ISSN: 2754-4893

# Journal of Dental Science Research Reviews & Reports



Research Article Open de Access

# Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Green Dentistry among Dental Professionals in Saudi Arabia: A Cross-sectional Study

Ammar Alshamrani<sup>1\*</sup> and Fatma Alzahrani<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Restorative Dental Sciences and Director, University Dental Hospital, Dean, Faculty of Dentistry, Prince Sultan Campus, Hawaiah, Taif University, Taif, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor in Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Prince Sultan Campus, Hawaiah, Taif University, Taif, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

#### **ABSTRACT**

Introduction: Green dentistry is an evolving practice that focuses on sustainability, prevention, and a minimally invasive, patient-centric approach. It aims to preserve the environment by reducing the ecological footprint of dental practices. The most common waste products used in dental practice are amalgams from restorative materials, radiographic chemicals, plastic/paper barriers, and disinfectant solutions, all of which can adversely affect the environment and human health.

Aim of Study: Despite these pressing concerns, awareness and implementation of green dentistry among dental professionals in Saudi Arabia are lacking. This knowledge gap is particularly maximum among graduates and postgraduates, who possess limited understanding of green dentistry principles. The aim of this study was to assess the knowledge of green dentistry concepts and practices among dental professionals and identify the barriers and facilitators for promoting sustainable dental practice in the country.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional, questionnaire-based study conducted among 190 dental practitioners in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; the questionnaire was distributed online.

**Results:** Among the 190 respondents, the majority were male practitioners (72%), with most of them holding master's degrees (39.5%) and working as specialists (47%). The overall awareness of green dentistry was higher, and most dentists followed eco-friendly practices and proper waste disposal methods.

**Conclusion:** Green dentistry is a multidisciplinary approach that emphasizes efficient resource practice within dental offices, thereby reducing the impact of dental practice on the environment through the adoption of eco-friendly practices.

#### \*Corresponding author

Ammar Alshamrani, Associate Professor, Restorative Dental Sciences and Director, University Dental Hospital, Dean, Faculty of Dentistry, Prince Sultan Campus, Hawaiah, Taif University, Taif, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Received: January 08, 2024; Accepted: January 17, 2024; Published: January 24, 2024

**Keywords:** Green Dentistry, Eco-Friendly, Reusable, Biodegradable, Digital System, Global Hazards, Dental Practitioners, Dental Professionals, Green Initiative

#### Introduction

The practice of dentistry has a significant environmental impact arising from multiple factors. Some of these factors include the high electricity demand for dental equipment, large amount of water requirement, effects of biomaterials, radiation exposure, and generation of hazardous waste [1]. Dental practitioners are becoming increasingly concerned about the potential impact of dentistry on the environment. They frequently take preventive measures to reduce waste production and ensure proper waste disposal in their practices. Thus, adopting green dentistry principles offers a practical approach that will allow dental professionals to attain environmental sustainability and social responsibility in an era of growing public environmental concern and protective legislation [2].

Green dentistry is currently transforming the field of dentistry by decreasing its harmful impact on nature, promoting eco-friendly practices, and reducing waste production. Currently, recognizing the importance of eco-friendly practices in all aspects of our lives, including dental practice, is crucial, considering its huge impact on the environment. This emphasizes the thrust to move towards "green dentistry" [3].

Recently, three initiatives launched in Riyadh, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), aiming to contribute to environmental protection, energy transformation, and sustainability programs for a greener future. These initiatives include the Saudi Green Initiative, Youth Green Summit, and Middle East Green Initiative. The Saudi Green Initiative is a roadmap for the KSA to achieve its "VISION 2030" in protecting earth by increasing its reliance on clean energy, offsetting the impact of fossil fuels, and conserving the environment. The Middle East Green Initiative is a regional collaboration led by Saudi Arabia aimed at achieving global targets

J Dental Sci Res Rep, 2024 Volume 6(1): 1-6

for combating climate change by restoring land, reducing carbon emissions, and increasing renewable energy capacity [4, 5].

The present study aimed to assess the knowledge, attitude, and practices related to eco-friendly green dentistry among dental practitioners in the KSA.

