ISSN: 2754-4516

# Journal of Medicine and Healthcare



Research Article Open & Access

# Mixed and Matched COVID-19 Vaccination in Saudi Arabia: Adverse Effects, Hospitalization, and Infection

Hind Alzuraiq<sup>1\*</sup>, Saleh Almutairi<sup>2</sup>, Abdullah Alamri<sup>3</sup>, Wafa Alanazi<sup>4</sup>, Jaber Asiri<sup>5</sup>, Shoog Almutairi<sup>6</sup>, Sumaya Alkhawajah<sup>7</sup> and Noor Alhabeeb<sup>8</sup>

1,3,5 Clinical Pharmacist, King Fahad Military Medical Complex, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup>Consultant of Pharmacy Practice, King Fahad Military Medical Complex, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

<sup>4,8</sup>Senior Pharmacist, King Fahad Military Medical Complex, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

<sup>6</sup>Pharmacist, King Fahad Military Medical Complex, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Plant Technical Manager, Klybeck life Science Pharmaceutical Company, Kingdom of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

#### **ABSTRACT**

Vaccination has been conserved as an essential mechanism in the fight against SARS-CoV-2. Preliminary data have demonstrated that both the Pfizer-BioNTech and the Oxford- AstraZeneca vaccines have been significantly effective in reducing the number of COVID-19 infections and protecting older persons from severe disease.

The study aimed to investigate mixed and matched COVID-19 vaccination (Pfizer-BioNTech and Oxford-AstraZeneca) in terms of adverse effects, hospital admissions, and COVID-19 infections post-vaccination in Saudi Arabia.

An observational, analytical, cross-sectional, community-based study was conducted in Saudi Arabia within the period July-December 2022, and it included adult participants who received either two doses of the AstraZeneca or two doses of the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccines and who received one dose each of the AstraZeneca and the Pfizer vaccines. Data were collected via direct interviews using an interactive web-based interview system with an electronic version of the questionnaire after obtaining participant consent. Ethical guidelines and permissions were obtained from the relevant authorities, and data were collected, prepared, and analyzed using the SPSS software version 28.0

This study covered 424 adult participants with a mean age of  $38.6 \pm 16.4$  years and a male-to- female ratio of 1:2.8. More than half of participants (243, 57.3%) were obese, while 60 (14.2%) were smokers and 63 (14.9%) had comorbidities, such as respiratory disease (29, 46%) and endocrine disorders (12, 19%). Concerning the vaccination regimen, the result revealed that of the 311 participants (73.7%) who received two doses of the same vaccine, 262 (61.8%) received the Pfizer vaccine and 49 (11.6%) the AstraZeneca vaccine, while 113 (26.7%) received a mix (one dose each of the Pfizer and AstraZeneca vaccines). In total, 362 (85.4%) reported post-vaccination symptoms, such as body pains (208, 57.5%), muscle pain (207, 57.2%), and headaches (180, 49.7%). Nearly half (178, 49.2%) reported a symptom duration of 1–3 days, while hospitalization was reported in 10 (2.4%), ICU admission in 1 (0.2%), and COVID-19 infection post-vaccination in 63 (14.9%). The analysis found that symptoms were significantly higher among participants who received a mix of vaccines, compared with the participants who received two doses of the same vaccine (94.7% versus 82% respectively, and p = 0.001). Thus, the occurrence of symptoms was significantly higher among participants who received a mix of vaccines, compared with the participants who received two doses of the Pfizer or the AstraZeneca vaccine (94.7%, 82.8 and 79.6%, respectively and p = 0.004). Further, there was no significant effect between the vaccine regimen and the rate of hospitalization or COVID-19 infection post-vaccination (p values > 0.05 in all).

The study concluded that symptom occurrence after the second vaccination against COVID- 19 was significantly higher among people who received one dose each of two different vaccines compared to those who received two doses of the same vaccine (Pfizer or AstraZeneca), though the rates of hospitalization and infection with COVID-19 post- vaccination was unaffected.

