Nutritional and Anti-Diabetic Properties of Cookies Supplemented with Processed Phyllantus Amarus Leaf
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ABSTRACT

This study described the α-Glucosidase, α-amylase, antioxidant activity, and glycemic index of wheat - Phyllantus amarus cookies, using standard methods. It was also observed that these medicinal plants have the ability to inhibit α-amylase, α-glucosidase and reducing effect on the radical DPPH and glycemic index of the formulated cookies. The microbial count on Phyllantus amarus cookies showed that only total viable count between (2-5) 103 cfu/g shows re-sponse against the four samples tested, while Staphylococcus aureus (cfu/g), Coliform count (cfu/g), Fungi count (sfu/g) showed no response which is an ev-idence that these samples may be good for consumption. Physical characteris-tics; thickness, diameter, weight, spread ratio and hardness were also observed which ranged from 12.68mm - 14.37mm, 2.76mm - 2.90mm, 4.18g - 6.44g, 0.17 - 0.21 and 8.13mm - 11.57mm respectively. The moisture, protein, ash, fat, fibre, carbohydrate contents of the control cookies (100% Wheat flour) were 5.08%, 14.60%, 1.63%, 20.75%, 1.78%, 57.95% respectively while that of wheat-Phyllantus amarus cookies ranged from 4.14 % - 4.83 %, 13.95 % - 15.92 %, 1.22 % - 2.15 %, 19.83 % - 21.40 %, 2.70 % - 3.38 %, 56.64 % - 60.38 % respectively.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM), a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by high blood glucose levels, continues to be a major medical concern worldwide due to its high prevalence and potential deleterious effects. It includes a group of met-abolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia, in which blood sugar levels are elevated either because the pancreas do not produce enough insulin or cells do not respond to the produced insulin [1]. For a long time natural products from plants have been used for the treatment of diabetes, mainly in developing coun-tries where the resources are limited and affordability and access to modern treatment is a problem [6].

Phyllanthus amarus (Schum and Thonn) is one of the most pharmacologically important species of the Phyllanthus family. It is a medicinally important plant belonging to Euphorbiaceae otherwise known as “stone breaker”, “carry me seed” etc. In Nigeria, the plant is called “geron tsuntsaye” (Hausa), “eyin-olobe” (Yoruba) and “ngwu” (Igbo). Phyllanthus amarus is an erect annual herb of not more than one and half feet tall. It has small leaves and yel-low flowers. It is commonly found in forest areas, arid land, savannah areas, leached and exhausted soil in many countries including China [7].

The decoctions of various parts of the herbs are used traditionally for the treatment of hepatic, urinary and sexually transmitted diseases, diabetes, hy-pertension and cancer. In folk medicine, P. amarus herb has found applica-tions in the management of several health problems such as diarrhea, dysentery, dropsy, jaundice, intermittent fevers, urinogenital disorders, scabies and wounds. Other reported pharmacological activities of the plant include antitumor, antioxidant, antileptospiral, antimicrobial, anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory and anti-convulsant activities [8].

because the pancreas do not produce enough insulin or cells do not respond to the produced insulin [1]. For a long time natural products from plants have been used for the treatment of diabetes, mainly in developing coun-tries where the resources are limited and affordability and access to modern treatment is a problem [6].

Received: May 10, 2022; Accepted: May 24, 2022; Published: May 31, 2022

Keywords: α -Glucosidase, α -Amylase, Glycemic Index, Antioxidant, Proxi-mate, and Physical Characteristics of Wheat-Phyllantus Cookies

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM), a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by high blood glucose levels, continues to be a major medical concern worldwide due to its high prevalence and potential deleterious effects. It includes a group of met-abolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia, in which blood sugar levels are elevated either because the pancreas do not produce enough insulin or cells do not respond to the produced insulin [1]. For a long time natural products from plants have been used for the treatment of diabetes, mainly in developing coun-tries where the resources are limited and affordability and access to modern treatment is a problem [6].

Phyllanthus amarus (Schum and Thonn) is one of the most pharmacologically important species of the Phyllanthus family. It is a medicinally important plant belonging to Euphorbiaceae otherwise known as “stone breaker”, “carry me seed” etc. In Nigeria, the plant is called “geron tsuntsaye” (Hausa), “eyin-olobe” (Yoruba) and “ngwu” (Igbo). Phyllanthus amarus is an erect annual herb of not more than one and half feet tall. It has small leaves and yel-low flowers. It is commonly found in forest areas, arid land, savannah areas, leached and exhausted soil in many countries including China [7].