#### **Material and Methods**

This cross-sectional survey conducted to determine the level of awareness of green dentistry among 190 dental practitioners across Saudi Arabia. A pilot survey done including 20 volunteer dentists to pretest the data collection methods and determine the reliability of the survey. Dentists who participated in the pilot study were excluded from the final sample. Based on their feedback, the questionnaire was modified to improve its clarity to ensure collection of correct responses and align with the study's objectives. The study received consent and ethical approval from the institutional review board. It was conducted from November 2022 to mid-January 2023.

**Study Design and Study Population:** This study was designed as an observational, cross-sectional, multi-institutional questionnaire study conducted online.

Study Methods and Questionnaire: The questionnaire consisted of 17 questions regarding awareness of green dentistry and the procedures involved in implementing them, as previously published, with a few modifications to suit the study's objectives [6]. The first part of the questionnaire was aimed at collecting demographic or professional details, and the second part focused on assessing awareness of green dentistry, its associations, strategies implemented in practice, and the practitioners' attitude towards this concept. The collected data was verified by both researchers regularly for the reliability, uniformity, and correctness. Minimum required demographic details were also included in the questionnaire.

**Inclusion Criteria:** This study included dentists who were active in the clinical and academic fields, with varying levels of educational qualifications and experience.

**Exclusion Criteria:** Participants who declined to participate or submitted incomplete questionnaires were excluded from the study.

Ethical Considerations: This research was part of a non-funded staff research project, approved by the Scientific Research Ethics Committee of University of Taif (number: 45-018 dated, 04/09/2022). Study information with details was provided in digital format along with the questionnaire and required mandatory forms. The participation in the research was voluntary and anonymous. Those who completed and submitted the questionnaire was considered as consented to be part of the study. No monetary or non-monetary compensation was provided for participation.

**Data Analysis and Statistics:** The data collected were tabulated in Microsoft Excel 2016 and analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) (version 23.0; Raleigh, North Carolina, USA). The significance level was set at 5% ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Descriptive statistics (chi-square test) were used to present the results based on the responses received from the practitioners.

#### Results

Among the 190 respondents, 54 were graduates, 31 held board certificates, 75 held master's degrees, and 30 were Ph.D. holders

[Table 1]. Complete demographic details, including age, sex distribution, education level, work category, sector, and experience of dental professionals, were collected. Most dentists included in the study were male practitioners (72%), held master's degrees (39.5%), and worked as specialists (47%). Table 1 summarizes the demographic data and distribution of the dental professionals involved in the study.

Table 1: Demographic data and distribution of Dental Practitioners details

| Practitioners details |                             |        |         |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|
| Age                   |                             | Number | %       |  |  |
| •                     | 25-35 years                 | 71     | 37.37%  |  |  |
| •                     | 36-45 years                 | 63     | 33.16%  |  |  |
| •                     | Above 45 years              | 56     | 29.47%  |  |  |
| Tota                  | al                          | 190    | 100.00% |  |  |
| Gei                   | nder                        |        |         |  |  |
| •                     | Female                      | 53     | 27.89%  |  |  |
| •                     | Male                        | 137    | 72.11%  |  |  |
| Tota                  | al                          | 190    | 100.00% |  |  |
| Deg                   | gree                        |        |         |  |  |
| •                     | Bachelor                    | 54     | 28.42%  |  |  |
| •                     | Board Certificate           | 31     | 16.32%  |  |  |
| •                     | Masters                     | 75     | 39.47%  |  |  |
| •                     | PhD                         | 30     | 15.79%  |  |  |
| Total                 |                             | 190    | 100.00% |  |  |
| Pro                   | fession                     |        |         |  |  |
| •                     | Consultant                  | 42     | 22.11%  |  |  |
| •                     | General Dentist             | 58     | 30.53%  |  |  |
| •                     | Specialist                  | 90     | 47.37%  |  |  |
| Tota                  | al                          | 190    | 100.00% |  |  |
| Sec                   | tor                         |        |         |  |  |
| •                     | Government primary care     | 36     | 18.95%  |  |  |
| •                     | Government specialty        | 56     | 29.47%  |  |  |
| •                     | Government-general hospital | 2      | 1.05%   |  |  |
| •                     | Private- Multi speciality   | 10     | 5.26%   |  |  |
| •                     | Private-Group practice      | 5      | 2.63%   |  |  |
| •                     | University Hospital         | 81     | 42.63%  |  |  |
| Total                 |                             | 190    | 100.00% |  |  |
| Ex                    | perience                    |        |         |  |  |
| •                     | 0-5 years                   | 61     | 32.11%  |  |  |
| •                     | 11-15 years                 | 39     | 20.53%  |  |  |
| •                     | 6-10 years                  | 23     | 12.11%  |  |  |
| •                     | More than 15 years          | 67     | 35.26%  |  |  |
| Tota                  | al                          | 190    | 100.00% |  |  |