# \*Corresponding author

Hind Alzuraiq, Clinical Pharmacist, King Fahad Military Medical Complex, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Received: January 12, 2024; Accepted: January 19, 2024; Published: January 25, 2024

**Keywords:** COVID-19, Mixed and Matched, Adverse Effects, Pfizer Vaccine, Astra Zeneca Vaccine, Saudi Arabia

#### Introduction

COVID-19, a viral respiratory syndrome that was firstly appeared

in Wuhan, China, in a group of patients suffer from severe respiratory infection. COVID-19 is caused by a novel corona virus which was scientifically named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV-2) [1]. It has been worrying features of spreading rapidly among populations, causing a severe

J Med Healthcare, 2024 Volume 6(1): 1-8

form of the disease in older adults and in patients with underlying medical condition [2].

The outbreak of infectious disease such as SARS, MERS and COVID-19 and the consequences (disease – related fear, threat and anxiety) are indisputable stressors. Although COVID-19 is a new strain of Corona viruses, it is known to cause disease ranging from cold to more severe illness such as SARS and MER [3].

Symptoms of CORONAVIRUS infection include Fever, Chills, Cough, Sore throat, new loss of taste or smelling, myalgia, Nausea and Vomiting, and diarrhea, Men with history of underlying diseases are more likely to be infected with the virus and would experience worse outcomes [4]. To combat the disease spread, huge vaccination campaigns have been launched worldwide, with 3.9 billion serum doses provided to date. The efficiency of (food and Drugs Administration) FDA - approved COVID-19 vaccines has been prepared in controlled clinical trials and real-world clinical investigations [5].

Vaccination has been viewed as a critical instrument in the fight against COVID-19, despite the fact that deployment has several problems compared to other vaccination programs. Briefly, infection and vaccination rates are in a competing, with the latter now constrained by supply and logistic issues and the former spreading rapidly regardless [6]. Because SARS-CoV-2 is related to the extremely pathogenic SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, vaccine development for other Beta coronaviruses may aid in the development of COVID-19 vaccines [7].

To stop a pandemic, considerable efforts have been made to produce COVID-19 vaccines, and the majority of the vaccine candidates under development use the S- protein of SARS-CoV-2 [8]. Vaccines to prevent COVID-19 infection are critical to achieve an effective worldwide pandemic response. In total, 50 COVID-19 candidate vaccines were under clinical evaluation and 162 were in preclinical development as of October 2020, out of 212 Corona virus candidate vaccines were being developed globally [7-s9 There were a variety of vaccines available, including 72 protein subunit vaccines, 27 RNA-based vaccines, 26 vaccines using non-replicating viral vectors, 18 vaccines using replicating viral vectors, 17 vaccines using DNA, 16 vaccines using virus-like particles (VLPs), 14 inactivated shots, and four vaccines using live attenuated strains. Additionally, eight inactivated vaccines are undergoing clinical trials, and six RNA- based vaccines [7-10].

The current vaccines represent a significant technological success, as they have been shown to elicit significant immune responses, as well as provide ample disease protection [11].

Preliminary data proved that both the Pfizer–BioNTech and the Oxford–AstraZeneca vaccines are significantly effective in reducing the number of COVID-19 infections and protecting older persons from severe disease [12].

A considerable number of studies have been conducted on efficiency of COVID-19 Vaccine for instance the analysis of four randomized controlled trials conducted in the United Kingdom, South Africa, and Brazil, a report on the efficacy results of the Oxford–AstraZeneca vaccine suggested an overall vaccine efficacy of 70.4% (95% confidence interval [CI] 54.8–80.6), with a greater efficacy of 90% (95% CI 67.4–97.0) in those who received a small dose (2.2×1,010 viral particles per dose) followed by a standard dose (5×1,010 viral particles per dose) and a vaccine efficacy

of 62.1% (95% CI 41.0–75.7) among those who obtained two standard doses (four weeks apart [13].

