ISSN: 2755-0125

Journal of Virology Research & Reports

SCIENTIFIC Research and Community

Research Article

Open Access

The Origin of the Sars-Cov-2 in the United States as a Biological Weapon

Joseph Angel De Soto

Department of Biomedical Science, School of Science, Technology, Engineering and Math, Dine College, Tsaile AZ 86566

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The SARS-CoV-2 virus was first reported in Wuhan China in Dec 2019, since then 279 million have been infected and 5.4 million have died. This has raised the question where did the SARs-CoV-2 virus originate?

Methodology: In this study, the literature was reviewed, and the scientific and intelligence evidence assessed. Interviews were made with scientists and victims involved in the creation of the virus in both the United States and China.

Results: The evidence suggest that the SARs-CoV-2 virus began as bat virus which was then manipulated in the lab via gain of function research in the United States funded by the National Institutes of Health under Dr. Fauci. This proto-biological weapon was then given to the Chinese and passed through Uighur prisoners. It is hypothesized that the modest common Altaic ancestry between American Native Americans and the Uighur from North Central Asia may in part account for the increased death rates of Native Americans in the United States.

Conclusion: The SARS- CoV-2 virus with near scientific certainty originated in the United States as a proto-biological weapon which was further clinically developed in China in a collaborative effort as a biological weapon to target ethnic and racial minorities by both China and the United States.

***Corresponding author**

Joseph Angel De Soto, Circle Dr Route 12, Tsaile AZ. E-mail: 86566angeldesoto63@gmail.com; jadesoto@dinecollege.edu

Received: December 31, 2021; **Accepted:** January 07, 2022; **Published:** January 10, 2022

Background

John Ratcliff the former Director of National Intelligence who oversaw the United States 17 intelligence agencies inclusive of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), National Security Agency (NSA), Defense intelligence Agency (DIA) and National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) has often said or implied there is not one iota of evidence from human and signals intelligence that the SARs-CoV-2 is natural and that all evidence points to it being man-made[1].This line of thought has been followed by both Robert Redfield, the former Director of the Centers of Disease Control (CDC) and Matthew Pottinger the former Deputy Director of the National Security[2,3]. Yet, each has minimized the potential role of the United States and maximized the potential role of the Chinese in the development of the SARs-CoV-2 virus. The United States has a long history of unethical human experimentation and a focus of developing biological weapons that target ethnic groups with a different pharmacogenetic profile from those with Western and Northern European ancestry of the majority of its soldiers, sailors, and airmen.

The United States has had a history of performing unethical and inhumane experiments on humans. As early as 1913-1951, Dr. Stanley a physician at San Quentin prison in California would cut off the testicles of inmates and transplant animal testicles on them, this was done with the approval of the State of California and many Universities in the West [4].Yet, these types of experiments would increase with earnest following the involvement of the United States governments interest in biomedical and medical science. This began with project Operation Paper Clip (1945-1959), where former Nazi scientists and doctors involved in the horrors of Nazi experiments were brought to the United States inclusive of experts in biological weapons [5].Today, their descendants fill the higher ranks of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and Food & Drug Administration (FDA) and major US Universities and Hospitals involved in biomedical research. Concurrently, with this the Manhattan Project was underway where pregnant women were given unknowingly radioactive material to induce deformation of their children and children were given breakfast cereal laced with radioactive poison. In addition, this project sponsored the intentional radioactive poisoning of conscientious objectors to war. These experiments were sponsored by the Atomic Energy Commission, The Massachusetts Institutes of Technology (MIT) and the Quaker Oats company [6]. In 1953, the CIA began project MK-Ultra with the goal of mind control on unwitting Canadian and US citizens via drug administration, sexual torture, electroshocks, hypnosis, humiliation, verbal abuse, and deprivation of food and water. These experiments were often performed at American hospitals, universities, and prisons. The Universities and hospitals involved included Stanford, George Washington, Georgetown, Columbia, University of Maryland and Harvard. In the 1960's the CIA sponsored the Milgram Experiment at Yale inspired by

Nazi War Criminal Rudolf Eichmann that looked into how far an average person would go in following orders by allowing them to torture victims with electroshock therapy [7]. In 1964, the US Army with the University of Pennsylvania conducted experiments at Holmes burg Prison on prisoners testing the amount of mind-altering drugs needed to destroy a person's brain [8]. More recently, the United States used the cover of the war on terror to investigate the best torture techniques and limits to human pain and suffering [9].

