Journal of Clinical Epidemiology & Toxicology

Review Article



Open d Access

Swine Erysipelas; It's Epidemiology, Diagnosis, Treatment and Control and Preventive Measures, Comprehensive Review

Dessalew Habte^{1*}, Dessalew Tamir² and Tadesse Tilahun³

¹Alage Agricultural Technical Vocational and Educational Training College, Alage, Ethiopia

²Bahir Dar University, School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal health, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia

³Addis Ababa University, College of veterinary medicine and agriculture, Department of tropical veterinary parasitology and pathology

ABSTRACT

Erysipelas is an infectious disease seen mainly in growing pigs and characterized clinically by fever, arthritis, skin lesions and sudden death. The disease may be acute, subacute, or chronic. Swine erysipelas is a disease caused by a specific micro organ Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae which is a zoonotic ubiquitous gram-positive bacterium that causes erysipelas in swine, mammals, birds and erysipeloid in humans. People in contact with animals, animal products or animal wastes are at greatest risk. The acute form of swine erysipelas may have been confused for other diseases in pigs which are characterized by acute symptoms such as sudden death (for example, African swine fever). It can be diagnosed by its clinical signs, necropsy findings, bacteriology, antimicrobial response, molecular and serological examinations. It is recommended to increase awareness of the disease among animal and human practitioners as treatment is easy and available and vaccination is possible. However, the disease is still unknown to local veterinarians, clinical doctors, meat inspectors, butchers and laboratory personnel. Proper hygiene, regular pork inspection, use of protective wear among people working/ in contact with animals should be promoted. The disease causes high economic loss in pig rearing areas and influences the public health being a severe zoonotic disease. So the objective of this review is to create a better understanding of the disease for proper control and prevention of the disease.

*Corresponding author

Dessalew Habte, Alage Agricultural Technical Vocational and Educational Training College, Alage, Ethiopia. E-mail: desalewhabte@gmail.com

Received: May 18, 2021; Accepted: May 24, 2021; Published: May 28, 2021

Keywords: Control and prevention, Epidemiology, Erysipelas, Swine, Zoonosis

Introduction

Pig production has been increasing in the world, with growing pig number from earlier to now a days. Though the production is high, the demand is not satisfied due to a number of constraints including poor technical inputs in the production system, poor feeding and poor health. Among the disease challenges, African swine fever (ASF) and erysipelas alone are responsible for heavy losses due to outbreaks that occur almost every year in most countries in the world [1].

Erysipelas, one of the oldest recognized diseases is an infectious disease caused by Erysipelothri x rhusiopathiaeseen mainly in growing pigs and adult swine and characterized clinically by sudden death, fever, arthritis, and skin lesions. The disease may be acute, subacute, or chronic. Up to 50% of pigs in intensive swine production areas are considered to be colonized with E. rhusiopathiae. The causative agent is a bacterium, Erysipelothrixr husiopathiae. The organism commonly resides in the tonsillar tissue. These typical healthy carriers can shed the organism in their feces or oronasal secretions and are an important source of infection for other pigs [2]. The mode of transmission of the disease is by ingestion, natural infection through skin wound, biting flies, intrauterine infection, and soil contaminated with organisms and contaminated water also aids the spread of infection [3].

Disease outbreaks may be acute or chronic, and clinically inapparent infections also occur. Acute outbreaks are characterized by sudden and unexpected deaths, febrile episodes, painful joints, and skin lesions that vary from generalized cyanosis to the often-described diamond skin (rhomboid urticaria) lesions. Chronic ervsipelas tends to follow acute outbreaks and is characterized by enlarged joints and lameness. A second form of chronic erysipelas is vegetative valvular endocarditis [4]. The disease can be prevented and controlled by proper management, sanitation and vaccination measures and can also be effectively treated by penicillin but what makes it difficult to control is its occurrence in all ages of pigs in different f arms and the presence of carriers. The disease causes high economic loss in pig rearing areas and influences the public health being a severe zoonotic disease. So the objective of this review is to create a better understanding of the disease for proper control and prevention of the disease [5].

Litereture Review Etiology

Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae is the causative agent of pig erysipelas. Within the genus Erysipelot hrix, at least 6 species (Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae, Erysipelothrix tonsillarum, Erysipelothrix species strain 1, Erysipelothrix species strain 2, Erysipelothrix species strain 3 and Erysipelothrix inopinata) and 28 serotypes (1a, 1b, 2–26 and N) have been recognized [6]. The organism is gram positive, pleomorphic, non spore forming,

non motile, encapsulated, facult ative anaerobic, small rods (smooth form) or filaments (rough form), can grow on nonenriched media produces pinpoint and non-hemolytic colonies after incubation for 24 hours and produce Small colonies, with incomplete hemolysis in 48 hours, Can grow in the temperature range of 5 – 420; and PH range of 6.7 - 9.2. Colonies on agar media are grayish translucent, The organism is non-motile, non-spore-forming, and non-acid-fast, A number of different serotypes (32)have been identified, usually types 1 and 2 in pigs. Many of the serotypes have been regrouped and cal led Erysipelothrixtonsillarum. This is a nonpathogenic type found in the tonsil that is morphologi cally and biochemically similar to E. rhusiopathiae but has a very distinctive genetic profile. In humans E. rhusiopathiae causes erysipeloid, a local skin lesion that occurs chiefly as an occupational disease of persons engaged in handling and processing meat and mostly [7, 8].