The decoctions of various parts of the herbs are used traditionally for the treatment of hepatic, urinary and sexually transmitted diseases, diabetes, hy-pertension and cancer. In folk medicine, P. amarus herb has found applica-tions in the management of several health problems such as diarrhea, dysentery, dropsy, jaundice, intermittent fevers, urinogenital disorders, scabies and wounds. Other reported pharmacological activities of the plant include antitumor, antioxidant, antileptospiral, antimicrobial, anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory and anti-convulsant activities [8].
Materials and Method

Materials

Sample Collection
Freshly harvested leaves of *Phyllantus amarus* and were obtained from the teaching research farm of The Federal University of Technology Akure Ondo State. It was identified at the Department of Crop, Soil and Pest, Federal University of Technology Akure Ondo State.

Chemicals and Reagents
All chemicals and reagents used in this study were of analytical grade and water was glass distilled.

Determination of Proximate Composition of *Phyllantus Amarus* and Cookies
This was determined following the method described by [9].

Analysis on Cookies
Four samples of cookies were formulated as presented in Table 3.1, where varying percentage of wheat flour was substituted with the composite leaf flour comprised of *phyllantus amarus* (PA) leaf. The formulations include; the control cookies with 100 g of wheat flour with 0 g of leave flour (PA), cookies with 95 g of wheat flour to 5 g of leave flour (PA), cookies with 90 g of wheat flour to 10 g of leave flour (PA), and cookies with 85 g of wheat flour to 15 g of leave flour (PA). Cookies were produced as described by as shown in figure 3.1, using flour (wheat and leave flour), sugar, margarine, salt, sodium bicarbonate, water, milk and vanilla essence which were all weighed appropriately and the two stage creamy up method was used [10]. All the ingredients except flour were mixed thoroughly in a Kenwood mixer (a 3-speed hand mixer), it was then transferred into a bowl and the flour and sodium bicarbonate was added with continuous mixing for 15 minutes until smooth dough was ob-tained. A piece of this dough was cut, placed on a clean platform and then rolled out using rolling pin until the desired uniform texture and thickness was obtained. Cookies cutter was used to cut the sheet of the dough into the required shapes and sizes. These were then transferred on to a greased (with margarine) baking tray. The baking was done at 200 ºC for 15-20 minutes, after which the hot cookies were removed from the pan and placed on a clean tray for cooling. The cookies were then packed after scooping in polyethylene sachets.

![Flow Diagram of Cookies Production](image)

**Figure 3.1:** Flow Diagram of Cookies Production [10].

Test for Alpha -Amylase Inhibitory Activity
Porcine pancreatic α-amylase (PPA; A05329G191; 1 ml) was dissolved in 9 ml of 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.9) to give 4 Unit/mL solutions. The stock solution of the plant extracts was prepared by dissolving 1 g of the extract in 5 ml of 2% DMSO to give a concentration of 20 mg/mL. Potato starch (0.5% w/v) was dissolved in 20 mM phosphate buffered saline (pH 6.9) and placed in a boiling water bath to get a clear solution. The alpha-amylase inhibition assay was done using the chromogenic non-pre-incubation method adapted from Sigma-Aldrich. Briefly, 40 μL of plant extract, 160 μL of distilled water, and 400 μL of starch solution were mixed in a screw top plastic tube. The reaction started by the addition of 200 μL of the enzyme solution and the tubes were incubated at 25°C for 3 min at room temperature. The enzyme solution was add-ed at 1 min interval from the start of the reaction. Briefly, 200 μL of the mixture was withdrawn into a separate test tube containing 100 μL of DNS color reagent (50.68 g sodium potassium tartrate dissolved in 70 ml of 2 M NaOH with 0.026 mM of 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid) and placed in a water bath maintained at 85–90°C for 15 min. The mixture in each tube was diluted with 900 mL of distilled water and the absorbance was measured at 540 nm. For each concentration of the extract used, blank incubation was prepared by replacing the enzyme solution with distilled water (200 μL) at the start of the reaction, to correct for the absorbance generated by the plant extract. Control incubations, representing 100% enzyme activity, were carried out in a similar manner by replacing plant extract with 40 μL of 2% DMSO. All the tests were run in triplicate. From the value obtained, the percentage (w/v) of maltose generated was calculated from the equation obtained from the maltose standard calibration curve (0−0.1% w/v maltose). The level of inhibition was calculated as follows: Inhibition (%) = 100 − % reaction (at
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**Table 3.1:** Formulation of Wheat-Phyllantus Amarus Cookies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>CWPA₁</th>
<th>CWPA₂</th>
<th>CWPA₃</th>
<th>CWPA₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat flour (g)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave flour (g)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar (g)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margarine (g)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt (g)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium bi-carbonate (g)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk (g)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (ml)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanilla essence (ml)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CWPA₁ = cookies with 100 g of wheat flour and no plant leave flour (control sample)
CWPA₂ = cookies with 95 g of wheat flour and 5 g of plant leave flour (sample 1)
CWPA₃ = cookies with 90 g of wheat flour and 10 g of plant leave flour (sample 2)
CWPA₄ = cookies with 85 g of wheat flour and 15 g of plant leave flour (sample 3) Source [10].