Today, due to public backlash the United States government often uses for profit pharmaceutical companies to carry out unethical research on unsuspecting populations often with the tacit approval and funding of the FDA, NIH, Department of Defense, and CDC. This will be shown to be the case in the paper with the creating of the SARs-CoV-2 virus [10, 11].

Table 1: Partial List of Inhumane Experiments Performed by the US Government

Date	Project	Goal
1932 - 1972	Tuskegee Syphilis Experiments (US Public Health Service and Centers for Disease Control)	African Americans with Syphilis intentionally not treated to investigate how syphilis maims and kills those that have it.
1945 - 1959	Operation Paper Clip (United States Military and Intelligence Organizations)	Nazi war criminals brought to the United States to advance US intelligence and military scientific biological weapons experimentation.
1945 -1947	Manhattan Project (Atomic Energy Commission and United States Public Health Service)	Pregnant women given radioactive material and children fed radioactive cereal. Conscientious objectors to war intentionally poisoned
1950	Operation Sea Spray (US Navy)	The dangerous bacteria Serratia was sprayed over San Francisco to observe the response to biological weapons
1951 - 1974	Holmesburg Program (US Army)	This study performed on illiterate prisoners at Holmesburg Prison in Pennsylvania studied how dioxan and other chemicals would burn human tissue.
1953-1973	MK-Ultra (CIA)	Mind Control of unwitting Canadian and US citizens through drug administration, sexual torture, electroshocks, hypnosis, humiliation, verbal abuse
1971	Stanford Prison Experiment (US Navy)	Behavioral experiment where psychological torture was used to see its influence on the behavior of college students who were imprisoned
1961-1963	Milgram's Yale Experiment (CIA)	Inspired by Nazi war criminal Adolf Eichmann, American subjects were tortured through electroshock to see how far people would go following orders.
1962 - 1973	Project 112 (United States Department of Defense)	US Soldiers intentionally exposed to biological and chemical weapons to see the effect of these weapons.
1964-1968	Holmesburg Prison Experiments (US Army)	Mind altering drugs were given to prisoners to see what dose was needed to destroy their brains.
1966	New York Subway Experiment (US Army)	Subways in New York and Chicago were laced with the bacteria Bacillus globigii to observe the response of biological weapons
2003 - 2004	War on Terror Experiments (CIA)	Prisoners of War were tortured not only for information but as a systematic study investigating the best torture techniques, and limits to human suffering and pain.

SARs-CoV-2

The SARs-CoV-2 virus, which causes COVID, is from Coronaviridae family of viruses. This family of viruses tends to cause the common cold during the winter months in humans [12]. The SARs-CoV-2 virus uses as its genome a +strand of RNA which is very similar to the mRNA that humans synthesize from DNA during transcription. mRNA is used to reproduce what?? during translation enzymes and structural proteins during the process of translation. Thus, once the +strand of genomic RNA from SARs-CoV-2 enters the human cell it takes over the translational machinery of the cell to replicate itself. Unlike other Coronaviridae the SARs-CoV-2 may cause severe disease inclusive of acute respiratory distress syndrome, myocarditis and thrombosis all of which can be fatal or cause permanent disability [13].

The question arises why is the SARs-Cov-2 virus so much more dangerous than the common corona cold virus? This can be answered by a differential affinity for cell types. A virus can only infect a cell that has a particular receptor that fits a viral surface ligand. In the case of corona viruses that cause the common cold, they enter cells which usually have aminopeptidase N or glycosaminoglycans as the receptor [14]. SARs-CoV-2 on the other hand uses its S2 protein as the ligand to enter cells in the lungs (pneumocytes II), endothelium and heart cased the angiotensin converting enzyme type II enzyme (ACE2 receptor). This is key to understanding the pathophysiology of SARs-CoV-2 virus [15]. This will become very important later.

Viral infections have common characteristics that follow evolutionary biology. The fundamental theorem of microbiology states, "the more common a virus becomes the more easily it spreads but the less deadly it becomes". This has been seen from the bubonic plague and even flu through history. Indeed, with COVID the initial death rate in the United States was 5.88% while today it is only 1.5% [16,17]. The second fundamental theorem of microbiology is that Zoonotic disease (animal to human) tend to be very deadly but hard to transmit. This is why, the threat of Ebola, Marburg, SARs-CoV, and MERs fizzled out and never became pandemics. Table 2 below summarizes types of viral infections and their effect in humans. Table 2 suggests that SARs-CoV-2 most likely is a biological weapon.