E. rhusiopathiae serotypes 1 and 2 are frequently isolated from clinically affected pigs, although other *E. rhusiopathiae* serotypes have been sporadically associated with clinical disease. While there is no experimental evidence that Erysipelothrix species other than *E. rhusiopathiae* cause disease in pigs, certain Erysipelothrix species strains have been isolated from clinical cases and from condemned carcasses in abattoirs [9].

Growth of *E. rhusiopathiae* on nonenriched media produces pinpoint, nonhemolytic colonies after incubation for 24 hr. After 48 hr of incubation, a zone of incomplete hemolysis becomes evident around colonies. The genus of Erysipelothrix is subdivided into two major species: E rhusiopathiae and E. tonsillarum. In addition, there are other strains that constitute one or more additional species known as E species 1, E species 2, E species 3, and E. inopinata. At least 28 different serotypes of Erysipelothrix spp are recognized, and pigs are considered to be susceptible to at least 15. Field cases of swine erysipelas are predominately caused by E. rhusiopathiae serotypes 1a, 1b, or 2 [10].

Older colonies can lose cell wall integrity, making them appear to be gram negative or gram variable. The organism grows readily on standard media and in conventional blood culture systems. Both rough and smooth colonies are noted on blood agar. Smears from rough colonies can show granular, nonbranching filaments. Some strains can cause α -hemolysis in 48 to 72 hours. *E. rhusiopathiae* is distinguished readily from other morphologically similar, gram-positivebacteria (*Listeria* species and diphtheroids) by an absence of motility, a negative catalase reaction, and hydrogen sulfide (H2S) production. *E. rhusiopathiae* is oxidase and urease negative; it produces acid from glucose and lactose. A growth pattern in gelatin stab cultures that resembles a test-tube brush or a pipe cleaner is highly characteristic [11].

E. rhusiopathiae is regarded as a commensal organism of swine flora and is found in the upper respiratory tract and the intestinal tract of healthy pigs. Greater than 50% of pigs are considered to be carriers. *E. rhusiopathiae* survives in the soil for prolonged periods and may also be found in other mammalian species including sheep, fish, and birds. *E. rhusiopathiae* is considered to be a zoonotic agent [12, 13].

Epidemiology and Current status in tropics

The disease is worldwide in distribution and in most countries has reached a level of incidence sufficient to cause serious economic loss due to the deaths of pigs and devaluation of pig carcasses because of arthritis. Indoor confinement of swine, decreased pigs contact with contaminated soil, therefore the occurrence of the disease has decreased markedly. The exception to this would be outdoor units where no vaccination is practiced. Historically disease occurred most commonly in unvaccinated growing pigs over 3 months of age and adults. Disease due to *E. rhusiopathiae* is uncommon in pigs under 8 weeks of age due to because of maternal antibody protection provided by the sow via colostrum [14].

The infection, usually with serotypes 1a or 2, has also been demonstrated in wild boars, so these should not be forgotten as a reservoir. Morbidity and case fatality rates in pigs vary considerably from area to area. Because of variations in virulence of the particular strain of the organism involved in infection. Sources of infection are from domestic pigs, carrier animals' excretafaeces, urine, contaminated water and feed, body secretions-saliva, nasal [15]. Predisposing factors are age, genetics, immunity, non- infectious disease, tress due to environment or management (nutrition, ambient temperature, and fatigue), worm infestation, concurrent infection and alkaline soil. The incidence of human infection is higher in the summer months. A 4: 1 male preponderance may reflect male occupational exposure [16].

Swine less than 3 months or more than 3 years of age are generally least predisposed to SE. The relationship of age to susceptibility may be explained by naturally acquired passive immunity in the young and active immunity following subclinical infection in older animals. Suckling pigs of immune sows are immune to infection for several weeks after birth. The degree and duration of passive immunity are related to the immune status of the sow. Parasitic infestations have been reported to increase the severity of clinical SE. Susceptibility of swine to acute SE can be enhanced by subclinical toxicity from aflatoxin in the feed. Sudden outbreaks of acute SE may be the result of a combination of the susceptibility of the animals and virulence of the causative organism [17].

E. rhusiopathiae, a ubiquitous organism, is primarily a pathogen of animals. It has been isolated from wild mammals; various fowl, fish, and shellfish; and from domestic animals, such as pigs, sheep, cattle, and horses. Contaminated soil is thought to be a source. Human infections often are to the result of occupational exposure to infected animals or contaminated animal products. People at risk include slaughterhouse workers, butchers, poultry workers, fishermen, fish marketers, veterinarians, farmers, and housewives [18].