min), where % reaction = mean maltose in sample × 100/mean maltose in control [11].

Alpha-Glucosidase Inhibitory Assay
The effect of the plant extracts on α-glucosidase activity was determined according to the method described by Using α-glucosidase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae [12]. The substrate solution p-nitro phenyl glucopyranoside (pNPG) was prepared in 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.9. 100 μL of α-glucosidase (0.3 U/mL) (1-2mg α-glucosidase was dissolved in 100ml of phosphate buffer pH 6.8 containing 200mg BSA) was pre-incubated with 50 μL of the sample for 10 min. Then 50 μL of 3.0 mM (pNPG) as a substrate dissolved in 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.9) was then added to start the reaction. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C for 20 min and stopped by adding 2 mL of 0.1 M Na2CO3. The -glucosidase activity was determined by measuring the yellow-colored para-nitrophenol released from pNPG at 405 nm.

Determination of Glycemic Index of Phyllantus Amarus Cookies
In vitro starch hydrolysis rate and GI were determined according to Cookies samples (50 mg) were incubated with 1 mg of pepsin in 10 ml HCl-KCl buffer (pH 1.5) at 400 °C for 60 minutes in a shaking water bath [13]. The digest was diluted to 25 ml by adding phosphate buffer (pH 6.9), and then 5 ml of a-amylase solution containing 0.005 g of a-amylase in 10 ml of buffer was added-ed. The samples were incubated at 37 °C in a shaking water bath. 0.1 ml of the sample was taken from each flask and boiled for 15 minutes to inactivate the enzyme. Sodium acetate buffer (1 ml 0.4 M, pH 4.75) was added and the resid-ual starch digested to glucose by adding 30 ml amyloglucosidase and incubated at 60 °C for 45 mins. Glucose concentration was determined by adding 200 ml of dinitrosalicilic acid colour reagent. The reaction mixtures was stopped by placing the tubes in a water bath at 100 °C for 5 minutes and then cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was then diluted by adding 5 ml of distilled water and the mixture was centrifuged at 1200 rpm. The supernatant was collected and the absorbance measured at 540 nm using spectrophotome-ter. The rate of starch digestion was expressed as the percentage of starch hy-drolized. A 50 mg sample of glucose was used as the standard.

Physical Analysis of the Formulated Cookies
The weight (W) of the cookies was measured on a weighing balance. The diam-eter (D), thickness (T) and spread ratio were all analyzed as described by [14]. For the determination of cookies diameter (D), four cookies were placed edge to edge. The total diameter of the six randomly selected cookies was measured in mm by using a ruler. The cookies were rotated at an angle of 90° for dupli-cate reading. The average diameter was reported in mm. To determine the thickness (T), four cookies were placed on top of another and the total height was measured in mm with the help of a ruler. This was repeated thrice to get an average value and results were reported in mm. Spread ratio was deter-mined with the help of following formula:

\[\text{Spread ratio} = \frac{\text{Average diameter}}{\text{Average thickness}} \times 100\]

Sensory evaluation of cookies from phyllatus amarus
Sensory evaluation was performed using the modified method of [15]. 40 students were used from the Department of Food Science and Technology of The Federal University of Technology, Akure. The samples were checked for Appearance, Aroma, Taste, Texture, Mouldability and Overall Acceptability. The participants evaluated the samples using a 9 point hedonic scale quality analy-sis with 9 = like extremely, 8 = like very much, 7 = like moderately, 6 = like slightly, 5 = Neither like or disliked, 4 = Dislike slightly, 3 = dislike moderately, 2 = Dislike very much, 1 = Dislike extremely.