Table 2. Biological weapons vs Zoonotic vs Human to Human Pathogens

Type of Pathogen infecting Human	Ability to Cause Death	Ability to Spread
Origin in Humans	Low	High
Origin from Animals	High	Low
Biological Weapon	High	High

Making a Biological Weapon

It is only in New York screenplays and Hollywood that biological weapons are made in the lab through the insertion and deletion of genes. There are many reasons for this as we must alter or insert several genes and hence each deletion and insertion must lead to functional proteins. Genes transcribe for mRNA which in turn is translated to proteins where at each step major confounders arise. When looking at genes they may be turned off arbitrarily by methylation or turned on by acetylation [18]. Additionally, they may be shut down by the proteins in chromatin. Once the initial mRNA strand is made it must mature by having a 5' cap placed on it, having the right exons extracted and spliced together correctly and have a poly A tail placed on it. Each one of these steps may or can introduce a problem. More importantly, micro-RNA (miRNA) may also be produced that is specific for viral type RNA that will bind with the mRNA making it non-viable [19]. Once a protein is made, it must fold into the right conformation to be viable, most engineered proteins will not fold correctly and will not go to a predicted overall energy minimum but a localized energy minimum [20]. Assuming that a protein has made it this far there is still the confounders not knowing the precise interactions both positive and negative an engineered protein might take by interacting with various cellular receptors. In medicine, it is plausible to engineer simple proteins such as synthetic insulin to affect the body however, for a biological weapon to work several complex proteins must be made and not only interact with each other but in specific ways with the human body which is almost is not always unpredictable. Thus, a viral biological weapon unlike a toxin which does not have to replicate is not made solely through in vivo laboratory editing. Though as we shall see later proto-viral biological weapons have recently been developed to shorten the timeline for human clinical development of biological weapons.

Historically, the fastest way to create biological weapons was to combine a highly deadly virus with a virus that was transmitted easily. This was generally done by combining the viruses in apes and allowing the viruses to undergo genetic recombination creating novel viruses. The most virulent novel viruses would then be passed in humans and the most virulent type selected. More recently to shorten the time-line in creating a viral biological weapon a few genes are added or modified on the virus in what is called gain of function research to create a proto-viral biological weapon. This proto-viral biological weapon is then passed in apes and then eventually humans to finalize the product often with the virus being retuned back in apes if the human outcome is not as expected. This is done to minimize the need for humans according to Wuhan Scientist MiMi interviewed in 2020 [21].

The creation of proto-SARs-CoV-2

Several studies have shown that the genetically similar progenitor virus of SARs-CoV-2 was originally derived from the horseshoe bat virus [22,23]. This early virus according to phylogenetic studies also appears to have been derived from the United States [24]. In 2015, a paper appeared in Nature Medicine describing research performed on the horseshoe bat virus creating a proto-biological weapon alternatively called gain of function research [25].This research was led by Dr. Ralph Baric and funded by more than 10 grants primarily from Dr. Fauci and his National Institute of Allergy & Infectious Diseases (NIAID), and others from other institutes within National Institutes of Health and a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China. Hence, both the United States and Chinese governments funded this research. The horseshoe bat virus was combined with the SARS virus. The SARs virus in 2002-2004 infected 8,000 people and killed 774 individuals a death rate of 9%. The SARs virus failed to become a pandemic due to lack of transmissibility that is typically seen in zoonotic type viruses. In this study, the chimeric virus was created to overcome the lack of transmissibility seen in the SARs virus a decade earlier.

The creation of the proto-biological weapon funded by NIAID, NIH and China was also aided by scientists from the FDA, University of North Carolina, Wuhan Labs, Harvard Medical School, and Dana Faber Cancer Institute. It was also happily noted on the paper that the chimeric virus was resistant to vaccines, and monoclonal antibodies. In a subsequent paper, by the Baric group published in the Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences it was confirmed that the chimeric virus specifically targeted the ACE2 receptor in human respiratory cells, identical to what occurs in COVID with a nearly identical virus. Several attempts to cover up the creation of the bioweapon were made first by the publication of now highly discredited published within a month of the World Health Organization declaring COVID a pandemic by scientists who have never seen, observed or created a biological weapon and who have no expertise in the area and gleefully proclaim (falsely) that the SARs-CoV-2 virus came directly from the bat virus [26, 27]. As expected this research was funded by the National Institutes of Health and published in Nature Medicine the same journal they had unethically published the gain of function research creating the proto-bioweapon. Indeed, out of nearly 300 million cases of COVID not one has been shown to have been zoonotic and SARs-CoV-2 does not meet the WHO definition of zoonoses [28].

