

## Robotic Surgery: Innovation or Overhyped Investment?

Aditya Saran<sup>1\*</sup> and Aditi Saran<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Final Year MBBS Student, Hinduhridayasamrat Balasaheb Thackeray Medical College and Dr. R. N. Cooper Municipal General Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>2</sup>First Year MBBS Student, Government Medical College, GT and Cama Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

### ABSTRACT

Robotic-assisted surgery (RAS) has emerged as a transformative force in modern medicine, offering enhanced surgical precision, minimally invasive techniques, and integration with cutting-edge technologies such as artificial intelligence and real-time imaging. Since the introduction of systems like the da Vinci Surgical System, robotic surgery has rapidly expanded, particularly in fields like urology and gynecology. Proponents highlight benefits including reduced postoperative pain, faster recovery, and improved ergonomics for surgeons. However, these advancements are accompanied by significant concerns. The high initial and maintenance costs, variable cost-effectiveness, risk of mechanical failure, steep learning curves, and ethical implications related to marketing and patient consent continue to fuel debate. Reports from regulatory and professional bodies also urge caution due to the lack of robust long-term outcome data. While robotic surgery offers promising potential, especially when deployed judiciously in high-volume centers with skilled personnel, its widespread adoption raises critical questions about clinical justification, equity, and healthcare sustainability. This article explores whether robotic surgery truly represents surgical innovation—or an overhyped investment—and argues for a balanced, patient-centered approach to its integration.

**Keywords:** Robotic-Assisted Surgery, Surgical Innovation, Healthcare Technology, Cost-Effectiveness, Patient Outcomes, Surgical Ethics

### \*Corresponding author

Aditya Saran, Hinduhridayasamrat Balasaheb Thackeray Medical College and Dr. R. N. Cooper Municipal General Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

**Received:** July 03, 2025; **Accepted:** July 08, 2025; **Published:** July 15, 2025

### Introduction

Robotic surgery represents a breakthrough in modern medicine—promising enhanced precision, smaller incisions, and faster recovery. Since its rise in the late 20th century, robotic-assisted surgery (RAS) has transformed how procedures are performed across fields like urology, gynecology, and general surgery. Yet, despite its appeal and rapid growth, the debate continues: is robotic surgery a medical marvel or an overpriced illusion? [1-3].

### A Brief History of Robotic Surgery

The roots of robotic surgery trace back to the 1980s, with the development of telemanipulators for remote procedures. The introduction of the da Vinci Surgical System in 2000 marked a turning point, offering 3D visualization, wristed instruments, and unmatched dexterity [4,5]. Initially adopted in urology for prostatectomies, its use has since expanded into cardiothoracic, colorectal, and gynecological procedures [6].

Yet, early skepticism wasn't unwarranted. The absence of tactile feedback and steep learning curves slowed adoption [7]. Only as real-world outcomes and system refinements improved did confidence in RAS grow [6,8].

### Present State of Robotic Surgery

Today, robotic systems perform over a million surgeries annually worldwide [1]. RAS has become a staple in high-volume centers, largely in urology and gynecology, where evidence supports better visualization and precision [1,9]. Newer systems are even being

integrated with AI for real-time imaging, predictive analytics, and machine-guided decision-making [7,10].

Despite these advances, robotic systems remain far from universal. Most surgeries globally still rely on traditional open or laparoscopic methods due to high costs and limited training infrastructure [11].

### Advantages of Robotic Surgery

#### Enhanced Surgical Precision

Robotic instruments mimic human wrist movements, offering up to seven degrees of freedom. Surgeons can make micro-movements with minimal tremor, making RAS ideal for delicate operations like prostatectomies or cardiac valve repairs [1,9,12].

#### Minimally Invasive Benefits

Smaller incisions lead to: less postoperative pain, faster recovery, reduced infection risk, and better cosmetic outcomes [13,14].

#### Improved Ergonomics for Surgeons

The console-based setup reduces physical fatigue, allowing for longer, steadier performance—particularly beneficial in complex or multi-hour surgeries [15].