Microbial Analyses of Cookies from Phyllantus Amarus
Preparation of Culture Media
The media used in this research work were: Nutrient Agar (NA), MacConkey Agar (MCA), Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA) and Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA). They were prepared according to the manufacturer’s specification as directed on the containers.

Sterilization of Materials and Culture Media
Glass ware such as conical flask, measuring cylinders, Petri-dishes, McCartney bottles, cork borer and other glass container were washed, drained and dried. They were then autoclaved (121°C for 15 min) for sterilization, and were al-lowed to cool to 40 °C before used. Nutrient Agar (NA), Macconkey Agar (MCA), Monitor Salt Agar (MSA) and Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) were also sterilized by autoclaving. Work surfaces were sterilized by swabbing with 95% ethanol. Aseptic working environment was achieved with the use of spirit lamp.

Preparation of Diluent
For every 100 ml of distilled water, 0.85 g of NaCl was weighed into it and dissolved by shaking. And 9 ml of the diluent was dispensed into serial dilu-tion bottles and sterilized for serial dilutions.

Microbial Counts of Phyllatus Amarus Cookies
Twenty five millilitres of each cookies samples was added to 225ml of sterile saline water. This was thoroughly mixed in the bottle and pour plate method was used. Each sample (1ml) was transferred into sterile petri dishes and indi-vidual sterilized agar was poured and swirled to cover the surface of the petri dish. The coliform count and total viable count plates were incubated at 320°C for 24h and the microbial growths were counted using colony counter. The to-tal count plates were incubated at room temperature for 72h [16].

Statistical Analysis
Data were to analysis of variance (ANOVA). Comparison of means was car-ried out by Duncan’s multiple range test [17]. Statistical analysis was per-formed using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS 17.0) and the means ± SD were calculated from triplicate determinations.

Chapter Four
Results and Discussion
Proximate Composition (%) of Wheat - Phyllantus Amarus Cookies
The proximate composition of cookies is represented on Table 1. The moist-ture content is between the range of (5.08% – 4.83%) with the highest value in sample S1 (5.08%) and the lowest value in sample S2 (4.83%). The moisture content of cookies production usually dependent on the time and temperature used for the baking process and the moisture content got from this study was found to be within the acceptable range for baked goods with respect to stor-age stability and microbial contamination.

The results of the Ash content shows that the value was between (1.22 - 2.15%) with the highest value from S3 (2.15%) and the lowest value in S4 (1.22%). However there was linear relationship (P > 0.05) between the activi-ties of sample S1 (1.63%) and sample S2 (1.73 %).
It was observed that the crude fibre content in the formulated cookies increased with increase in the amount of Phyllantus amarus cookies (0g, 5g, and 10g). Samples 1 (1.78%), sample 2 (3.00%) and sample 3 (3.38%), but there was a decrease in sample S4 (2.70%). The low crude fibre content in these samples are advantageous in absorption of glucose and fat as studies shown that crude fibre aids in reducing peaks of blood glucose following a meal due to delayed gastric emptying [18].

The crude protein in the formulated cookies was between (13.95% - 15.92%), with the highest value (15.92%) in sample S4 and the lowest value (13.95%) in sample S2. There was increase in the protein content of sample S2 (13.95%), S3 (15.10%) and S4 (15.92%) as observed with increase in the amount of Phyllantus amarus flour (5g, 10g and 15g) added to the formulated cookies. Hence the Phyllantus amarus flour could be a moderate source of protein suggested that protein from plant sources have lower quantity, but their combination with many other sources of protein such as animal protein may result in equivalent nutritional value [19]. The recommended dietary allowance (RDA) for protein is 56g for individual weighing 70kg and 46kg for adult weighing 50kg, and children 2g/kg/ day.