The role of NIH in the creation of the protobiological weapon was underscored when the director of the National Institutes of Health Francis Collins gave his resignation for lying to Congress about NIH funding this gain of function research [29]. Table 2 below lists the institutions contributing to the proto-biological weapon.

Table 2: Creators of Proto-Biological Weapon

Institutions Involved	Funding of Proto-Biological Weapon
University of North Carolina	T32 AI007528/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States F32AI102561/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States U19 AI109761/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States R21 AI079521/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States NIH DK065988/DK/NIDDK NIH HHS/United States R21 AI076159/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States AI076159/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States U19AI109761/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States F32 AI102561/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States K99 AG049092/AG/NIA NIH HHS/United States U19AI107810/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States U19 AI107810/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States P30 DK065988/DK/NIDDK NIH HHS/United States K99AG049092/AG/NIA NIH HHS/United States AI079521/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States AI1085524/AI/NIAID NIH HHS/United States **National Natural Science Foundation of China** awards 81290341 (Z.-L.S.) and 31470260 (X.-Y.G.), and by USAID-EPT-PREDICT funding from EcoHealth Alliance (Z.-L.S.). Human airway epithelial cultures were supported by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disease of the NIH under award NIH DK065988 (S.H.R.).
Harvard Medical School	
Dana-Farber Cancer Institute	
Wuhan Institute of Virology	
Food & Drug Administration	
Bellinzona Institute of Microbiology	
National Institutes of Health	
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease	
ECO-Health	

Chinese Complete Creation of SARs-CoV-2

The Uighur are an Islamic ethnic minority in China with up to 1 million of this population being placed in 1 of 500 concentration camps in China. At these camps, the Uighur undergo forced rape, forced labor, forced sterilization, summary execution, forced abortion, forced starvation, and medical experimentation [30, 31]. The Uighur represent a separatist movement that the Chinese have oppressed and seek to eliminate. The Uighur played a key role as victims in the development of SAR-CoV-2.

The proto-virus biological weapon was transferred to the Wuhan Institute of Virology soon after its creation and in a grant funded by Dr. Fauci and NIAID through New York City-based Eco Health Alliance combined with funds from the Chinese Military and Natural National Science Foundation of China further clinical development of the virus occurred [32]. It is at this time, where the virus underwent a cryptic period of growth [33].Once, the Wuhan Virology Institute obtained the virus it was passed through Apes in an attempt to select for the most virulent strains. A few candidate strains were obtained and according to separate sources we interviewed including a Uighur Physician forced to work as a health aid in one of the concentration camps Qui Fan and a Chinese military scientist Jun Yi involved in the oversight of biological weapon investigations the virus was passed through Uighur prisoners in an attempt to finalize the biological weapon [34, 35]. The virus proved to kill only 7% of those who were infected and a second round of testing via apes and other animals occurred. It is at this point that the virus we know as SARs-CoV-2 escaped from the Wuhan Institute of Virology. This occurred when low level technicians sold the infected carcasses to the public in Wuhan an occurrence that had occurred multiple times before with other carcasses in the past [36,37].Emails seem to confirm that Dr. Fauci knew of the leak and of much of the research being performed in. China [38].

Indeed, according to the Chinese military scientist interviewed once the virus was perfected the precise data was to be shared with their American collaborators in order to help these agencies advance their own biological weapons programs towards their own problematic internal and external ethnic minorities [35]. This was also confirmed by a former 30-year colleague and confidant of Dr. Fauci.

