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ABSTRACT
This paper focuses on relevant legal and policy arrangements necessary for the development of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) in China. Special attention is paid to laws and policies on the capture, transport and storage of CO2. In particular, the storage of CO2 is very challenging for the existing regulatory regime, considering the complexity and novelty of the issue. Specifically speaking, the following analysis is made from three perspectives: (1) regulatory regime; (2) fiscal and financial support and (3) environmental regulations (including access to information and public participation). Having summarized and analyzed relevant issues and international experience, this paper identified the foundation, barriers and gaps exist in China. Priority choices and targets for legal and policy development in different periods were also made according to the status quo of CCS development in China and the periods of development recommended by the Asian Development Bank.
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Introduction
Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is the “only currently available technology that can cut up to 90% of CO2 emissions from coal-fired power plants and industries”.

Coal is cheap and abundantly available in China [1]. Despite significant progress in increasing the share of renewable energy and improving energy efficiency, the power generation and industrial sectors are and will continue to be coal-dominated in China [2]. Under such circumstances, CCS is key to China’s climate change mitigation endeavors. Several commercial-scale demonstration projects are already in various stages of development [3]. Nevertheless, its development in China is still challenged by a lack of proper legal and policy support.

This paper therefore focuses on relevant legal and policy arrangements necessary for the development of CCS in China. Based on the CCS Roadmap of the ADB, special attention is paid to laws and policies on the capture, transport and storage of CO2. In particular, the storage of CO2 is very challenging for the existing regulatory regime, considering the complexity and novelty of the issue. Specifically speaking, the following analysis is made from three perspectives: (1) regulatory regime; (2) fiscal and financial support and (3) environmental regulations (including access to information and public participation).

Administrative Regime and Intergovernmental Cooperation
China is a centralized unitary country. The State Council enjoys more power than local governments. Specifically speaking, Article 89 of the Constitution enumerates 18 types of power owned by the State Council. It explicitly states that the State Council is responsible for (1) exercising unified leadership over the work of local organs of State administration at various levels throughout the country, and formulating the detailed division of functions and powers between the Central Government and the organs of State administration of provinces, autonomous regions, and municipalities directly under the Central Government; (2) drawing up and implementing the plan for national economic and social development and the State budget; (3) altering or annulling inappropriate decisions and orders issued by local organs of State administration at various levels; (4) approving the geographic division of provinces, autonomous regions, and municipalities directly under the Central Government; (2) drawing up and implementing the plan for national economic and social development and the State budget; (3) altering or annulling inappropriate decisions and orders issued by local organs of State administration at various levels; (4) approving the geographic division of provinces, autonomous regions, and municipalities [4]. In March 2018, the State Council Institutional Reform Plan has been approved by the First Session of the 13th National People’s Congress. As reflected in the diagram below, 26 ministries and commissions are currently established under the State Council to better exercise the power vested by the Constitution [5].
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With regard to the administrative regime of CCS, it is necessary to discuss the power distribution and institutional cooperation from both vertical (central-local government relations) and horizontal (relations among different government sectors) perspectives:

First of all, although several provinces and cities have adopted laws and policies to promote the development of CCS, a unified approach has yet to be developed [6]. Due to the ambiguity of contents, many of them are not operable in practice neither. In light of the centralized administrative regime in China and a strong need for government investments and policy coordination in this area, it is the central government, rather than local authorities, that should take main responsibility for promoting and managing CCS projects in a collective way. Nevertheless, in light of the gradual development of CCS, it is necessary to clarify the jurisdiction between central and local authorities regarding permits and other administrative issues.

Second of all, as reflected in the diagram below, the development of CCS involves many different administrative sectors. Under such circumstances, it is crucial to clarify the responsibilities among them and facilitate relevant cooperation.