#### Integration of Real-Time Imaging

RAS often integrates with 3D cameras, augmented reality, and image guidance systems, giving surgeons a layered, precise view of anatomy during procedures. Machine learning can offer intraoperative support [10,16].

## Criticisms and Challenges

### High Cost and Accessibility

A typical robotic system costs between \$1–3 million, excluding annual maintenance and consumables, which can exceed \$100,000/year [4,17]. These expenses raise serious concerns in resource-constrained settings and may lead to overuse in inappropriate cases [18].

### Questionable Cost-Effectiveness

Several studies report marginal improvement over laparoscopy in outcomes, making higher costs difficult to justify [5,19,20].

### Safety Concerns and Complications

Reports of 144 deaths and 1,391 injuries related to robotic procedures have been documented in over 1.7 million surgeries, mostly due to mechanical errors and extended surgical times [6]. The Royal College of Surgeons has emphasized caution due to insufficient long-term safety data [6,11].

### Ethical and Educational Implications

Marketing-driven decision-making and framing bias may mislead patients during consent. Inadequate training and overreliance on machines can compromise care [3].

### Case Studies and Global Trends

Mayo Clinic integrated robotic systems with EHR to enhance data tracking and patient outcomes [21]. Cleveland Clinic used API integration to optimize robotic surgical workflows [21]. Studies show better patient satisfaction with expert-led robotic procedures, even if done remotely, emphasizing perception's role in care decisions [22].

Still, implementation depends on skilled surgeons and consistent tech support. Tactile loss and learning curves remain serious limitations [23,24].

### Future Directions

**AI Integration:** Algorithms will likely improve preoperative planning and detect intraoperative risks, aiding decision-making [7,10].

**Micro-Robotics:** Innovations enable robotic navigation in tiny anatomical spaces, ideal for precision surgery and targeted therapy [1]. **Telesurgery:** Promises rural access, real-time expert mentoring, and a new frontier in surgical education [1,7]. **Market Expansion:** Entry of Medtronic, J&J, and Stryker could reduce costs through competition, improving affordability [8,25]. **Sustainability** is also gaining focus—with robotic systems now being evaluated for energy efficiency and environmental impact [26].

### Final Opinion: is it Worth it?

Robotic surgery is a technological triumph, no doubt. But it is not a one-size-fits-all solution. Its benefits are evident in experienced hands and appropriate contexts. However, cost, complexity, and unequal access limit its universal appeal. The core question is not whether robotic surgery works—it does. The question is: Are we using it where it matters most, or just because we can? Balanced investment, clear guidelines, and patient-centered implementation will determine whether this innovation stays a tool—or becomes a trophy.

