligned}$$

Next the value of B is substituted:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{B} (5.7377181037287297^{^{\wedge}12} \\ & K x^{-M} (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{^{\wedge}11} x^2 - \\ & 3.68300000000000071^{^{\wedge}21} x^{2.5})) /. \\ & B \rightarrow 6.54 \times 10^6 \end{aligned}$$

The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} & 8.77326927175646709^{^{\wedge}19} \\ & K x^{-M} (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{^{\wedge}11} x^2 - \\ & 3.68300000000000071^{^{\wedge}21} x^{2.5}) \end{aligned}$$

Next the value of exponent $M = 3.5$ is substituted:

$$\begin{aligned} & 8.77326927175646709^{^{\wedge}19} \\ & K x^{-M} (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{^{\wedge}11} x^2 - \\ & 3.68300000000000071^{^{\wedge}21} x^{2.5})) /. \end{aligned}$$

$$M \rightarrow 3.5$$

The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x^{3.5}} (8.77326927175646709^{^{\wedge}19} \\ & K (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{^{\wedge}11} x^2 - \\ & 3.68300000000000071^{^{\wedge}21} x^{2.5})) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Next this expression is determined at Gravitational Resonance point by substituting $x = x_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x^{3.5}} (8.77326927175646709^{^{\wedge}19} \\ & K (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{^{\wedge}11} x^2 - \\ & 3.68300000000000071^{^{\wedge}21} x^{2.5})) /. \\ & x \rightarrow 3.24291018020962829^{^{\wedge}7} \end{aligned}$$

The result is:

$$2.57250779183593625^{^{\wedge}41} K \tag{11}$$

Through several iterations we have determined that $V_{max} = 0.007$ m/year. We equate Equation 11 to 0.007 m/yr to obtain the value of K:

$$\text{Solve}[2.57250779183593625^{^{\wedge}41} K == 0.007, K]$$

The result is:

$$\{(K \rightarrow 2.72108019350420438^{^{\wedge}38})\}$$

Next the value of K is substituted in Equation 10 to obtain the complete expression of Velocity of Recession/Approach:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x^{3.5}} (8.77326927175646709^{^{\wedge}19} \\ & K (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{^{\wedge}11} x^2 - \\ & 3.68300000000000071^{^{\wedge}21} x^{2.5})) /. \\ & K \rightarrow 2.72108019350420438^{^{\wedge}38} \end{aligned}$$

The complete radial Velocity of Recession/Approach result is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x^{3.5}} \\ & (2.38727692476555697^{^{\wedge}20} (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{^{\wedge}11} x^2 - \\ & 3.68300000000000071^{^{\wedge}21} x^{2.5})) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Equation 12 will give the Radial Velocity at any point in orbital evolution by substituting the corresponding value of 'x'.

By substituting present semi-major axis of Phobos:

$$\frac{1}{x^{3.5}} (2.38727692476555697^{*10^{20}} (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{*10^{-11}} x^2 - 3.683000000000000071^{*10^{-21}} x^{2.5})) / x \rightarrow 9.38 \times 10^6$$

We obtain the present rate of Approach or present rate of Altitudinal Loss: $-0.199267239722073625^{*} \text{ m/year}$

This comes out to be 20cm/year or 20m/century assuming a transit time of 2.3Gy from the point of capture to the present position.

Wikipedia gives 1.8m/century whereas www.ozgate.com/infobytes/mars_views.htm gives 60 ft/century.

Phobos Crashes to Mars ?

While Deimos orbits at a safe distance from Mars, Phobos is spiraling slowly toward eventual destruction. The planet's gravitational pull is reeling in the moon at a rate of 60 feet per century. But collision between Phobos and Mars may never occur - the moon may suffer the fate of being broken up by the planet's tidal forces.

For determining the transt time from the point of capture to the present position, we will have to solve Equation 9:

$$\text{NIntegrate}\left[\left(1 \div \left(\frac{1}{x^{3.5}} (2.38727692476555697^{*10^{20}} (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{*10^{-11}} x^2 - 3.683000000000000071^{*10^{-21}} x^{2.5}))))\right), \{x, 2.04 \times 10^7, 9.38 \times 10^6}\right] \quad (13)$$

The Age of Phobos comes to be:

$$2.32186966711426157^{*10^9}$$

To determine the time of DOOMSDAY, Equation.13 will have to be determined within the limits of $9.38 \times 10^6 \text{m}$ to $3.4 \times 10^6 \text{m}$:

$$\text{NIntegrate}\left[\left(1 \div \left(\frac{1}{x^{3.5}} (2.38727692476555697^{*10^{20}} (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{*10^{-11}} x^2 - 3.683000000000000071^{*10^{-21}} x^{2.5}))))\right), \{x, 9.38 \times 10^6, 3.4 \times 10^6}\right]$$

The result is:

$$1.03989185912455228^{*10^7}$$

That is 10.4My from now Phobos will be destroyed.