Table 1: Ministries and Commissions under the State Council

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</th>
<th>Ministry of National Defense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Development and Reform Commission</td>
<td>Ministry of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Science and Technology</td>
<td>Ministry of Industry and Information Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Ethnic Affairs Commission</td>
<td>Ministry of Public Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of State Security</td>
<td>Ministry of Emergency Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Civil Affairs</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Finance</td>
<td>Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Natural Resources</td>
<td>Ministry of Ecology and Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development</td>
<td>Ministry of Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Water Resources</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Commerce</td>
<td>Ministry of Culture and Tourism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Health Commission</td>
<td>People’s Bank of China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Audit Office</td>
<td>Ministry of Veterans Affairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among them, the NDRC used to play a key administrative role in the area of climate change before the 2018 State Council Institutional Reform. Other administrative sectors only dealt with climate change issues in their respective jurisdictions. Take the relationship between the NDRC and the MEP for example. The revision of Air Pollution Prevention and Control Law in 2015 did not categorize CO₂ as a pollutant [8]. Hence, pollution prevention laws and regulations in China, which designate the MEP as the competent authority, are generally not applicable for the emission of CO₂. Greenhouse gas mitigation is regarded by supporters as beyond the reach of pollution prevention legislation. Instead, it is viewed as mainly relevant to the restructuring of industry and energy and increasing energy efficiency [9]. The NDRC and the National Energy Administration have been in charge of the above matters [10]. Moreover, climate change mitigation also involves issues such as construction, transport, industry and agriculture. A more powerful authority was preferred to coordinate intergovernmental cooperation. The MEP was deemed as not capable of dealing problems at such a macro-level [11].

The climate change regime led by the NDRC, however, has proven difficult to integrate the needs to tackle climate change and other environmental problems. The NDRC was in charge of supervising the CCS demonstration projects while actively promoting them. Whether the potential environmental impact of the projects can be effectively evaluated is doubtful. Due to varied considerations on environmental values and bureaucratic interests, conflicts and contradictions are likely to occur between the NDRC and MEP regarding environmental concerns of CCS. Although the Air Pollution Prevention and Control Law in 2015 did not categorize CO₂ as a pollutant, Article 2 of the law already required for cooperative control of traditional pollutants and greenhouse gases. Under such circumstances, an integration of administrative responsibilities to tackle climate change and other environmental problems seems to be a more reasonable and effective option.

Notably, climate change related responsibilities of the NDRC, Ministry of Land and Resources, State Oceanic Administration and the MEP have been significantly changed since the 2018 reform. In particular, the responsibilities to respond and mitigate climate change impacts (NDRC) and the responsibilities to protect ocean environment (State Oceanic Administration) are now shifted to the Ministry of Ecology and Environment (MEE). The Ministry of Natural resources is established to replace the Ministry of Land and Resources and State Oceanic Administration. The traditional dual management system of climate change has been profoundly changed. The newly found MEE will play a more significant role.
in promoting and supervising CCS development, which is helpful in developing specific environmental regulation rules regarding environmental risk evaluation and prevention, monitoring and emergency response, especially with regard to setting integrated mitigation targets, developing pollutant discharging licenses and emission trading system [12]. This is in line with the environmental administrative regime set by Article 10 of the Environmental Protection Law in China [13]. But the ambiguous legal status of CO₂ will continue to create difficulties for the supervision by the MEE, which should be further clarified by future climate change legislation. Moreover, due to the complexities of climate change issues, effective intragovernmental coordination remains to be vital. In 2010, a liaison office was set up under the National Leading Group for Climate Change to facilitate intergovernmental cooperation [14]. It can serve as the foundation to promote cooperation needed to develop CCS under new circumstances.

**Administrative Permits**

China still falls short of an official permit process for CCS [15]. Although the responsibilities to tackle climate change have been shifted from the NDRC to the MEE, the NDRC and MEE should work together to take the lead in developing an integrated permit process for CCS, since the approval procedure is highly complicated and involves many administrative sectors. It should be mainstreamed into the existing arrangements to avoid unnecessary administrative burden. Moreover, China is currently undergoing a profound reform on the permit system to clarify administrative responsibilities among different government sectors, establish a standard process, promote intergovernmental cooperation and coordination, and specify the conditions, procedures and time limit required for approval [16]. Under this background, it is hopeful to establish a clear permit system to facilitate the development of CCS.