On farms where the organism is endemic, pigs are exposed naturally to *E. rhusiopathiae* when they are young. Maternalderived antibodies provide passive immunity and suppress clinical disease. Older pigs tend to develop protective active immunity as a result of exposure to the organism, which does not necessarily lead to clinical disease. *E rhusiopathiae* is excreted by infected pigs in feces and oronasal secretions, effectively contaminating the environment. When ingested, the organism can survive passage through the hostile environment of the stomach and intestines and may remain viable in the feces for several months. Recovered pigs and chronically infected pigs may become carriers of *E rhusiopathiae*. Healthy swine also may be asymptomatic carriers. Infection is by ingestion of contaminated feed, water, or feces and through skin abrasions [19].

Infections of man and animals have been documented from Africa, Australia, several countries in the Americas, Japan, China and throughout Europe. Human disease can originate from an animal or environmental source [20]. Interestingly, outbreaks of vegetative endocarditis can occur in the absence of other clinical signs. In older, susceptible swine, various stresses (heat, aflatoxin, poor nutrition) have been suspected of playing a role in precipitating outbreaks [21].

Mode of transmission

Swine is the most important reservoir host and many pig carry the organism in the oropharynx; the organism can be cultured from the tonsils of clinically healthy pigs. An infected or subclinically diseased pig is often the source of infection to other herd animals. The bacterium is shed into the environment, and susceptible pigs may acquire the infection by ingestion of contaminated soil or water (most common), percutaneously through skin wounds, or possibly via ticks and flies [22].

Recovered pigs and those chronically infected may be carriers of the organism, possibly for life. The mode of entry is by ingestion and through skin abrasions. Following ingestion, the organism most likely enters the body via the tonsils or lymphoid tissue of the GI tract. In small populations kept in back yards, orchards or paddocks there is plenty of opportunity for access by birds and rodents and the persistence of the organism in soil favors persistence of disease [23].

Erysipelas is particularly evident in systems that allow or promote: Contact with bird faeces, mouse contamination, and access to solid muck. In practice, this means that the disease is most prevalent in straw based systems, particularly in open barns (i.e. the supposed welfare friendly pig keeping systems) and tends to peak in the summer months, although can occur at any time [24].

Zoonotic Importance

Infectious diseases spread in a variety of ways: through the air, from direct or indirect contact with another person or animal, soiled objects, skin or mucous membrane, saliva, urine, blood, and body secretions; and through contaminated food and water. Direct contact occurs when there is physical interaction between an infected person or animal and a susceptible person or animal. Indirect contact occurs when there is no direct contact between a person and an animal. This contact occurs when a susceptible person or animal is exposed to contaminated air (aerosol transmission), objects (fomites), fecal-oral matter, or insects (vector-borne) [25]. Contact with skin or mucous membrane (lining of nose and mouth) some infections are spread directly when skin or mucous membrane comes into contact with other skin or mucous membrane. Infections are spread indirectly when skin or mucous membrane comes in contact with contamin ated objects or surfaces [26].

Because of human susceptibility, swine erysipelas has some public health significance. Veterinarians in particular are exposed to infection when vaccinating with virulent cultures. It commonly contaminates pig products and therefore is quite a common infection in abattoir workers or butchers or those employed in similar trades. It usually produces a swollen finger and is known as erysipeloid. In this context, there have been recent advances in slide agglutination and latex agglutination tests for rapid diagnosis, which have a good correlation with each other and subsequent culture. Now a PCR identifying four species has been described, principally for use in the abattoir. Recently a case of endocarditis and presumptive osteomyelitis has been described, so care is needed. Type 21 is recorded as having produced a septicemia in humans [27].

Pathogenesis

Ingestion of contaminated feed and water usually allows E. *rhusiopathiae* to gain access to the body, probably through the tonsils or other lymphoid tissue of the digestive tract. Less often, contaminated skin wounds may permit entry. In acute erysipelas. and perhaps in other forms of the disease, a bacteremia develops and leads to spread of organisms throughout the body. The precise mechanism by which E. rhusiopathiae causes disease is speculative. The organism produces neuraminidase an enzyme that cleaves mucopolysaccharides in cell walls which may mediate the widespread vascular damage that accompanies SE. After infection Septicemia develops within 24 hours of exposure and produces disseminated intravascular coagulation characteristic of acute disease which may be fatal. Animals surviving the acute phase develop lesions of subacute to chronic infection, including cutaneous necrosis, polyarthritis, and endocarditis. Pregnant sows may abort due to infection and bacteriahave been isolated from aborted and stillborn fetuses [28].