The carbohydrate content of formulated cookies was (56.64% - 60.38%). There was significant decrease in the carbohydrate content with increase in the amount of Phyllantus amarus flour added in sample S2 (60.38%), S3 (57.20%) and S4 (56.64%) respectively observed that some carbohydrate containing foods have a rapid rise followed by a slow decline in blood glucose concentra-tion [19]. This may also be one of the contributing factors for the efficacy of Phyllantus amarus plant as an anti-diabetic agent [20].

The crude lipids content was between (19.83% - 21.40%). Lipids provide ex-cellent source of energy and enhance transport of fat soluble vitamins, insulate and protect internal tissues and contribute to vital cell processes. It has been suggested that enough lipid should be included in the diet to account for at least 20- 25% of the total caloric intake [18].

Table 1: Proximate Composition (%) of Wheat - Phyllantus Amarus Cookies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Moisture content</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Ash</th>
<th>Fat</th>
<th>Fibre</th>
<th>Carbohydrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>5.08 ± 0.11a</td>
<td>14.60 ± 0.21c</td>
<td>1.63 ±0.35b</td>
<td>20.75 ± 0.00a b</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.31b</td>
<td>57.95 ± 0.35b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>4.14 ± 0.11b</td>
<td>13.95 ± 0.07a</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.35b</td>
<td>19.83 ± 0.11b</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.14a</td>
<td>60.38 ± 0.35a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>4.84 ± 0.11b</td>
<td>15.10 ± 0.21b</td>
<td>2.15 ± 0.14a</td>
<td>20.73 ± 0.39ab</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.18a</td>
<td>57.20 ± 0.35b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>4.83 ± 0.35a</td>
<td>15.92 ± 0.11a</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.28a</td>
<td>21.40 ± 0.71a</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.28a</td>
<td>56.64 ± 0.81b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where;
S1 = Sample 1, 100% Wheat Flours, 0% Flour
S2 = Sample 2, 95% Wheat Flour, 5% leaves.
S3 = Sample 3, 90% Wheat Flour, 10% leaves.
S4 = Sample 4, 85% Wheat Flour, 15% leaves.

Inhibitory Activity of Wheat - Phyllantus Amarus Cookies on α-Amylase Enzyme

Several studies have reported the ability of various medicinal plants in inhibi-tion of α-amylase to be an important area of active research [21]. Also the eth-anol extracts of phyllantus amarus have also been reported to possess α- amyl-ase inhibitory activity in vitro [22].

The effect of wheat – P. amarus cookies on α-amylase enzyme presented in Fig-ure 1 shows that sample (4) 61.89 % had the highest inhibitory effect on α-amylase enzyme, with respect to the formulated cookies, there was a little de-crease in the inhibitory effect on α-amylase enzyme with decrease in the amount of Phyllantus amarus cookies 10g, 5g and 0g of sample (3) 59.87 %, sample (2) 58.67% and sample (1) 26.25% respectively. This is in line with the findings of who reported that nephroprotective and cardioprotective effect of Phyllanthus amarus extract in methanol caused a significant dose dependent decrease in the levels of total protein, cholesterol, alkaline and acid phospha-tases [23].

Figure 1: Alpha- Amylase Inhibition (%) of Wheat - Phyllantus Amarus Cookies
Inhibitory Activity of Phyllantus Amanus Cookies on α-Glucosidase Enzyme

Acarbose an α-glucosidase inhibitor is the first line drug for reducing post-prandial blood glucose in diabetic patients and was reported to reduce the rela-tive risk of cardiovascular event in patients with impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes [24]. However, researchers have found that P. amarus ash showed α-glucosidase inhibitory activity with nearly the same potency as ‘Acarbose’ when considered by the maximum percent inhibition and IC₅₀ [25].

The P. amarus cookies showed the highest inhibitory effect of 82.58% on α-glucosidase enzyme in sample 4 (S₄) followed by the cookies formulated with 10g of Phyllantus amarus flour (S₂) 41.28%, the cookies formulated with 5g of Phyllantus amarus cookies (S₃) 66.10%, and the control cookies (S₁) 21.28% as represented in Figure 2. The high inhibitory effect of the Phyllantus amarus cookies obtained in this study is in agreement with the findings of who report-ed that Phyllantus amarus may play a role in decreasing glucose absorption which may be due to the inhibition of α-glucosidase activity in the intestine [19].