COVID-19 vaccinations have been mixed in numerous countries due to vaccine shortages, particularly in underdeveloped countries, the advent of novel variations of concern (VOCs) that have shown some resistance to existing vaccines, and the prevalence of adverse effects [14]. This method has had a great deal of success, as studies have shown that combining vaccinations from different brands can increase IgG and neutralizing antibodies as well as the cellular immune response [15]. Moreover, it has been proved that using heterologous COVID-19 vaccines is more effective in better neutralizing antibody levels against VOCs compared to homologous vaccines, based on this result, the methods of vaccination were adopted not only with countries with economic cries but, also were used by industrialized once hoping to be given to wide great numbers of their citizens to prevent COVID-19 spreading [15]. Research and trails on vaccines showed that , the new vaccines have been promising due to the the efficiency of mixing vaccines in immune response, Consequently, this approach can enhance vaccine efficacy and aid in solving vaccine shortages in poor regions.

## **Study Aim**

This study aims to compare adverse effects between two doses of either the Pfizer–BioNTech or Oxford–AstraZeneca SARS-CoV-2 vaccine or a combination of one dose each of the Pfizer–BioNTech and the Oxford–AstraZeneca vaccines.

## **Objectives**

To investigate the adverse effects of two doses of the AstraZeneca vaccine or the Pfizer vaccine against COVID-19 symptoms in comparison to a combination of one dose each of the AstraZeneca and the Pfizer vaccines.

- To investigate the effect of two doses of the AstraZeneca vaccine or the Pfizer vaccine in comparison with a combination of one dose each of the AstraZeneca and the Pfizer vaccines against COVID-19 regarding hospital admission.
- To investigate the rate of COVID-19 infection post-vaccination following each vaccination regimen.

# Research Problem

The rapid creation of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, which have been demonstrated to elicit significant immune responses and provide significant disease protection, has been lauded around the world. The Pfizer–BioNTech and Oxford–AstraZeneca vaccines are both extraordinarily successful in reducing the number of COVID-19 infections and protecting older persons from severe disease. Given the necessity of establishing high levels of protection in the population, identifying the optimal interval between doses has become a critical issue.

While some countries' vaccination programs are moving forward, a multitude of challenges, ranging from suspicion to safety considerations, are preventing them from reaching the aim. Combining multiple COVID-19 vaccinations has been suggested as a possible solution to these issues, but some factors must be studied and compared between these two protocols (two doses of a single vaccine or one dose each of two vaccines) to evaluate real-world effectiveness: the symptoms of COVID-19 infection, hospital admission rates, and COVID-19 morbidity and mortality. In addition, a low number of countries have applied this combination of two vaccines (one dose of Pfizer and one dose of AstraZeneca); therefore, the researcher sought to conduct this study to evaluate combination effectiveness in Saudi Arabia.

I Med Healthcare, 2024 Volume 6(1): 2-8

## **Research Importance**

The significance of the study stems out from the fact that the COVID-19 pandemic is still in its early stages, so there is an urgent need to identify efficient medications for severe cases and effective vaccinations in general [16]. The whole world is seeking the most effective vaccination regimen that provides the best immunity against COVID-19 infection. Some researchers aimed to study the impact of mixing two vaccines, suggesting this may boost immunity [17]. However, these researches were insufficient and there is a scarcity of data on their efficacy, hence the importance of the research providing evidence of the efficiency of mixing two vaccinations. Therefore, this study could offer hope via potential immunity against the COVID- 19 virus, and it has the potential to enhance efforts to save billions of people facing unforeseen side effects.

#### Methodology

# Patients and Study Design

In total, 424 participants were enrolled in this study who received either two doses of the AstraZeneca or two doses of the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine and who received one dose each of the AstraZeneca and the Pfizer vaccines. Their ages ranged from 18 to 60 years.

## **Study Design**

This study was an observational, analytical, cross-sectional study that compared the participants who received two doses of the AstraZeneca or two doses of the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine with

those who received one dose each of the AstraZeneca and the Pfizer vaccines.