Acute infection has septicemia with disseminated intravascular coagulation and hyaline thrombi throughout the body. By four days post infection, the bacteria invade the endothelium, and there is diapedesis of erythrocytes. The purple skin is usually due to congestion and sometimes thrombosis of dermal vessels. Fibrinoid necrosis of vessels may be due to a hypersensitivity (Arthus) reaction. Arteriolar fibrinoid necrosis is thought to be the cause of the "diamond skin" lesions and may not be present in our piglets due to the rapid nature of the infection which may not have allowed enough time for full hypersensitivity vasculitis to develop. The discoloration of the skin can be used as a prognosticator. Pigs with pink to red skin lesions usually recover, while those with dark red-purple lesions usually die. In acute erysipelas in piglets, the dermal and hypodermal hemorrhage also occurred mostly on the ears and limbs. Muscle degeneration is seen with acute erysipelas, but the locally severe pattern of hemorrhagic infarction seen in one of our pigs is unusual. Less specific lesions can be seen in any organ, with leukothrombi or bacterial emboli. Synovitis may occur in acute or chronic disease. The subacute form is similar but less severe than the acute disease [29].

In chronic arthritic cases, there is a vasculitis with exudation of fibrin into perivascular tissues and joint(s). There also is marked villous proliferation of the synovial membrane. Joint lesions are more proliferative than exudative. Connective tissue formation around joints is stimulated, apparently by perivascular fibrin, and the joint capsule may be thickened. Persistence of inflammation around an affected joint may be from a few living bacteria in the joint or it may be the result of hypersensitivity to remaining bacterial antigen [29,30].

Vegetative, valvular endocarditis, another manifestation of chronic erysipelas, is less common than joint involvement. Emboli of E. rhusiopathiaeare believed to cause inflammation of blood vessels within heart valves. In the inflammatory process, the endocardium is breached and bacterial colonies are established at the site. Fibrin is deposited there and slowly organized to form nodular vegetations. Emboli that arise from vegetations can cause sudden death [31].

Clinical Findings

Clinical signs of swine erysipelas can be divided into acute, subacute, and chronic forms. Subclinical infection can also occur where no disease is apparent, but may lead to chronic disease. The septicacmic and cutaneous ('diamond') forms are acute while arthritis and vegetative endocarditis are chronic forms of the disease. Septicaemia occurs after an incubation period of 2 to 3 days. During an outbreak of acute disease, some pigs may be found dead and others are febrile, depressed and walk with a stiff, stilted gait or remain recumbent. Mortality may be high in some outbreaks. Pregnant sows with the septicaemic form may abort. In the diamond-skin form, systemic signs are less severe and mortality rates are much lower than in animals with septicaemia [32].

Acute cases occur after an incubation period of 1-7 days with sudden death with high rise in temperature (104-106°F), stilly gait and get up with difficult suspended bowl material movement. Pigs remain depressed and burrow in the bedding. Conjunctivitis and vomition, anorexia and thirst are common. Slightly pink to dark purple area which raised and firm to touch. Diamond skin lesions from sick pigs often have reddened or cyanotic skin, especially about the ears, snout, jowls, throat and ventral abdomen [33].

Chronic form is the most common form of erysipelas that may follow acute or subacute disease as well as subclinical infection and is characterized most commonly by arthritis. Arthritis mainly involved joints are hock, stifles, knee, and elbow and Losses occur due to lameness, ill-thrift and carcass condemnation. Joints are stiff, enlarged, hot and painful with sloughing of the tip of the tail or tips of the ears can occur but can have other causes. Signs of heart problems due to infection of the heart valves may be evident occasionally and will be most obvious after exertion, which may lead to sudden death. Paraplegia may occur when intervertebral joints are involved or when there is gross distortion of limb joints [34].



Figure 1: Acute swine erysipelas, skin form [35].



Figure 2: Typical diamond-shaped skin lesions [35].



Figure 3: Crippling, irreversible arthritis in a growing pig [35].

Necropsy finding

In acute infection, in addition to skin lesions lymph nodes are usually enlarged and congested, splenomegaly and hepatomegaly, and the lungs are edematous and congested. Petechial hemorrh ages may be present on the kidneys, epicardium and endocardium. In chronic erysipelas, valvular endocarditis is seen as proliferative, granular growths on the heart valves, and embolisms and infarctions may develop. Mitral valve is more frequently affected, infarction of kidney and liver, arthritis may involve joints of one or more legs or the intervertebral articulations; the joint enlargement is proliferative but non suppurative, and tags of granulation tissue form in the articular cavity. There may be proliferation and erosion of the articular cartilage; this may be followed by fibrosis and ankylosis of the joint [36].

In chronic erysipelas, valvular endocarditis is seen as proliferative, granular growths on the heart valves, and embolisms and infarctions may develop. Arthritis may involve joints of one or more legs, and the intervertebral articulations may be involved. Affected joints may be enlarged, with proliferative, villous synovitis and increased viscosity of synovial fluid, inflammatory exudates, and thickening of the joint capsule. Proliferation and erosion of articular cartilage may result in fibrosis and ankylosis of the joint [37].