Connection to Indigenous American Population

One important principle in science is that a good theory not only explains current scientific knowledge but can make predictions. In January 2020 a month after the report of the SARs-CoV-2 virus biomedical scientists at Dine College and the Navajo Nation hypothesized that the virus might be a biological weapon from China created through Uighur passage [39]. This, being the case, the virus would not just die out like the MERS and SARs virus did but prove to be an existential threat to American Native American population due to shared genetic history with the Uighur [40,41]. This turned out to be prophetic as the Navajo Nation and the indigenous populations have the highest infection rates nation wide and the COVID epidemic has been an existential threat [16]. Indeed, at Navajo Nation entire families have been wiped out with other tribes sharing a similar fate. It is the fear of the government again victimizing the indigenous peoples with biological weapons or other approaches that the Navajo Nation declared a moratorium on DNA testing or research [42].

Conclusion

The evidence suggests the following with scientific certainty: The SARs-CoV-2 virus creation was funded by the United States and Chinese government. This effort involved a collaboration of multiple government agencies and high-profile academic institutions in the United States inclusive of the FDA, NIAID, NIH, Harvard Medical School, University of North Carolina, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, ECO-Health, and the Wuhan Institute of Virology. It is suggested that a convergence of interest occurred with many scientists wanting greater financial benefit and prestige while, the military – government view is to develop and continue to develop targeted weapons against specific ethnic and racial groups in the name of national security and the belief that non-white lives have less value that whites.

Acknowledgements

Bonnie Grunther for editing the manuscript.

References

1. Ratcliffe J (2021) China Olympics 2022 – COVID cover up by country's leaders means they should forfeit games https://www.foxnews.com/opinion/china-olympics-2022-