![Image](image1.png)

**Figure 2: Alpha Glucosidase (%) of Wheat - Phyllantus Amanus Cookies**

Where:
S₁ = 100: 0, 100% Wheat Flours, 0% Flour
S₂ = 95: 5, 95% Wheat Flour, 5% leaves.
S₃ = 90: 10, 90% Wheat Flour, 10% leaves
S₄ = 85: 15, 85% Wheat Flour, 15% leaves

Glycaemic Index Activity of Phyllantus Amanus Cookies

A number of studies have shown that a low glycemic index (GI) diet improves certain metabolic consequences of insulin resistance, glucose and lipid metabo-lism [5]. The glycemic index is a scale that ranks the number of carbohydrates in foods from 0 to 100, indicating how quickly a food causes an individual’s sugar to rise. Carbohydrates are usually grouped according to their glucose postprandial responses, which are commonly classified in terms of high (>70%), medium (56-69%) and low (<55) glycemic index (GI). The postpran-dial blood glucose levels and the insulin response are dependent on the quality as well as the quantity of carbohydrates intake [26].

The in-vitro glycemic index of P. amarus cookies is presented in Figure 3 which shows that the sample 4 (S₄) had the lowest glycemic index of 50.76% while the control cookies sample (S₁) had the highest glycemic index of 72.01%. However, the glycemic index of the cookies formulated with 5g, 10g and 15g of P. amarus cookies are (S₃) 56.04%, (S₄) 55.75% and (S₄) 50.76% respectively, which showed there were a significant decrease in the glycemic index of the formulated cookies with increase in the amount of P. amarus flour. This support the findings of Parikh, and who suggested that low glycemic in-dex diets may preserve high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and have a potentially positive effect in reducing coronary heart disease CHD risk [27]. Cookies formulated with 15g of P. amarus flour can be classified as a low gly-cemic index, having fall below 55 i.e. (low (<55) glycemic index (GI) scale. T
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**Figure 3: Glycemic Index (%) of Wheat – Phyllantus Amanus Cookies**

Where:
S₁ = 100: 0, 100% Wheat Flours, 0% Flour
S₂ = 95: 5, 95% Wheat Flour, 5% leaves.
S₃ = 90: 10, 90% Wheat Flour, 10% leaves
S₄ = 85: 15, 85% Wheat Flour, 15% leaves

Physical Assessments of Phyllantus Amanus Cookies

The mean values of the weight, diameter, height, spread ratio, ΔE Total colour difference, L* lightness coordinate, a* red (+)/green (−) colour attribute, and b* yellow (+)/blue (−) colour attribute, of the formulated cookies are represented in Table 2. A significant increase in the thickness of the control cookies sample (S₁) 14.37g was observed compared to the cookies formulated with 5g, 10g and 15g P. amarus cookies sample; (S₃) 13.85g, (S₄) 13.19g and (S₄) 12.68g re-spectively.

The result obtained showed that there was no significant difference (P > 0.05) between the diameter of the control cookies (S₁) 2.90cm, with the cookies for-mulated with 5g, 10g, and 15g phyllantus amarus cookies of sample (S₃) 2.76cm, (S₄) 2.87cm and (S₄) 2.80cm respectively. The Weight (g) of the control cookies sample (S₁) 6.44g showed a significantly decrease compared to the formulated cookies with 5g phyllantus amarus cookies (S₃) 4.18g. However there was an increase in cookies formulated with 10, and 15, cookies of sample (S₃) 5.16g, and (S₄) 5.41g respectively.

Spread ratio showed a progressive increase with corresponding increase in the amount of P. amarus added to the cookies and this is in-line with the document-tation of Singh et al, who reported an increase in spread ratio of cookies with increase in non-wheat protein content [28]. However, there was no significant difference (P > 0.05) between the control cookies sample (S₁) 0.17cm and the cookies with 5g of phyllantus amarus cookies (S₃) 0.21cm and also between the cookies with 10g of P. amarus cookies (S₄) 0.21cm and the cookies with 15g of Phyllantus amarus cookies (S₄) 0.20cm.
A significant increase in the hardness (cm) of the control cookies sample (S1) 8.17g was observed compared to the cookies formulated with 5g, of sample (S2) 11.57g, however there was decrease in the thickness of the cookies with increase in the amount of *Phyllanthus amarus* cookies incorporated with (S3) 8.13g and (S4) 10.00g respectively. Colour is an important quality attribute in the food and bioprocess industries, and it influences consumer’s choice and preferences. Food colour is governed by the chemical, biochemical, microbial and physical changes which occur during growth, maturation, postharvest handling and processing [29]. An increase in (a*) red(+) /green(−) colour at-tribute was observed in the control cookies sample (8.38) compared to the formulated cookies with 5g, 10g and 15g, *Phyllanthus amarus* cookies sample; (S2) 7.36, (S3) 5.69 and (S4) 5.06 respectively.