Diagnosis

Diagnosis of erysipelas is based on clinical signs, gross lesions, and response to antimicrobial therapy, and demonstration of the bacterium or DNA in tissues from affected animals. Acute erysipelas can be difficult to diagnose in individual pigs showing only fever, poor appetite, and listlessness. However, in outbreaks involving several animals, the presence of skin lesions and lameness is likely to be seen in at least some cases and would support a clinical diagnosis. Rhomboid urticaria or diamond skin lesions are almost diagnostic when present; however, similar lesions can also be seen with classical swine fever virus infection. The diagnosis is determined by the clinical picture and isolation of the organism, which is easy to grow in the laboratory. Erysipelas should be considered in depressed animals with high temperatures. The development of diamond-shaped skin lesions is considered pathognomonic [38].

Isolation of *E. rhusiopathiae* from blood of affected pigs, especially after enrichment, is possible in acute cases and helps establish a diagnosis. In addition, molecular methods capable of detecting *E. rhusiopathiae* DNA in affected tissues or blood (i.e., PCR assays) can also be used. Recently, immunohistochemical methods to demonstrate the organisms in formalin-fixed paraffinembedded tissues have become available and are useful in cases

when pigs have been treated with antimicrobials before sample submission. A rapid, positive response to penicillin therapy in affected pigs supports a diagnosis of acute erysipelas because of the sensitivity of the organism to penicillin [39].

Chronic erysipelas can be difficult to definitively diagnose. Arthritis and lameness, coupled with the presence of vegetative valvular endocarditis postmortem, may support a presumptive diagnosis of chronic erysipelas. However, these lesions can be caused by other infectious agents. A positive culture of valvular vegetations or demonstration of *E. rhusiopathiae* DNA in the lesions by PCR is definitive for diagnosing chronic erysipelas [40].

Serologic tests cannot reliably diagnose erysipelas but can be useful to determine previous exposure or success of vaccination protocols, because antibody titers should increase after vaccination. For this purpose, ELISAs and complement fixation tests are available in selected laboratories [41].

At necropsy of a pig that has died in the acute phase, the organism is easily cultured from a variety of body organs (heart, lungs, liver, spleen, kidneys, joints). If the illness has persisted for several days, however, the organism often can no longer be cultured from internal organs but may still be found in the joints. Under these conditions it is important to take several specimens of fluid and synovial tissue from as many synovial sacs of a joint as possible, because the organisms may be present in small numbers and limited to certain areas. A firm diagnosis is more difficult with chronic cases. Culture attempts on multiple joints often fail. However, the appearance of many cases of arthritis in growing pigs is more typical of erysipelas than of other diseases [42].

Differential diagnoses to be considered include conditions that can precipitate gross lesions suggestive of acute septicemia. Septicemic salmonellosis due to Salmonella choleraesuis infection, classical swine fever due to pestivirus infection, and septicemia and endocarditis due to Streptococcus suis infection should be considered, based on similarity of lesions. Glasser'sdi sease due to Haemophilus parasuis infection and Mycoplasma hyosynoviae infection can precipitate similar changes in synovial tissues and joints of affected pigs [43].



Figure 4: Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae Gram stain [44].

Bacteriologic Diagnosis

Isolation of E. rhusiopathiae from the acutely affected animal provides a definite laboratory diagnosis of SE. Hemoculture is a useful diagnostic aid in living animals, but specimens should be taken from several affected animals in the herd, as the presence of the organism in the blood of an individual may be inconstant. At necropsy of a pig that has died in the acute phase, the organism is easily cultured from a variety of body organs (heart, lungs, liver, spleen, kidneys, joints). If the illness has persisted for several days, however, the organism often can no longer be cultured from internal organs but may still be found in the joints. Under these conditions it is important to take several specimens of fluid and synovial tissue from as many synovial sacs of a joint as possible, because the organisms may be present in small numbers and limited to certain areas [45].

Culture of *E. rhusiopathiae* from tissue specimens is relatively simple and requires only basic laboratory equipment and culture media such as tryptose or meat infusion media with or without blood or serum added. Care should be taken to avoid accidental skin infection, as the organism is pathogenic for humans. Selective culture methods for isolation of the organism from contaminate d specimens are described elsewhere [46].

Treatment

Antimicrobial therapy with penicillin and anti-erysipelas serum comprise the standard treatment. Often administered together by dissolving the penicillin in the serum. The treatment of choice for acute erysipelas is administration of penicillin. *E. rhusiopathiae* is highly sensitive to this antibiotic, and treatment early in an acute outbreak usually results in dramatic response within 24-36 hours. Specific treatment regimens generally involve giving penicillin alone or in combination with other antibiotics or antiserum (occasionally both) to provide a longer action [47].