Table 2 presents a comprehensive overview of the responses regarding the awareness, knowledge, and responsibility of dental professionals towards eco-friendly (green) dental office practices within the KSA. Overall awareness of green dentistry was higher in all groups, except for bachelor's degree holders, and this difference was highly statistically significant (P = 0.00001). However, a significant proportion of dentists, other than those with Ph.D. qualifications, did not implement green dentistry practices in their clinical setting [question 2; Table 2].

J Dental Sci Res Rep, 2024 Volume 6(1): 2-6

**Table 2: Responses to Questionnaire by Dental Practitioners** 

| Questions                               | Bachelor            |                  | Board Certificate |           | Master |                 |
|---|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|
| 1. Are you aware of the benefits of     | No. %               |                  | No.               | %         | No.    | %               |
| Green Dentistry?                        |                     |                  |                   |           |        |                 |
| • No                                    | 40                  | 74.07%           | 12                | 38.71%    | 26     | 34.67%          |
| • Yes                                   | 14                  | 25.93%           | 19                | 61.29%    | 49     | 65.33%          |
| Total                                   | 54                  | 100.00%          | 31                | 100.00%   | 75     | 100.00%         |
| 2. Do you follow Green Dentistry in     |                     |                  | I                 |           |        |                 |
| • No                                    | 43                  | 79.63%           | 15                | 48.39%    | 40     | 53.33%          |
| • Yes                                   | 11                  | 20.37%           | 16                | 51.61%    | 35     | 46.67%          |
| Total                                   | 54                  | 100.00%          | 31                | 100.00%   | 75     | 100.00%         |
| 3. Do you think dental practice has an  | n impact on the en  | vironment?       |                   |           |        |                 |
| May be                                  | 20                  | 37.04%           | 12                | 38.71%    | 14     | 18.67%          |
| • Yes, negative (-ve) impact            | 7                   | 12.96%           | 4                 | 12.90%    | 25     | 33.33%          |
| • Yes, positive (+ve) impact            | 27                  | 50.00%           | 15                | 48.39%    | 36     | 48.00%          |
|   | 54                  | 100.00%          | 31                | 100.00%   | 75     | 100.00%         |
| 4. How do you document patient deta     | ails and medication | n prescriptions? |                   |           |        |                 |
| • Digital (computer)                    | 44                  | 81.48%           | 25                | 80.65%    | 51     | 68.00%          |
| • Paper (hard copy)                     | 10                  | 18.52%           | 6                 | 19.35%    | 24     | 32.00%          |
| Total                                   | 54                  | 100.00%          | 31                | 100.00%   | 75     | 100.00%         |
| 5. Do you keep plants in clinics to inc | crease oxygenation  | n?               |                   |           |        |                 |
| • No                                    | 42                  | 77.78%           | 25                | 80.65%    | 44     | 58.67%          |
| • Yes                                   | 12                  | 22.22%           | 6                 | 19.35%    | 31     | 41.33%          |
| Total                                   | 54                  | 100.00%          | 31                | 100.00%   | 75     | 100.00%         |
| 6. What type of flooring is used in t   | the clinic?         |                  |                   |           |        |                 |
| • linoleum/cork                         | 28                  | 51.85%           | 11                | 35.48%    | 17     | 22.67%          |
| Polyester Berber carpet                 | 5                   | 9.26%            | 3                 | 9.68%     | 11     | 14.67%          |
| Polyvinyl chloride                      | 21                  | 38.89%           | 17                | 54.84%    | 47     | 62.67%          |
| Total                                   | 54                  | 100.00%          | 31                | 100.00%   | 75     | 100.00%         |
| 7. What type of light used in the cli   | nic?                | 1                |                   |           |        |                 |
| • LED/CFL                               | 32                  | 59.26%           | 21                | 67.74%    | 48     | 64.00%          |
| Normal light/Luminescent                | 22                  | 40.74%           | 10                | 32.26%    | 27     | 36.00%          |
| Total                                   | 54                  | 100.00%          | 31                | 100.00%   | 75     | 100.00%         |
| 8. What type of lab coats and patie     | nt drape/cover us   |                  | I                 |           |        |                 |
| Disposable                              | 46                  | 85.19%           | 24                | 77.42%    | 62     | 82.67%          |
| Reusable                                | 8                   | 14.81%           | 7                 | 22.58%    | 13     | 17.33%          |
| Total                                   | 54                  | 100.00%          | 31                | 100.00%   | 75     | 100.00%         |
| 9. What type of suction tips are use    | ed in the clinic?   | I                | I                 |           |        |                 |
| • Both                                  | 7                   | 12.96%           | 9                 | 29.03%    | 21     | 28.00%          |
| • Metal                                 | 0                   | 0.00%            | 1                 | 3.23%     | 1      | 1.33%           |
| Plastic (Disposable)                    | 47                  | 87.04%           | 21                | 67.74%    | 53     | 70.67%          |
| Total                                   | 54                  | 100.00%          | 31                | 100.00%   | 75     | 100.00%         |
| 10. What types of cups are used for     |                     | 1                |                   |           |        | 1 2 2 2 3 0 7 0 |
| Biodegradable                           | 1                   | 1.85%            | 2                 | 6.45%     | 10     | 13.33%          |
| • Plastic                               | 53                  | 98.15%           | 27                | 87.10%    | 64     | 85.33%          |
| Reusable                                | 0                   | 0.00%            | 2                 | 6.45%     | 1      | 1.33%           |
| Total                                   | 54                  | 100.00%          | 31                | 100.00%   | 75     | 100.00%         |
| 11. Which type of radiograph do yo      |                     |                  |                   | 100.00 /0 | 7.5    | 100.00 /0       |
| Both                                    | 4                   | 7.41%            | 1                 | 3.23%     | 11     | 14.67%          |
| Conventional                            | 19                  | 35.19%           | 0                 | 0.00%     | 3      | 4.00%           |
| Conventional                            | 19                  | 33.19%           | U                 | 0.0070    | 3      | 4.00%           |