Establishing permits for CCS projects should comply with requirements on the authority, procedure and contents of permits in the second chapter of the Administrative Permit Law (particularly Articles 14, 16, 18 and 19) [17]. According to the Law, permits can be established by means of administrative regulation when there is no governing law [18]. When necessary, the State Council may establish permits in the form of administrative decisions. After implementation, except for temporary administrative permits, the State Council should timely propose to the National People’s Congress and its Standing Committee to draft laws, or to draft administrative regulations by itself [19]. In addition, the competent authority, conditions, procedures and time limit should be specified in order to establish a permit [20]. With regard to CCS projects, based on experiences in the EU and Britain, it is suggested that permits should be required at the exploration, transport, injection, storage and closing stages and beyond [21,22]. Competent authorities should be decided based on factors like scale, location and technology. Information required for application should be specified as well, such as the amount of CO₂ intend to store; the date of injection; the sources of CO₂ and the way of transport; the density, speed, pressure, and location of injection; emergency response and monitoring plans and plans for post-closure period [23,24].

Moreover, since CCS projects also require the application of other kinds of permits, it is important to integrate the application process with other government sectors. It should be noted that, along with the revision of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Law in 2016, an EIA is no longer considered as a prerequisite for the approval of feasibility study report or the approval of the project [25]. The EIA process can be done simultaneously with other permit approval processes. Nevertheless, the EIA report should still be approved before the implementation. In case of construction before gaining the EIA approval, the competent authority should impose punishment accordingly [26]. In addition, since CCS projects could result in air pollution due to the increase of energy consumption at the capture stage, it is necessary to coordinate the pollution permit system that is currently implementing in areas like air pollution prevention. At the transport stage, since condensed or liquefied CO₂ and CO₂ and oxygen mixtures are already listed in China’s Catalogue of Hazardous Chemicals (2015), the permits on road and water transport of hazardous goods, the business license for transportation of hazardous chemicals and the recognition of qualifications for employee should be applicable as well [27]. Relevant requirements can be found in Regulations on the Safety Management of Hazardous Chemicals and Provisions on the Administration of Road Transport of Hazardous Goods [28].

**Fiscal and Financial Support**

In light of the cost of CCS technologies, countries and regions (such as Australia and the EU) have explored many ways to generate economic incentives to promote the development of CCS. This includes, but is not limited to: funding, research support, direct investment, price subsidy for renewable energy, tax deduction and exemption, carbon tax and public trust fund [29]. Financial support is offered mainly by the public sector and the private sector only plays a complementary role. Not only does it generate legal debates on administrative subsidies and taxes, it also brings attention to issues regarding public-private-partnership.

In light of the cost of CCS technologies and a lack of capacities of the third sector in China, financial resources and economic incentives provided by government remain to be the most suitable way of fiscal and financial support [30]. In 2012, the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences drafted the Climate Change Response Act (Draft for Comments), article 65 of it states that the government encourages and supports the development of carbon capture, utilization and storage as well as other technologies to tackle climate change [31]. Specially speaking, government policies to encourage and support the development of CCS can focus on the following aspects: government procurement of services from universities, scientific research facilities and high-tech companies; direct investments on demonstration projects; offering subordinated loans lower than commercial rates; and