The use of antiserum for treatment of suckling pigs is a fairly common practice. Initiation of a vaccination program in previously unvaccinated herds where outbreaks occur is strongly 15 recommended. For maximum effectiveness the serum must be given early in the course of the disease. The recommended therapeutic dose, given S/C, varies from to 5 to 10 mL for pigs weighing less than 50 pounds (23 kg). 20-40 mL for pigs weighing more than 100 pounds (45 kg). Penicillin alone is usually adequate when the strain has only mild virulence at Standard dose of 50 000 IU/kg BW of procaine penicillin I/M for 3 days [48].

Chronic cases do not respond well to treatment because of structural damage that occurs in joints and inaccessibility of the organism in the endocardial lesions. So there is no practical treatment for chronic SE. Experimentally, the administration of anti-inflammatory agents has provided some alleviation of the effects of chronic arthritis, and they may be used in treatment of especially valuable individual animals [49]. Fever associated with acute infections can be managed by administration of NSAIDs such as flunixin meglumine or by delivery of aspirin in the water. Erysipelas antiserum is described as an effective adjunct to antibiotic therapy in treating acute outbreaks but is not commonly available. Treatment of chronic infections is usually ineffective and not cost effective [50].

Control and Prevention

Successful control depends on general management practices, good hygiene, biosecurity, reduction of stress, an effective 6-monthly vaccination policy, preferably two doses, for all animals including boars over 3 months of age, as well as rapid diagnosis, quarantine, and treatment. Acute outbreaks of SE usually can be controlled by administering penicillin and/or erysipelas antiserum to affected pigs along with antimicrobials

added to the drinking water until no sick pigs have been observed for at least 3 days [51].

Eradication of erysipelas on individual piggeries is considered impractical due to a large number of 'carrier' pigs and other 'carrier' species including birds and rodents. General hygienic precautions should be adopted. Clinically affected animals should be disposed of quickly and all introductions should be isolated and examined for signs of arthritis and endocarditis. All animals dying of the disease should be properly incinerated to avoid contamination of the environment. A combination of regular vaccination, good sanitation, the elimination of carriers with skin and 16 joint lesions, and appropriate quarantine measures for purchased stock usually will aid control of Swine Erysipelas [52, 53].

Vaccination against E. rhusiopathiae is very effective in controlling disease outbreaks on swine farms and should be encouraged. Vaccination using killed bacterins or, attenuated vaccines prepared by serial passage or strains of low virulence for pigs. The formalin-killed, aluminum-hydroxide-adsorbed bacterin confers an immunity that, in most instances, protects growing pigs from acute disease until they reach market age. An oral vaccine of low virulence is also used. Generally for prevention of SE all gilts and young boars should be vaccinated twice 2-4 weeks apart (according to manufacturer's instructions) before entering the breeding herd. Sows should be vaccinated 3-4 weeks prior to farrowing. Boars should be vaccinated every 6 months. In high-risk situations, vaccination of young stock from 6 weeks of age (either with a single dose or, if necessary, a 2 dose course) can be applied [54]. In addition to vaccination, attention to sanitation and hygiene and elimination of pigs with clinical signs suggestive of erysipelas infection represent other viable methods that may help control the disease on swine farms [55, 56].

Conclusion and Recommendetions

Swine Erysipelas is a common infectious disease affecting all ages of pigs and is a particular problem in small populations that are not protected by vaccination. Whilst serious and potentially fatal, the acute form of the disease responds well to appropriate antibiotic treatment and the disease can be easily and cheaply prevented by applying a routine vaccination regime. The disease can result in a high mortality rate, and greatest economic loss. Based on the above conclusion the following recommendations are forwarded;

- Attention to sanitation and hygiene and elimination of pigs with clinical signs is suggestive to control the disease on swine farms.
- Education and awareness creation of farmers about the transmission, zoonosis, severity and its control and preventive measures and early treatment would appear to be most effective.
- Further, detailed, seasonal and other risk factors study should be done to enable the development of appropriate control strategy.

References

1. Food and Agricultural Organization (2018) "Zoonotic diseases spotlight, The case for an expert elicitation protocol on zoonoses ETHIOPIA, ASL2050 Ethiopia Steering Committee and in consultation with national livestock stakeholders.", Africa Sustainable Agriculture 2050:141-

J Clin Epid Toxic, 2021

147.