J Dental Sci Res Rep, 2024 Volume 6(1): 3-6

| • Digital                      | 31                    | 57.41%               | 30           | 96.77%  | 61 | 81.33%  |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------|----|---------|
| Total                          | 54                    | 100.00%              | 31           | 100.00% | 75 | 100.00% |
| 12. What are common restorat   | ions done in the clir | nic?                 |              |         |    |         |
| • Amalgam                      | 2                     | 3.70%                | 0            | 0.00%   | 0  | 0.00%   |
| • Composite                    | 34                    | 62.96%               | 21           | 67.74%  | 54 | 72.00%  |
| • GIC                          | 17                    | 31.48%               | 7            | 22.58%  | 20 | 26.67%  |
| • Others                       | 1                     | 1.85%                | 3            | 9.68%   | 1  | 1.33%   |
| Total                          | 54                    | 100.00%              | 31           | 100.00% | 75 | 100.00% |
| 13. How often are amalgam re   | storations done in th | ne clinic?           |              |         |    |         |
| Not at all                     | 41                    | 75.93%               | 23           | 74.19%  | 27 | 36.00%  |
| • Rarely                       | 11                    | 20.37%               | 7            | 22.58%  | 44 | 58.67%  |
| Very often                     | 2                     | 3.70%                | 1            | 3.23%   | 4  | 5.33%   |
| Total                          | 54                    | 100.00%              | 31           | 100.00% | 75 | 100.00% |
| 14. Are you aware of mercury   | toxicity to patients, | operators, and the   | environment? |         |    |         |
| • no                           | 3                     | 5.56%                | 0            | 0.00%   | 2  | 2.67%   |
| • Yes                          | 51                    | 94.44%               | 31           | 100.00% | 73 | 97.33%  |
| Total                          | 54                    | 100.00%              | 31           | 100.00% | 75 | 100.00% |
| 15. Do you use water faucet se | nsors to reduce wate  | er wastage in the cl | inic?        |         |    |         |
| • No                           | 42                    | 77.78%               | 16           | 51.61%  | 32 | 42.67%  |
| • Yes                          | 12                    | 22.22%               | 15           | 48.39%  | 43 | 57.33%  |
| Total                          | 54                    | 100.00%              | 31           | 100.00% | 75 | 100.00% |
| 16. Do you purchase supplies i | n bulk packaging to   | reduce waste disp    | osal?        |         |    |         |
| • No                           | 24                    | 44.44%               | 7            | 22.58%  | 14 | 18.67%  |
| • Yes                          | 30                    | 55.56%               | 24           | 77.42%  | 61 | 81.33%  |
| Total                          | 54                    | 100.00%              | 31           | 100.00% | 75 | 100.00% |
| 17. Do you follow proper wast  | e disposal methods i  | n the clinic?        |              |         |    |         |
| • No                           | 8                     | 14.81%               | 2            | 6.45%   | 4  | 5.33%   |
| • Yes                          | 46                    | 85.19%               | 29           | 93.55%  | 71 | 94.67%  |
| Total                          | 54                    | 100.00%              | 31           | 100.00% | 75 | 100.00% |