## **Data Collection and Technique**

A direct interview was conducted with the participants using an interactive web-based interview system with an electronic version of the questionnaire, which included such demographic data as the participants' age, sex, weight, and smoking habits, as well as their comorbidities (respiratory, cardiovascular, liver, kidney, immunological, and endocrine disorders), vaccination type and number of doses received, symptoms experienced after vaccination and how long they lasted, hospitalization linked to vaccination and the time of admission, and admission to the intensive care unit (ICU). The prevalence of adverse responses was investigated and examined to understand which symptoms are the most common and to which vaccines they may be linked, and the COVID-19 infection rate post-vaccination was also investigated.

## Statistical Analysis

The SPSS Statistical Package for Social Sciences' software was used for statistical analysis. For continuous quantitative variables, data were given as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), and significance was considered as a P-value <0.05. The prevalence of signs and symptoms was described as the mean  $\pm$  SD and percentage, and the dependent variables were compared using the analysis of variance.

## Study's Overall Structure

<u> </u>							
Stage 1	5 months	<ul> <li>Distributing the questionnaire to persons who received COVID-19 vaccination according to the types of vaccines under study (AstraZeneca and Pfizer vaccines).</li> <li>Collecting data from the patients' answers on the questionnaire.</li> <li>Dividing the patients' answers according to the study objectives.</li> </ul>					
Stage 2	1–3 months	-Statistical analysis of the collected data.					
Stage 3	2–3 months	-Writing thesis.					

# **Expected Findings**

The study is expected to find out the effectiveness of mixing and matching COVID-19 vaccines (Pfizer—BioNTech and Oxford—AstraZeneca vaccines), moreover it evaluates the effect of receiving one dose of the Pfizer vaccine and one dose of the AstraZeneca vaccine, which is expected to be greater than the effect of receiving two doses of either the Pfizer or AstraZeneca vaccine and recognize the rate of COVID-19 infection post-vaccination, which is expected to be lower with a combination of two vaccination types than with one vaccination type.

#### Results

This study covered 424 adult participants who received two COVID-19 vaccination doses in Saudi Arabia in 2022. The study found that most of the participants (297, 70%) were younger than 40 years, with a mean age of  $38.6 \pm 16.4$  years; a female gender predominance (312; 73.6%); and a male-to-female ratio of 1:2.8, as in Table 1.

## **Demographic Characteristics**

Table 1: Distribution of participants according to their demographical characteristics (n = 424 adult participants who received two doses of COVID-19 vaccination in Saudi Arabia in 2022)

Demographical characteristics	Frequency	Percent (%)				
18–30	171	40.3				
31–40	126	29.7				
Age (years)						
41–50	80	18.9				
51–60	47	11.1				
Male	112	26.4				
Gender						
Female	312	73.6				

I Med Healthcare, 2024 Volume 6(1): 3-8

More than half (243, 57.3%) were overweight or obese, 60 (14.2%) were smokers, and 63 (14.9%) had comorbidities, such as respiratory diseases (29, 46%) and endocrine disorders (12, 19%), as in Table 2.

#### **Clinical Characteristics**

Table 2: Distribution of the participants according to clinical characteristics (n = 424 adult participants who received two doses of COVID-19 vaccination in Saudi Arabia in 2022)

Clinical characteristics		Frequency	Percent (%)	
Body mass index	Underweight	32	7.5	
	Normal	149	35.1	
(kg/m2)	Overweight	105	24.8	
	Obese	138	32.5	
Smoking	Yes	60	14.2	
	No	364	85.8	
Comorbidities	Yes	63	14.9	
	No	361	85.1	
Type of chronic	Respiratory diseases	29	46.0	
disease $(n = 63)$	Endocrine disorders	12	19.0	
	Immunological disorders	6	9.5	
	Cardiovascular disease	5	7.9	
	Renal disease	2	3.2	
	Liver disease	2	3.2	
	Others	7	11.1	

Concerning the vaccination regimen, the study revealed that 311 (73.7%) participants received two doses of the same vaccine, including 262 (61.8%) who received the Pfizer vaccine and 49 (11.6%) the AstraZeneca vaccine, while 113 (26.7%) received a mix of vaccines (one dose each of the Pfizer and AstraZeneca vaccines, as detailed in Table 3.