- Sato A, Tazumi N, Tsutsumi S Nagai A (2015) Characterization of Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae strains isolated from recent swine erysipelas outbreaks in Japan. J Vet Med Sci 74: 49-53.
- Loss F, To E, Expected B, Farm W, Filthy N (2015) common diseases of farm animals By, Visit: www.kashvet.org"10: 38-56.
- 4. Khan U, Atanasova R, Krueger S, Ramirez A, Gray G (2013) "Epidemiology, geographical distribution, and economic consequences of swine zoonoses: a narrative review", Journal Title 2: 2-4.
- 5. Moines D (2012) "General Swine Disease and Foreign Animal Disease, No. 800.
- Opriessnig T, Hoffman J, Harris L, Gaul B, Halbur G (2012) Erysipelothrixrhusiopath iae: genetic characterization of midwest US isolates and live commercial vaccines using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. J Vet Diagn Invest 16:101-107.
- Bender J, Irwin K, Shen G, Schwartz J, Opriessnig T (2011) Erysipelothrix spp. genotypes, serotypes, and surface protective antigen types associated with abattoir condemnations. J Vet Diagn Invest 23:139-42.
- 8. Wang Q, Fidalgo S, Chang J, Mee J, Riley V (2016) The detection and recovery of Erysipelothrix spp. in meat and abattoir samples in Western Australia. J ApplMicrobiol 92: 844-850.
- 9. Harada T, Ogawa Y, Eguchi M, Shi F, Sato M, et al. (2011) Phosphorylcholine and SpaA, a choline-binding protein, are involved in the adherence of Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae to porcine endothelial cells, but this adherence is not mediated by the PAF receptor. Vet Microbiol 18: 216-222.
- 10. Atlas M (2010) Handbook of Microbiological Media Fourth Edition, fourth., CRC Press, Washengton DC.
- 11. Grainger J, Hurst J, Burdass D (2011) Basic Practical Microbiology Compiled by davis state university.
- 12. Dwight C, Hirsh K, Yuan R, Chung Z (1999) Veterinary Microbiology.pdf.
- 13. Haesebrouck F, Pasmans F, Chiers K, Maes D, Ducatelle R, et al. (2004) Efficacy of vaccines against bacterial diseases in swine: what can we expect? Vet Microbiol 100: 255-268.
- 14. Coutinho A, Imada Y, Barcellos E, Oliveira J, Moreno M (2011) Phenotypic and molecular characterization of recent and archived Erysipelothrix spp. isolated from Brazilian swine. DiagnMicrobiol Infect Dis 69:123-129.
- 15. Gerber F, Macleod A, Opriessnig T (2018) Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae serotype 15 associated with recurring pig erysipelas outbreaks 1-4.
- 16. Duizer G (2015) Syndrome classification through a retrospective analysis of porcine submissions to a regional animal health by, No. January 50-53.
- 17. Zhu W, Wu C, Kang C, Cai C, Wang Y, et al. (2018) Evaluation of the protective efficacy of four newly identified surface proteins of Erysipelothrixrhusiopathiae. Vaccine 36: 8079-8083.
- Forde L, Orsel K, Zadoks R, Biek R, Adams L, et al. (2016) Bacterial genomics reveal the complex epidemiology of an emerging pathogen in arctic and boreal ungulates. Front Microbiol 7:17-59.
- 19. Opriessnig T, Forde T, Shimoji Y (2020) Erysipelothrix Spp.: Past, Present, and Future Directions in Vaccine Research. Front. Vet. Sci 7:174.
- 20. Brooke J (1999) "Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae: bacteriology, epidemiology and clinical manifestations of an occupational

pathogen" 48:789-799.

- Stokholm M, Permin A, Bisgaard M, Christensen J (2010) Causes of mortality in commercial organic layers in Denmark. Avian Dis 54:1241-1250.
- 22. Aazvahwc (2019) American Association of Zoo Veterinarians Animal Health and Welfare Committee, "Infectious Disease Manual Infectious Diseases of Concern to Captive and Free Ranging Wildlife in, No. September19.
- 23. Peter D, Constable K, Hinchcliff H, Done G (2007) VETERINARY MEDICINE, A Text Book of the Disease of Cattle, Horse, Sheep, Pigs and Goats, eleventh, Elsevier.
- Pomorska-Mol M, Markowska-Daniel I, Pejsak Z (2012) Effect of age and maternally-derived antibody status on humoral and cellular immune responses to vaccination of pigs against Erysipelothrixrhusiopathiae. Vet J 194:128-130.
- 25. Nolting J (2017) Swine Zoonotic Disease Risks and Prevention, Veterinary Preventive Medicine, No. Agricultural and Extension Education, Veterinary Preventive Medicine, The Ohio State University and Andrew S. Bowman, MS, DVM, PhD Veterinary Preventive Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine 47.
- Morrow M, Carolina N (2015) Zoonotic Diseases in Pork Production, Morgan Morrow, North Carolina State University David Newman, North Dakota State University 1-5.
- Paho (2011) zoonoses and communicable diseases common to man and animals, Bacterioses and Mycoses Scientific I: 580.
- Carloton L, Gyles F, Prescott Y, GlennSonger T (2010) Pathogenesis of Bacterial Infections in Animals, fourth, Jhon Wiley-black well.
- 29. Shankar P, Chandan S, Madhusudan H, Ranjith D (2016) Pathology of Erysipelas infection in Piglets, No. December 234-235.
- 30. James F, Zachary D, Illinois U, Urbana I (2017) Pathologic Basis Of Veterinary Disease, sixth, Elsevier, China.
- 31. Eveleth D, Goldsby W, Alice I (2014) Technician. Associate Veterinarian, Swine erysipelas148-151.
- 32. Shimoji Y, Ogawa Y, Tsukio M, Shiraiwa K, Nishikawa, et al. (2019) Genome-wide identification of virulence genes in Erysipelothrixrhusiopathiae: use of a mutant deficient in a tag homolog as a safe oral vaccine against swine erysipelas. Infect Immun 87: 673-719.
- 33. IDP (2017) Swine erysipelas, Animal Biosecurity & Welfare Introduction, second edition, No. August 1-2.
- 34. Zewdu B, Bishaw D, Dereje A, Desta W, Muluneh H, et al. (2005) University, J. and In collaboration with the Ethiopia Public Health Training Initiative, The Carter Center, the Ethiopia Ministry of Health, and the E.M. of E., Common Skin Diseases.
- 35. httpe://en.wikipedia.org.
- 36. Nollens H, Gimenéz-Lirola L, Robeck R, Schmitt T, DiRocco S, et al. (2016) Evaluation of anti-Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae IgG response in bottlenose dolphins Tursiops truncatus to a commercial pig vaccine. Dis Aquat Organ 121: 249-256.
- Van L, McGrath C (1942) Historical research bulletins of the nebraska agricultural experiment station. Swine erysipelas, Revised edition of Research Bulletin 84. Availableonlineat: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ardhistrb/205.
- Woodbine M (1948) Biochemical Division, Faculty of Technology, The University Manchester, erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae. Bacteriology and chemotherapy 46:161-178.
- 39. Wang Q, Chang J, Riley T (2010) Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae. Vet Microbiol 140: 405-417.
- 40. Ministry of agricalture and rular Development (2015)