covid-cover-up-games-john-ratcliffe.
2. Steinbuch Y (2021) Ex-CDC chief Robert Redfield explains belief COVID came from China lab. New York Post https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/health/2021/03/26/ex-cdc-head-robert-redfield-believes-coronavirus-escaped-chinese-lab-scientists-dubious/7013982002/.
3. Choi J (2021) Former deputy national security advisor: 'I think we can find COVID-19's origin. The Hill https://thehill.com/homenews/sunday-talk-shows/556139-former-deputy-national-security-advisor-i-think-we-can-find-where.
4. Dr. Leo Stanley (2011) Alliance for Human Research Protection 2: 212-213.
5. Jacobson A (2015) Operation Paperclip: The Secret Intelligence Program that Brought Nazi Scientists to America. Back Bay Books https://www.littlebrown.com/titles/annie-jacobsen/operation-paperclip/9780316221054/.
6. Welsome E (1999) The Plutonium Files, Dial Press https://www.amazon.com/Plutonium-Files-Americas-Medical-Experiments/dp/0385319541.
7. River C (2021) The Milgram Experiment: The History and Legacy of the Controversial Social Psychology Experiment. Charles Rivers Publishers https://www.amazon.com/Milgram-Experiment-History-Controversial-Psychology/dp/B096TN7H68.
8. Jonathan K (1997) "Retin-A's Wrinkled Past", Pennsylvania History Review, Spring file:///C:/Users/User/Downloads/The%20Origin%20of%20the%20SARS-CoV-2%20virus%20(3).pdf.
9. Smith RJ, White J (2004) General Granted Latitude at Prison Abu Ghraib Used Aggressive Tactics, Washington Post https://www.nbcnews.com/id/wbna5191874.
10. Elliott C (2010) The Deadly Corruption of Clinical Trials. Mother Jones https://www.motherjones.com/environment/2010/09/dan-markingson-drug-trial-astrazeneca/.
11. Kennedy RF (2021) The Real Anthony Fauci: Bill Gates, Big Pharma, and the Global War on Democracy and Public Health. Skyhorse Publishers. https://www.amazon.in/Real-Anthony-Fauci-Democracy-Childrens/dp/1510766804.
12. Cui J, Li F, Shi ZL (2020) Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. Nat Rev Microbiol 17: 181-192.
13. Vrints CJM, Krychtiuk KA, Van Craenenbroeck EM, Segers VF, Price S, et al,(2021) Endothelialitis plays a central role in the pathophysiology of severe COVID-19 and its cardiovascular complications. Acta Cardiol 76: 109-124.
14. Wang R, Simoneau CR, Kulsuptrakul J, Bouhaddou M, Travisano K, et al. (2021) Genetic Screens Identify Host Factors for SARS-CoV-2 and Common Cold Coronaviruses 184: 106-119.
15. Beyerstedt S, Casaro EB, Rangel EB (2021) COVID-19: angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) expression and tissue susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 40: 905-919.
16. de Soto JA, Hakim ST (2020) Medical Basis for Increased Susceptibility of COVID-19 among the Navajoand other Indigenous Tribes: A Survey. Journal of Biomedical Research and Reviews Volume 3: 1.
17. Johns Hopkins, Johns Hopkins (2021) Interactive COVID Resource Center, accessed https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html.
18. Daskalaki MG, Tsatsanis C, Sotirios, Kampranis C (2018) Histone methylation and acetylation in macrophages as a mechanism for regulation of inflammatory responses. J Cell Physiol 233: 6495-6507.
19. Bushati, Cohen SM (2007) microRNA functions. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 23: 175-205.
20. Fleming KG (2014) Energetics of membrane protein folding. Annu Rev Biophys 43: 233-55.
21. Mi Mi. Interview with Wuhan Scientist Mi Mi. 2020.
22. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, et al. (2020) A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 579: 270-273.
23. Lytras S, Xia W, Hughes J, Jiang X, Robertson DK (2021) The animal origin of SARS-CoV-2, Science 6558: 968-970.
24. Forster P, Forster L, Renfrew C (2020) Phylogenetic network analysis of SARS-CoV-2 genomes, PNAS 117: 9241-9243.
25. Menachery VD, Yount BL, Debbink K, Agnihothram S, Gralinski LE (2015) A SARS-like cluster of circulating bat coronaviruses shows potential for human emergence 21: 1508-1513.
26. Menachery VD, Yount BL, Sims AC, Debbink D, Agnihothram SS (2016) SARS-like WIV1-CoV poised for human emergence, PNAS Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113: 3048-3053.
27. Andersen KG, Rambaut R, Lipkin WI, Holmes EC, Robert F (2020) Garry. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2 17: 1-3.
28. Haider N, Rothman-Ostrow P, Osman AY, Arruda LB, Macfarlane-Berr L, et al. (2020) COVID-19-Zoonosis or Emerging Infectious Disease? Front Public Health 26: 596-944.
29. Bois P, Francis Collins (2021) NIH Director, Resigns After Gain-of-Function Falsehood Exposed. Breitbart http://www.biotechexpressmag.com/nih-director-francis-collins-resigned-is-fauci-next-after-covid-origins-controversy-erupted/.
30. Roberts SR (2020) the War on the Uyghurs: China's Internal Campaign against a Muslim Minority. Princeton University Press https://press.princeton.edu/books/paperback/9780691234496/the-war-on-the-uyghurs.
31. Idris A (2020) Menace: China's Colonization of the Islamic World & Uyghur Genocide. Center for Uighur Studies https://www.amazon.in/Menace-Chinas-Colonization-Islamic-Genocide-ebook/dp/B0926Z79BQ.
32. Crane E (2021) Wuhan scientists wanted to release coronaviruses into bats. New York Post https://nypost.com/2021/09/22/wuhan-scientists-wanted-to-release-coronaviruses-into-bats/.
33. Zhang YZ, Holmes EC (2020) a Genomic Perspective on the Origin and Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 181: 223-227.
34. Qui Fan (2020) Giving the proto-virus to prisoners
35. Jun Yi (2020) finalizing the virus.
36. Braddick I (2021) THE WUHAN FILES Bombshell clues that 'PROVE' China hid Covid lab leak in 'cover up of the century worse than Watergate https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/16254097/covid-leaked-wuhan-lab-cover-up-of-the-century/.
37. Young Allison (2021) Could an accident have caused COVID-19? Why the Wuhan lab-leak theory shouldn't be dismissed, USA Today.
38. Lee B (2021) Chinese virologist says Fauci's emails 'verify' her Wuhan lab leak claims. New York Post.
39. Pinotti T, Bergström A, Geppert M (2019) Y Chromosome Sequences Reveal a Short Beringian Standstill, Rapid Expansion, and early Population structure of Native American Founders. Curr Bio 129:149-157.
40. Tengritagh Akademiyesi (2021) History of the Uyghur people, Uyghur Academy of Arts and Science accessed https://tengritagh.org/2016/03/15/history-of-the-uyghur-people/.

41. Hakim ST, de Soto JA, Joe J, Dotson B (2021) Fighting the Monster: How Diné College Led the Navajo Nation's Response to COVID-19 https://tribalcollegejournal.org/fighting-the-monster-how-dine-college-led-the-navajo-nations-response-to-covid-19/.

42. Blakemore E (2018) Why the Navajo Nation Banned Genetic Research. History.