In the CIELAB colour system, parameter a* measured the red and green col-oration of the sample [29]. The lightness coordinate (L*) of the control cookies sample 65.17 showed a significantly increase compared to the formulated cookies with 5g, 10g, and 15g of *Phyllanthus amarus* cookies of sample (S2) 48.98, (S3) 43.93, (S4) 42.88 respectively. Also there is an increase in the control cookies of sample (S1) 21.8 of b* yellow (+)/blue(−) colour attribute, compared to the formulated cookies with 5g, 10g, and 15g of *Phyllanthus amarus* cookies of sample (S2) 18.23, (S3) 19.9, (S4) 18.5 respectively. However, there was a progressive increase in the (b*) of the cookies with increase in the amount of *Phyllanthus amarus* cookies incorporated. The +ΔE total colour difference in the control cookies showed no response, while there was an increase in the cookies formulated with 5g, 10g, and 15g of *P. amarus* (S2) 16.63, (S3) 21.50, (S4) 22.77 respectively.

### Table 2: Physical Assessments of Wheat-Phyllanthus A marus Cookies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Weight(g)</th>
<th>Spread Ratio</th>
<th>Hardness (mm)</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
<th>ΔE*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>14.37±0.02b</td>
<td>2.90±0.10a</td>
<td>6.44±0.58a</td>
<td>0.17±0.06a</td>
<td>8.17±1.25b</td>
<td>65.17±0.35b</td>
<td>8.38±0.17b</td>
<td>21.83±0.65a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>13.19±0.49a</td>
<td>2.76±0.51a</td>
<td>4.18±0.46a</td>
<td>0.21±0.01a</td>
<td>11.57±0.81a</td>
<td>49.88±0.21a</td>
<td>7.36±0.2b</td>
<td>18.23±0.01a</td>
<td>16.63±0.06b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>13.85±0.15a</td>
<td>2.87±0.12b</td>
<td>5.41±0.67a</td>
<td>0.21±0.01b</td>
<td>8.13±1.60a</td>
<td>43.93±0.01a</td>
<td>5.69±0.27a</td>
<td>19.92±0.11a</td>
<td>21.50±0.05b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>12.68±0.25a</td>
<td>2.80±0.10a</td>
<td>5.16±0.28a</td>
<td>0.20±0.36a</td>
<td>10.00±0.36a</td>
<td>42.88±0.59a</td>
<td>5.06±0.15a</td>
<td>18.56±0.02a</td>
<td>22.77±0.54a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHERE = a* CIE red (+)/green (−) colour attribute. b* CIE yellow(+)/blue(−) colour attribute. L* CIE light-ness coordinate. ΔE Total colour difference

Value are means ± standard deviation of three determinations. Values with different superscripts along the columns are significantly different (p<0.05)

Where; S1 = 100: 0, 100% Wheat Flours, 0% Flour. S2 = 95: 5, 95% Wheat Flour, 5% leaves. S3 = 90: 10, 90% Wheat Flour, 10% leaves S4 = 85: 15, 85% Wheat Flour, 15% leaves

### Consumer Acceptability of Wheat- Phyllanthus A marus Cookies

The sensory attributes evaluated in the cookies made from wheat- *Phyllanthus amarus* flour is shown in table 3. The results of the appearance shows that the value range between (6.17-8.10) with the highest value from Sample (1) the control and the lowest value in Sample (4). It was revealed from the result that the appearance of the sample (S1) was preferred by the panelist being the control cookies (S1) 85: 15, 85% Wheat Flour, 15% leaves S4 = 85: 15, 85% Wheat Flour, 15% leaves.