# **Vaccination Regimens**

Table 3: Distribution of participants according to the vaccination received (n = 424 adult participants who received two doses of COVID-19 vaccination in Saudi Arabia in 2022)

Vaccination regimens								
Vaccination type (study groups) Frequency Percent (%)								
Same vaccine (*)	Pfizer	262	61.8					
(n = 311, 73.7 %)	AstraZeneca	49	11.6					
Mix of vaccines (**)		113	26.7					
Total		424	100.0					

<sup>\*</sup> Two doses of the same vaccine

The study showed that 362 (85.4%) participants reported post-vaccination symptoms, such as body pains (208, 57.5%), muscle pain (207, 57.2%, headaches (180, 49.7%), (fullness) all symptoms (159, 43.9%), and injection site redness (156, 43.1%), as detailed in Table 4.

J Med Healthcare, 2024 Volume 6(1): 4-8

<sup>\*\*</sup> One dose of the Pfizer vaccine and one dose of the AstraZeneca vaccine

### **Vaccination Effect Assessment**

Table 4: Distribution of participants according to the presented symptoms post-vaccination (n = 424 adult participants who received two doses of COVID-19 vaccination in Saudi Arabia in 2022)

Vaccination effect asse	ssment		
Symptoms		Frequency	Percent (%)
Yes		362	85.4
No		62	14.6
Symptoms	Body pains	208	57.5
(n = 362)	Muscle pain	207	57.2
	Headache	180	49.7
	Fullness	159	43.9
	Injection site redness	156	43.1
	Fever (> 38 degrees)	108	29.8
	Dizziness	61	16.9
	Sweating	35	9.7
	Shortness of breath	28	7.7
	Loss of appetite	27	7.5
	GI disturbances	27	7.5
	Abdominal pain	24	6.6
	Palpitation	23	6.4
	Anxiety	20	5.5
	Sore throat	18	5
	Lymph node enlargement	16	4.4
	Dry cough	11	3
	Loss of taste	10	2.8
	Loss of smell	9	2.5
	Others	7	1.9

Nearly half of participants (178, 49.2%) reported a symptom duration of 1–3 days, while hospitalization was reported in 10 (2.4%) cases, ICU admission in 1 (0.2%), and post-vaccination COVID-19 infection in 63 (14.9%), as in Table 5.

Table 5: Distribution of participants according to the vaccination effect (n = 424 adult participants who received two doses of COVID-19 vaccination in Saudi Arabia in 2022)

Effect of vaccination		Frequency	Percent (%)	
Symptom duration	< 1 day	96	26.5	
(n = 362)	1 - 3 days	178	49.2	
	3 - 7 days	83	22.9	
	> 1 week	5	1.4	
Hospitalization	Yes	10	2.4	
	No	414	97.6	
ICU admission	Yes	1	0.2	
	No	423	99.8	
COVID-19 infection	Yes	63	14.9	
	No	361	85.1	

In this study, cross-tabulation was conducted to assess the possible association between the vaccination regimen received and the overall effect in terms of post-vaccination symptoms, hospitalization, and COVID-19 infection using the chi-square statistical test. The analysis found that symptom occurrence was significantly higher among participants who received a mix of vaccines compared with the participants who received two doses of the same vaccine (94.7% versus 82%, respectively, and p=0.001). There was no significant effect between the vaccine regimen and the rate of hospitalization or post-vaccination COVID-19 infection (p values > 0.05 in all), as detailed in Table 6. Lastly, the analysis found that symptom occurrence was significantly higher among participants who received mixed vaccines, compared with the participants who received two doses of the Pfizer or AstraZeneca vaccine (94.7%, 82.8, and 79.6%, respectively and p=0.004). There was no significant effect between the vaccine regimen and the rate of hospitalization

J Med Healthcare, 2024 Volume 6(1): 5-8

or post-vaccination COVID-19 infection (p values > 0.05 in all), as detailed in Table 7.