![Table 3: Laws, Regulations and Normative Documents that Are Mainly Applicable to the Approval of CCS Projects in China](image-url)
Main Scope of Evaluation
Substances and equipment with potential environmental risks at different CCS stages and elaborates the gap of evaluation methods in China. The Guidelines identifies above issues. In June 2016, the MEP issued the Technical Guidelines for Evaluation of Environmental Risks of CCS (Trial), which fills the gap of evaluation methods in China. The Guidelines identifies potential environmental risks at different CCS stages and elaborates on the process and scope for EIA on CCS projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCS Process Chain</th>
<th>Main Sources of Environmental Risks</th>
<th>Main Scope of Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capture</td>
<td>Substances and equipment with environmental risks during the capture process</td>
<td>The scale and quantity of CO₂ capture; the material of capture equipment; technologies used to capture and environmental risk substances; purity of CO₂ flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Equipment relevant to transportation and the leakage of CO₂ and/or other substances</td>
<td>Mode of transport; material of transportation facilities; transport route; scale of transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization and Storage</td>
<td>CO₂ and/or other substances with environmental risks, surface gathering and transportation equipment, existing or new shaft and other potential leakage channels</td>
<td>Characteristics of geologic structure; CO₂ injection parameter; quantity and depth of injection wells; migration of CO₂ (will it migrate beyond storage site); the construction of project; resource exploitation activities; material of equipment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 2013 Notice mentioned above also calls for an improvement of the relevant environmental standards. Given the CCS development tendency and reality in China, technical guidelines and rule-making process should be developed with regard to optimizing project site selection, EIA, environmental monitoring, environmental risk control, evaluation of environmental damages and the protection of biodiversity [53]. In light of CCS international standardization experience, the development of technical standards and environmental protection standards should differentiate the processes of CO$_2$ capture, transport and storage [54]. For the capture stage, elements like energy consumption, capture efficiency, sampling analysis of air emission and safety performance evaluation should be considered [55]. For the transport stage, transport by pipeline, land carriage, and ship should be discussed separately and the density, form and feature of CO$_2$ should be considered [56]. Existing pipeline standards for petroleum and natural gas can serve as references as well [57]. For the storage stage, technical requirements and standards should be made for site selection, management, injection and termination of injection to ensure stable and continuous storage [58]. Moreover, air pollution standards and standards for dangerous chemicals should be applicable at the capture and transport stages [59].

**Environmental Monitoring and Emergency Response**

The prevention, control and remedy of environmental risks caused by CCS also requires systematic mechanisms for monitoring, warning and emergency response. Therefore, it is necessary to update existing monitoring and emergency response plans in case of any environmental emergencies caused by CCS projects. For this purpose, monitoring measures should be designed for capture, transport and storage stages accordingly.

In particular, continuous environmental background monitoring should be required at the capture stage. Equipment corrosion and pipeline pressure should be monitored at the transport stage [60]. With regard to storage, long-term continuous monitoring should be done regarding environmental background value, injection operation, site closure and post-closure status [61]. Based on the EU experience, it can include a comparison of the actual and modelled behavior of CO$_2$ and formation water in the storage site; detection of significant irregularities; detection of migration and leakage of CO$_2$; detection of significant adverse effects for the surrounding environment; assessment of the effectiveness of any corrective measures; and assessment of the safety and integrity of the storage complex in the short and long term [62]. At the post-closure stage, although requirements on monitoring can be relaxed, a certain level of monitoring is still required to detect leakage or significant irregularities [63].

With regard to warning and emergency response, feasible measures include danger zone and alarming system, emergency response plans (for both the operator and government), emergency report system and personal training [64]. In particular, the government should be entitled to claim compensation from the operator for its expenses on taking corrective measures [65].

**Environmental Liability and Responsibility**

The liability and responsibility issues of CCS projects mainly exist at the storage stage, particularly after the site is closed. Relatively speaking, responsibility and liability during the operation is less controversial, the operator is responsible for daily management and emergency response, and is subject to civil liabilities for environmental damages and damages to human health and properties. The Regulation on Safety Management of Radioactive Waste in China adopts similar requirements on the responsibility during the operation of facilities [66]. In countries and regions that have established a carbon emission trading system, such as the EU, the operator is also required to surrender emissions trading allowances for any leaked emissions [67].

Post-closure long-term responsibility and liability, however, are much more controversial. Due to the ultra-long term nature of CCS projects, potential risks at post-closure stage should be identified and monitored [68]. Given the normal lifespan of a commercial or corporate entity and urgent needs for CO$_2$ mitigation, it is unrealistic to expect the operator to retain responsibility indefinitely. Therefore, international experience has tried to resolve this issue by setting a post-closure guarantee period and allowing the transfer of responsibility to government. This is also used to deal with closed waste landfills and the nuclear industry [69].