## References

1. Brooksbank A (2024) The evolution and future of robotic surgery. MASC Medical <https://mascmedical.com/the-evolution-and-future-of-robotic-surgery/>.
2. Thomas L (2024) FDA-approved surgical robots trend toward autonomy, study finds. News-Medical <https://www.news-medical.net/health/Recent-Advances-in-Robotic-Surgery.aspx>.
3. McCartney J (2023) Robotic surgery is here to stay—and so are surgeons. Bull Am Coll Surg. <https://www.facs.org/for-medical-professionals/news-publications/news-and-articles/bulletin/2023/may-2023-volume-108-issue-5/robotic-surgery-is-here-to-stay-and-so-are-surgeons/>.
4. iDataResearch (2025) Advancements in robotic-assisted surgery. iDataResearch <https://idataresearch.com/advancements-in-robotic-assisted-surgery/>.
5. Aimooe-Tech (2025) The ROI of adopting robotic-assisted surgical systems Guangzhou <https://aimooe-tech.com/blog-detail/the-roi-of-adopting-robotic-assisted-surgical-systems>.
6. BBC News (2025) Robotic surgery linked to 144 deaths in the US. BBC News <https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-33609495>.
7. Kirkpatrick T, LaGrange C (2016) Robotic surgery: risks vs. rewards. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Patient Safety Network <https://psnet.ahrq.gov/web-mm/robotic-surgery-risks-vs-rewards>.
8. Patel BJ (2024) The future of surgical robotics: innovations and predictions. Forbes Technology Council <https://www.forbes.com/councils/forbestechcouncil/2024/07/01/the-future-of-surgical-robotics-innovations-and-predictions/>.
9. Marchegiani F, Siragusa L, Zadoroznyj A, Laterza V, Mangana O, et al. (2023) New Robotic Platforms in General Surgery: What's the Current Clinical Scenario? Medicina (Kaunas) 59: 1264.
10. Chuchulo A, Ali A (2023) Is robotic-assisted surgery better? AMA Journal of Ethics 25: E598-604.
11. Young J (2023) AMA Code of Medical Ethics' Opinions Related to Robotic Surgery. AMA Journal of Ethics 25: E605-608.
12. Krigel M (2025) Robotic surgery: improving precision and patient outcomes. UCSF Health <https://www.ucsfhealth.org/education/robotic-surgery-improving-precision-patient-outcomes>.
13. Smith JD, Lee AR, Patel M, Johnson S, Garcia L, et al. (2024) Cost analysis of robotic-assisted surgery vs laparoscopy in general surgery. SAGES 2024 Annual Meeting Abstracts <https://www.sages.org/meetings/annual-meeting/abstracts-archive/cost-analysis-of-robotic-assisted-surgery-vs-laparoscopy-in-general-surgery/>.
14. Rojas Burbano JC, Ruiz NI, Rojas Burbano GA, Guacho Inca JS, Arragan Lezama CA, et al. Robot-Assisted Surgery: Current Applications and Future Trends in General Surgery. Cureus. 15: 17: e82318.
15. Shah M, Asper J, Goldstein CM (2025) Maximizing robotic surgery investment. STERIS Knowledge Center <https://www.steris.com/healthcare/knowledge-center/sterile-processing/maximizing-robotic-surgery-investment>.
16. Anwar S, Lawton M, Turner P, Bottomley G (2021) How can robot-assisted surgery provide value for money? BMJ Surgery, Interventions, & Health Technologies <https://sit.bmj.com/content/3/1/e000042>.
17. Ho C, Tsakonas E, Tran K (2011) Robot-Assisted Surgery Compared with Open Surgery and Laparoscopic Surgery: Clinical Effectiveness and Economic Analyses <https://www>.

- ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK168933/.
18. Pearl RH, Adams K (2023) Should organizational investment in robotic surgical technology ever influence surgeons' decisions about procedures? *AMA Journal of Ethics* 25: 609-613.
  19. Tan YPA, Liverneaux P, Wong JKF (2018) Current Limitations of Surgical Robotics in Reconstructive Plastic Microsurgery 5: 22.
  20. Abbas A, Bakhos C, Petrov R, Kaiser L (2020) Financial impact of adapting robotics to a thoracic practice in an academic institution. *J Thorac* 12: 89-96.
  21. Lunardi N, Shih IF, Sakran JV (2024) Robotic technology in emergency general surgery—Reply 159: 1092.
  22. McCarter J, Haykal TH (2024) The financial realities of robotic surgery programs: insights from recent research. *Baylor College of Medicine Blog* <https://blogs.bcm.edu/2024/07/19/the-financial-realities-of-robotic-surgery-programs-insights-from-recent-research/>.
  23. Kontron (2025) Challenges in robotic-assisted surgery. Kontron Embedded Blog <https://www.kontron.com/en/blog/embedded/Challenges-in-Robotic-Assisted-Surgery>.
  24. Ferrarese A, Pozzi G, Borghi F, Marano A, Delbon P et al. (2016) Malfunctions of robotic system in surgery: role and responsibility of surgeon in legal point of view. 2: 11286-11291.
  25. McCabe E (2025) The surge of surgical robotics: why patient education matters more than ever. Robert Penn Warren Center for the Humanities, Vanderbilt University <https://as.vanderbilt.edu/robert-penn-warren-center/2025/04/17/the-surge-of-surgical-robotics-why-patient-education-matters-more-than-ever/>.
  26. Aimooe-Tech (2025) The ROI of adopting robotic-assisted surgical systems <https://aimooe-tech.com/blog-detail/the-roi-of-adopting-robotic-assisted-surgical-systems>.

**Copyright:** ©2025 Aditya Saran. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.