## **Cross-Tabulation**

Table 6: Comparison of the effects of different vaccination regimens (n = 424 adult participants who received two doses of COVID-19 vaccination in Saudi Arabia in 2022)

Cross tabulation									
Effects of		Vaccination regimen (2 doses)							
vaccination			vaccine (*) 311)		ccines (**) 113)	To (n =	P value		
Symptom	Yes	255	82.0	107	94.7	362	85.4	0.001	
occurrence	No	56	18.0	6	5.3	62	14.6		
Hospitalization	Yes	6	1.9	4	3.5	10	2.4	0.334	
	No	305	98.1	109	96.5	414	97.6		
COVID-19	Yes	42	13.5	21	<u>18.6</u>	63	14.9	0.194	
infection	No	269	86.5	92	81.4	361	85.1		

<sup>\*</sup> Two doses of the same vaccine

Table 7: Comparison of the effects of different vaccination regimens (n = 424 adult participants who received two doses of COVID-19 vaccination in Saudi Arabia in 2022)

	Vaccination regimen										
Vaccination effects		AstraZei doses (n		Pfizer - 2 doses (n = 262)		Mixed vaccines (*) (n = 113)		Total (n = 424)		P value	
Symptom	Yes	39	79.6	216	82.4	107	94.7	362	85.4	0.004	
occurrence	No	10	20.4	46	17.6	6	5.3	62	14.6		
Hospitalization	Yes	2	4.1	4	1.5	4	3.5	10	2.4	0.349	
	No	47	95.9	258	98.5	109	96.5	414	97.6		
COVID-19 infection	Yes	8	16.3	34	13.0	21	18.6	63	14.9	0.358	
	No	41	83.7	228	87.0	92	81.4	361	85.1		

<sup>\*</sup> One dose of the Pfizer vaccine and one dose of the AstraZeneca vaccine

### Discussion

Since the COVID-19 outbreak, dozens of countries have been mixing vaccines, aiming to increase their effectiveness and protection. In this context, the current study examined the perceptions and experiences of a large representative sample of participants who received an initial two-dose combination of the Saudi government-approved COVID-19 vaccines (Pfizer–BioNTech and Oxford–AstraZeneca) in comparison with those who received a combination of one dose each of the two vaccines.

The findings of the study revealed that symptoms occurrence was significantly higher among participants who received mixed vaccines than among those who received two doses of the Pfizer or the AstraZeneca vaccine (94.7%, 82.8, and 79.6%, respectively, and p = 0.004), but without a difference in the rate of hospitalization or COVID-19 infection. The study in the line with recent studies which have shown that mixing the Oxford-AstraZeneca and Pfizer-BioNTech vaccines triggers an immune response similar to or even more significant than that of two doses of matched vaccines, with a lesser appearance of side effects, especially severe ones [18,15,19]. For instance, a study by Barros et al. showed that those who received one dose of the AstraZeneca vaccine and received a second dose of the Pfizer vaccine were 11.5 times higher than in those who received two doses of the AstraZeneca vaccine, and the humoral immune response was also significantly high. It also significantly reduced the need for hospital admission due to serious side effects [20]. Further, similar to our findings, a second trial phase of a Spanish study that was conducted by Borobia et al. revealed that complaining vaccination with the Oxford–AstraZeneca vaccine as the initial dose and the Pfizer–BioNTech vaccine as the second one improved antibody levels by 150 times by two weeks after the second dose compared with a control group that received only the first dose, and it reduced side effects as well [15].