In contrast, although relevant legislation in China requires post-closure management for a certain period, it does not specify on long-term responsibility beyond such period. For example, a facility safety monitoring plan should be prepared in order to apply for the closure of radioactive solid waste disposal facilities. After the closure, the operator should follow the plan during the safety monitoring period. But the existing legislation does not mention the potential responsibility after the safety monitoring period [70]. Similarly, with regard to the post-closure responsibility of municipal solid waste landfill, the Pollution Control Standards on Municipal Solid Waste Landfill explicitly requires monitoring at regular intervals and continued disposal of landfill leachate and gas until water pollutants detected in the landfill leachate are below the threshold standards for two consecutive years [71]. Similar post-closure monitoring requirements are also applicable for underground water [72]. After water pollutants have met the threshold standards for two consecutive years, however, no requirement is made on long-term responsibility. Therefore, while legislation on relevant issues can contribute to the development of CCS operator’s post-closure responsibility during a certain period, the discussion on long-term responsibility transfer can benefit from international experiences.

For example, Article 18 of the CCS Directive (EU Directive 2009/31/EC) allows the transfer of responsibility to government under strict conditions. Specifically speaking, if the following criteria were met, the responsibility of environmental protection can be transferred to the authority in charge of CCS: (1) all available evidence indicates that the stored CO$_2$ will be completely and permanently contained; (2) a minimum period (no less than 20 years) determined by the competent authority has elapsed, unless the competent authority is convinced that the criterion referred to in point (1) is compiled before the end of that period; (3) the financial obligations referred to in Article 20 have been fulfilled (the operator should make a financial contribution available to the competent authority before the transfer of responsibility, usually in the forms of guarantee by the parent company, insurance and bank guarantee, to cover the costs borne by the competent authority after the transfer of responsibility); (4) the site has been sealed and the injection facilities have been removed [73]. It should be noted that, this provision only addresses the issue of responsibility (such as monitoring and emergency response), rather than civil liability.

The CCS Directive does not specify on civil liability, such as compensation for damages [74,75]. Instead, it is left for each member states to decide. It is argued that damages emerged after the transfer of responsibility are either caused by slow and gradual leakage during the operation or caused by post-transfer leakage [76]. Based on the experience in Australia, Britain and Alberta
Finally, the public should have more opportunities to participate in CCS related decision-making process. At the moment, public participation at the level of specific activities enjoys the most sophisticated arrangements on public participation in China, which can be identified in legislation on EIA and Permits. In addition to the EIA Law and the Interim Measures on Public Participation in EIA, the Environmental Protection Law has achieved further improvements in this aspect: the affected public must be consulted and the full EIA report should be released to the public [81]. Moreover, according to the Administrative Permit Law in China, if any permit application involves significant interests of a third party, the administrative authority should notify the interested party about his or her right to hearing [82]. Compared to public participation requirements under the EIA laws and regulations, the Administrative Permit Law is applicable to all kinds of permits required for CCS. Namely, all competent authorities are subject to this procedural requirement. The above provisions build a better institutional foundation for public participation in CCS decision-making at the project level. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of public participation at this level are still pending for future improvements in practice [83]. Public participation at the level of plans and policies should be strengthened as well [84].

Table 5: Laws, Regulations and Normative Documents that Are Mainly Applicable to CCS Environmental Regulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Law/Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capture</td>
<td>-Environmental Protection Law, Air Pollution Prevention and Control Law, and EIA Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>-Environmental Protection Law, EIA Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>-Environmental Protection Law and EIA Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-closure</td>
<td>-Environmental Protection Law and EIA Law</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

The CCS related law and policies should be designed to be able to weigh the merits and demerits of the technology in the context of China. Although the traditional dual management system of climate change has been profoundly changed in China, the ambiguous legal status of CO2 will continue to creating difficulties for the balance of risk and return. A number of private and public legal tools have been identified to resolve CCS related disputes. It is highlighted that a proper public participation process is crucial in cultivating a common understanding on the development of CCS in China.
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