Bacteriology.pdf, Standared veterinary diagnostic manual, Addis Ababa.

- 41. Shiraiwa K, Ogawa Y, Nishikawa S, Kusumoto M, Eguchi M, et al. (2017) Single nucleotide polymorphism genotyping of Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae isolates from pigs affected with chronic erysipelas in Japan. J Vet Med Sci 79: 699-701.
- 42. Connell (2014) Studies Of Swine Erysipelas, Division of Animal Pathology, & ience Service, Dominion Department of Agrciulture, Braneb Laboatory, Prairie Area, Lethbridge, Alberta104-123.
- 43. Leuven B, Vandepitte J, Verhaegen L (2003) Department of Microbiology St Rafaël Academic Hospital, Basic laboratory procedures in clinical bacteriology, World Health Organization Geneva.
- 44. httpe://en.wikipedia.org, 2020.
- 45. Ogawa Y, Shiraiwa K, Ogura Y, Ooka T, Nishikawa S, et al. (2017) Clonal lineages of Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae responsible for acute swine erysipelas in Japan identified by using genome-wide single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis. Appl Environ Microbiol 83: e00130-17.
- 46. Sawada T, Takahashi T (1987) Cross protection of mice and swine inoculated with culture filtrate of attenuated Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae and challenge exposed to strains of various serovars. Am J Vet Res 48: 239-42.21
- 47. Jim E, Riviere D, Mark G, Papich D (2018) Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Tenth., Wiley Blackwell.
- Drug Control Authority of Ethiopia (2010) stndard treatment guideline for healthcenters Drug Administration and Control Authority of Ethiopia Contents, No. January1-349.
- 49. Aazvah WC (2019) Infectious Disease Manual Infectious Diseases of Concern to Captive and Free Ranging Wildlife in, No. September.
- Eamens J, Chin C, Turner B, Barchia I (2006) Evaluation of Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae vaccines in pigs by intradermal challenge and immune responses. Vet Microbiol 116:138-48.
- 51. Kouam K (2018) Assessment of Factors Influencing the Implementation of Biosecurity Measures on Pig Farms in the Western Highlands of Cameroon.
- 52. Jeon W, Kim C, Hong M, Rejinold S, Park K, et al. (2018) Microcrystalline cellulose for delivery of recombinant protein-based antigen against erysipelas in mice. Biomed Res Int.
- 53. Mekuriaw Y, Asmare B (2014) Assessment of Pig Production and Constraints in Mecha District, Amhara Region, Northwestern Ethiopia, Hindawi Publishing Corporation", Advances in Agriculture 1-5.
- 54. Stefan S, Fukai B, Jianfeng Wu A, Yilmaz J, Stefan R (2016) Mahmoud, Applied and Environmental Microbiology School of Biochemistry, Genetics and Microbiology University of KwaZulu-Natal Pietermaritzburg, S.A. (2016). Microbiology Research 10: 48.
- 55. Taylor (2006) Diseases of Swine, 9th Edition, Blackwell Publishing Asia.
- 56. Birhan M, Betelhem TG, Medhin G (2015) Challenges and Opportunities of Pig Farming and Feeding Strategy in Gondar Town, Ethiopia, Academic Journal of Nutrition 4: 84-89.

Copyright: ©2021 Dessalew Habte, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.