Furthermore, Liu et al. issued a Com-COV trial at Oxford University with more than 800 participants, they found out that mixing the Oxford-AstraZeneca and Pfizer-BioNTech vaccines resulted in much more effective immune response, which is reflected in the level of side effects that appear after receiving the second dose [21]. Similarly, in a study issued by Lewis et a l in Spain their study revealed that no severe side effect was appeared in mix and match trials moreover,448 participants who had the Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine for the initial dose and the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine for the second presented lesser side effects, moreover, their blood tests reveal that a coarse antibody response two weeks after the second dose [22]. In the same line the study disagrees with Lewis et al. findings, who found out that side effects of mix- match vaccines were not worse than those of two injections of the same type of vaccination. Nevertheless, the Com-COV study shows that complaining vaccines could cause more side effects than prescribing two doses of the same

I Med Healthcare, 2024 Volume 6(1): 6-8

<sup>\*\*</sup> One dose of the Pfizer vaccine and one dose of the AstraZeneca vaccine

vaccine [22]. Moreover, Elgallal et al. added that there have been successful attempts at mixing COVID-19 vaccines. The use of the Pfizer vaccine as the first dose and AstraZeneca as the second in mice showed that not only did this mixture not cause any problems, but it also resulted in higher immunity [23].

Many researches and trials on complaining the available COVID-19 vaccines were promising, as this mixing has been associated with an advanced immune response without a significant increase in adverse reactions, hospitalization, or COVID-19 infection. Hence, this strategy can help improve vaccine efficiency, as well as aid in overcoming vaccine scarcity in poor areas.

The limitation of the current study may due to the nature of the data in which it depends on the participants' self-report that they might be biased in their responses, moreover, their response might be affect by news, social media platforms and socialization. For instance, in some cases of the participants might not be able to differentiate between COVID-19 symptoms and vaccine side effects. Also, the study is limited to 424 adult Saudi Arabian participants due to the convenience of gathering individuals immunized with a mix of COVID-19 vaccines. However, this research could be replicated in other.

#### **Conclusion and Recommendations**

The study concludes that symptom occurrence after the second vaccination against COVID-19 was significantly higher among people who received one dose each of two different vaccination products in comparison to those who received two doses of the identical vaccine (Pfizer or AstraZeneca vaccines), while it does not affect the rate of hospitalization or COVID-19 infection.

Recently, the Omicron variability has become a main strain and a cause of the fourth wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. Accordingly, preliminary research has suggested that all currently available vaccines provide efficacy and protection against serious illness from Omicron. However, the Pfizer-BioNTech and Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccines should be tested when mixed and matched in the context of stopping the spread of Omicron. Due to the fast spread of Omicron-or perhaps of other new strains-across the world, the importance of a third booster shot and the consequences of mixing and matching vaccinations will be vital to explore in Saudi Arabia.

# Acknowledgments

Thank you to the entire researcher team members and supporters for the successful development, implementation, and revision of this research.

## **Author Contributions**

The principal author's role was to propose the research idea, prepare the proposal, and design the study. The co-authors contributed to collecting the necessary data for the research. The main author continued to prepare the rest of the research with statistical analysis and to write the rest of the research manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### **Funding**

This research received no external funding.

### **Informed Consent Statement**

Informed consent was obtained from all participants involved in the study.

#### **Data Availability Statement**

The data presented in this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## References