The texture ranged between (6.60-7.50). There was a gradual changes from the cookies formulated with 5g, 10g, and 15g of *P. amarus* flour respectively. These may be attributed to increase in the fibre content of the formulated cook-ies due to the addition of *Phyllanthus amarus* flour which in turn transformed the degree of smoothness of the cookies and brought about a noticeable coarseness.

The result of the crispness was between (6.53 - 7.63) with the highest value (7.63) in sample S1 while the lowest value (6.53) was found in sample S4.

The overall acceptability of the control cookies sample (S1) 7.33 was signifi-cantly different (P < 0.05) from cookies formulated with 5g, 10g and 15g of *P. amarus* flour ranging from (6.90 - 7.00) which indicate that the consumer ac-ceptability of *P. amarus* cookies were generally above average.

Table 3: Sensory Evaluation of Cookies from Phyllanthus Amarus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>8.10±0.66</td>
<td>7.10±0.91</td>
<td>6.73±1.20</td>
<td>6.17±1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aroma</td>
<td>8.07±0.94</td>
<td>6.83±1.18</td>
<td>7.07±1.20</td>
<td>6.57±1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste</td>
<td>7.83±1.05</td>
<td>6.60±1.04</td>
<td>6.23±1.14</td>
<td>5.87±1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>7.50±1.10</td>
<td>7.00±0.95</td>
<td>6.73±0.94</td>
<td>6.60±1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crispness</td>
<td>7.63±1.03</td>
<td>7.57±1.73</td>
<td>6.97±1.07</td>
<td>6.53±1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Acceptability</td>
<td>7.33±1.06</td>
<td>7.27±0.91</td>
<td>7.00±0.74</td>
<td>6.90±0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where;
S1 = Sample 1, 100% Wheat Flours, 0% Flour
S2 = Sample 2, 95% Wheat Flour, 5% leaves.
S3 = Sample 3, 90% Wheat Flour, 10% leaves.
S4 = Sample 4, 85% Wheat Flour, 15% leaves.

Microbial Count on Cookies

Microbial count on cookies are presented in Table 4. The viable count (cfu/g) ranged between (5-2) $10^3$ cfu/g, as sample 1, (2×$10^3$) has the highest value while sample 2, (2×$10^3$), sample 3, (2×$10^3$) and sample 4 have similar value. It was also observed that there were no growth on Staphylococcus aureus (cfu/g), coliform count (cfu/g), Funga (sfu/g) count. This may be an indication that the cookies are safe for consumption.

Table 4: Microbial Count of Wheat P. Amarus Cookies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>Total viable count (cfu/g)</th>
<th>Staphylococcus aureus (cfu/g)</th>
<th>Coliform count cfu/g</th>
<th>Funga count sfu/g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>5.00×10^3</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>2.00×10^3</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>2.00×10^3</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>2.00×10^3</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where;
S1 = Sample 1, 100% Wheat Flours, 0% Flour
S2 = Sample 2, 95% Wheat Flour, 5% leaves.
S3 = Sample 3, 90% Wheat Flour, 10% leaves.
S4 = Sample 4, 85% Wheat Flour, 15% leaves.

Conclusion

The obtained results highlight the high activities of Phyllantus amarus cookies and provide some scientific support to their traditional use. Obesity and the onset of diabetes are two closely liked medical disorders prevalent globally. Postprandial hyperglycaemia is one of the earliest abnormalities of glucose homeostasis associated with type 2 diabetes. Postprandial glucose levels can be regulated through α-amylase, α-glucosidase and glycemic index inhibition. Medicinal plants constitute an important source of potential therapeutic agents for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Obtained results highlight the high activities of Phyllantus amarus cookies to clarify its traditional use as antidiabetic treatment. Obtained results of the enzyme inhibition activity, found in a dose-dependent manner constitute the first report for this plant. Further, in vitro studies are required to confirm the present results, isolate and determine active substances and antioxidants components contained in the extract of this plant may be re sponsible for improvements in health conditions by regulating digestive enzymes inhibitory activities. In vitro studies are necessary to recognize a potent substance for clinical use in the therapy of diabetes and related disorders, so it is desirable to optimize secondary metabolite production and purification of compounds for the pharmaceutical applications. The present study confirms the traditional use of P. amarus to treat diabetes mellitus.
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