It is asserted that as soon as Phobos enters 7000km zone above the center of Mars the primary tides will smash it and convert it into annular ring of dust which will eventually spiral into Mars.

Time from now to enter Roche's zone will be:

$$\text{NIntegrate}\left[\left(1 \div \left(\frac{1}{x^{3.5}} (2.38727692476555697^{*10^{20}} (-\sqrt{x} + 1.08300000000000018^{*10^{-11}} x^2 - 3.683000000000000071^{*10^{-21}} x^{2.5}))))\right), \{x, 9.38 \times 10^6, 7 \times 10^6}\right]$$

$$7.59569254729103437^{*10^6}$$

That is in 7.6Myr Phobos will be pulverized into Saturn-like annular ring.

Since Wikipedia and Ozgate are giving conflicting data on rate of altitudinal loss hence actual measurement only can establish if the above analysis is correct.

Table 5: Tabulation of the kinetics, time constant of evolution ($\tau = (a_{G2} - a_{G1}) / V_{\text{max}}$), transit time from a_{G1} to $a_{\text{present}} = \text{Age}$ and the evolution factor $\square = (a - a_{G1}) / (a_{G2} - a_{G1})$ of Phobos.

	V_{max} (m/y)	V_{present} (m/y)	ϵ	τ	Age
Phobos	0.007	-19.9	-1.22^-12	1.29^19y	2.3Gy

Conclusion

This analysis remains inconclusive as far as the validity of this Gravitational Sling Shot approach is concerned because we donot have an authoritative record of Phobos Altitudinal rate of loss . Some space mission will have to be aimed at Phobos itself. The space craft equipped with Radar must land on Phobos and carry out Mars Ranging Experiments to get an authoritative record of rate of altitudinal loss [7-14].

This experiment could be carried out from Mars itself by sounding Phobos.

References

1. Kaula W K, Harris A (1975) "Dynamics of Lunar Origin and Orbital Evolution," Review of Geophysics and Space Physics 13: 363.
2. Ida S, Canup RM, Stewart GR (1997) "Lunar Accretion from an impact- generated disk," Nature 389: 353-357.
3. Cook CL (2005) Comment on 'Gravitational Slingshot, by Dukla JJ, Cacioppo R, & Gangopadhyaya A. [American Journal of Physics, 72(5), pp 619- 621,(2004)] American Journal of Physics 73: 363.
4. Dukla J J, Cacioppo R, Gangopadhyaya A (2004) "Gravitational slingshot", American Journal of Physics 72: 619-621.
5. Epstein K J (2005) "Shortcut to the Slingshot Effect," American Journal of Physics, 73: 362.
6. Jones J B (2005) "How does the slingshot effect work to change the orbit of spacecraft?" Scientific American 116.
7. Duxbury, Thomas C, NASA s Stardust (2007) "China reaches for the Red Planet", IEEE Spectrum <https://spectrum.ieee.org/china-reaches-for-the-red-planet>.
8. Chaisson E, McMillan S (1998) Astronomy- A beginner's Guide to the Universe Second edition Prentice Hall <https://www.amazon.com/Astronomy-Beginners-Guide-Universe-Update/dp/013085848X>.
9. Hannu K, Kroger P, Oja H (2003) Fundamental Astronomy, Springer, <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-540-34144-4>.
10. Moore Sir P (2002) A-Z guide, Astronomy Encyclopedia, Oxford Press <https://www.amazon.in/Astronomy-Encyclopedia-Leiff-J-Robinson/dp/0195218337> .
11. Rubicam DP (1975) "Tidal Friction & the Early History of Moon's Orbit", Journal of Geophysical Research 80:1537-1548.
12. Sharma BK, Ishwar B (2004) "A New Perspective on the Birth and Evolution of our Solar System based on Planetary Satellite Dynamics", 35th COSPARScientific Assembly, Paris, France file:///C:/Users/SRC/Downloads/Anewperspective.pdf.

13. Sharma BK, Ishwar B (2004) “Jupiter-like Exo-Solar Planets confirm the Migratory Theory of Planets” Recent Trends in Celestial Mechanics-2004, pp.225-231,
14. BRA Bihar University (2004) Muzaffarpur, Bihar.Publisher Elsevier <https://www.brabu.net/>.

Copyright: ©2023 Bijay Kumar Sharma. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.