- 1. Ashour HM, Elkhatib WF, Rahman M, Elshabrawy HA (2020) Insights into the recent 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) in light of past human coronavirus outbreaks. Pathogens 9: 186.
- Abdulla Z A, Al-Bashir S M, Al-Salih, Ala A Aldamen, Mohammad Z Abdulazeez, et al. (2021) A Summary of the SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines and Technologies Available or under Development. Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland) 10: 788.
- 3. Al Kaabi N, Zhang Y, Xia S, Yunkai Yang, Manaf M Al Qahtani, et al. (2021) Effect of 2 inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccines on symptomatic COVID-19 infection in adults: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 326: 35-45.
- Borobia AM, Carcas AJ, PérezOlmeda M, Luis Castaño, María Jesús Bertran, et al. (2021) Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of BNT162b2 booster in ChAdOx1Sprimed participants (CombiVacS): a multicentre, openlabel, randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet 398: 121130
- Barros MJ, Hammerschmidt SI, Cossmann A, Ivan Odak, Metodi V Stankov, et al. (2021) Immune responses against SARS CoV 2 variants after heterologous and homologous ChAdOx1 nCoV 19/BNT162b2 vaccination. Nat Med 27: 15251529
- 6. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, et al. (2020) Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet 395: 507-513
- 7. Callaway E (2021) Mix-and-match COVID vaccines trigger potent immune response. Nature 593: 491
- 8. Deming ME, Lyke KE (2021) A 'mix and match' approach to SARS CoV 2 vaccination. Nat Med 27: 11511.
- 9. Elgallal EA, Inass AS, Abdelmonam HE, Abdulathim AA Alshoushan, Salah M Bensaber, et al. (2021) Mixing of Sputnik V and AstraZeneca COVID 19. Vaccines 6. Medtext Publications. All Rights Reserved 3: 1035.
- 10. Hill EM, Keeling MJ (2021) Comparison between one and two dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccine prioritisation for a fixed number of vaccine doses. medRxiv.
- 11. Hillus D, Schwarz T, ToberLau P, Kanika Vanshylla, Hana Hastor, et al. (2021) Safety, reactogenicity, and immunogenicity of homologous and heterologous prime-boost immunisation with ChAdOx1-nCoV19 and BNT162b2: a prospective cohort study. medRxiv 21257334.
- 12. Iacobucci G (2021) Covid-19: Single dose of Pfizer and Oxford vaccines cuts risk of hospital admission by 80% in over 80s, data suggest. BMJ 372: n612.
- 13. Krammer F (2021) SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in development. Nature 586: 516-527.
- 14. Liu X, Shaw RH, Stuart AS (2021) Safety and immunogenicity report from the Com COV study a single blind randomised non-inferiority trial comparing heterologous and homologus prime boost schedules with an adenoviral vectored and mRNA COVID 19 vaccine. SSRN Electron J 398: 856-869.
- 15. Lewis D (2021) Mix and match COVID vaccines: the case is growing, but questions remain. Nature 595: 344-345.
- Lauxmann M A, Santucci N E, Autrán-Gómez A M (2020)
   The SARS- CoV-2 Coronavirus and the COVID-19 Outbreak.

J Med Healthcare, 2024 Volume 6(1): 7-8

- International braz j urol : official journal of the Brazilian Society of Urology 46: 6-1.
- 17. Puranik A, Lenehan PJ, Silvert E, Michiel J M Niesen, Juan Corchado-Garcia, et al. (2021) Comparison of two highly-effective mRNA vaccines for COVID-19 during periods of Alpha and Delta variant prevalence. Med Rxiv. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34401884/.
- Prompetchara E, Ketloy C, Tharakhet K, Papatsara Kaewpang, Supranee Buranapraditkun, et al. (2021) DNA vaccine candidate encoding SARS- CoV-2 spike proteins elicited potent humoral and Th1 cell-mediated immune responses in mice. PloS one 16: e0248007.
- 19. Sharma A, Tiwari S, Deb M K, Jean Louis Marty (2020) Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2): a global pandemic and treatment strategies. International journal of antimicrobial agents 56: 106054.

- 20. Vogel G (2021) Mixing vaccines may boost immune responses. Science (New York, N.Y.) 372: 1138.
- 21. Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, Lily Y Weckx, Pedro M Folegatti, et al. (2021) Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. Lancet 397: 99-111.
- 22. Zhang J (2021) People's responses to the COVID-19 pandemic during its early stages and factors affecting those responses. Humanit Soc Sci Commun 8: 1-13.
- Zhao J, Zhao S, Ou J, Jing Zhang, Wendong Lan et al. (2020) COVID-19: Vaccine Development Updates. Front Immunol 11: 3435.

**Copyright:** ©2024 Hind Alzuraiq, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

J Med Healthcare, 2024 Volume 6(1): 8-8