Most dentists followed eco-friendly green dentistry practices, including the use of digital radiographs and dental record keeping with medical prescriptions, employing light-emitting diode (LED)/ compact fluorescent lamp (CFL) bulbs, opting for non-amalgam restorations, making bulk purchases to reduce waste, and following proper waste disposal methods [questions 4,7,11,12,13,14,16,17; Table 2]. However, most dentists employed non-eco-friendly practices, including with use of disposable patient drapes, lab coats, water cups at spittoons, and suction tips in their clinic or hospital [questions 8,9,10; Table 2].

A highly significant difference was observed in the awareness and adoption of green dentistry practice strategies, as presented in Table 2 (P > 0.05).

## Discussion

Green dentistry is a practice in the field of dentistry aimed at minimizing the environmental impact of dental procedures and materials by adopting eco-friendly strategies, such as energy and water conservation, waste reduction, and use of biocompatible materials [1, 7].

A recent systematic review evaluating green dental practice and its effects revealed that only five studies have been conducted globally [8]. This study demonstrated a similar sex distribution as observed in Al-Qarni et al. study, with 72% of the participants

being male practitioners [7]. Among the 190 dental practitioners, more than 70% (Table 1) with a bachelor's degree were unaware of and did not practice green dentistry, which is consistent with the findings of Agrasuta and Nelson, who reported that 83.5% of their respondents had not heard of green dentistry [9]. However, in this study, 57% of the participants were aware of green dentistry, but only 43% of them followed it. These findings are comparable to those of a recent study, which revealed 64% of dentists are aware of green dentistry, and most of them (84.4%) have a positive attitude towards adopting it. However, nearly half of these practitioners find it difficult to switch to green dentistry [6, 10]. Moreover, Prathima et al11, reported that only 40.6% of the dentists know about green dentistry.

In contrast, a study from Thailand demonstrated that although most (83.5%) dentists are unaware of green dentistry, they routinely practice eco-friendly dentistry by using alternatives to amalgam filling (98%), LED bulbs (91%), unplugging electronic devices when not in use (96%), employing digital radiography (78.6%), and using reusable lab coats (89%) in their daily practice [9].

The results of the present study revealed that most dentists employed digital radiographs (76.7%) and digital dental record keeping (74.2%), used LED/CFL bulbs (62.1%), adopted non-amalgam restorations, and made bulk purchases (73.7%) to reduce waste generation and follow proper waste disposal methods.

J Dental Sci Res Rep, 2024 Volume 6(1): 4-6

[questions 4,7,11,12,13,14,16,17; Table 2]. These findings are consistent with those of previous studies related to the use of digital radiographs, record keeping, LED sources [6, 12-14].

Another important aspect of green dentistry is the reduction of waste generation and disposal in dental practice. Green dentistry advocates the use of biodegradable, non-toxic, and mercury-free materials and products to reduce the amount and toxicity of dental waste. It also advocates the implementation of waste management protocols that ensure proper segregation, collection, storage, transportation, treatment, and disposal of dental waste in compliance with local regulations [1].

The results of the present study revealed that less than 2% of the participants chose amalgam restorations over other alternatives. In contrast, 98% of practitioners preferred non-amalgam restorations, such as glass ionomers and composites, to prevent mercury toxicity. These findings are similar to those of a previous study [13]. However, the results of Sawair et al., study are contradictory, where 76% of Jordanian general dental practitioners were reported to be using amalgam [15].

Even though, the use of amalgam restoration is not popular and not encouraged now a days, majority of dental practitioners use it in Saudi Arabia and found it safe. Whereas the patients are not aware or have slight information about the adverse effects and problems with the amalgam [16]. Promoting the use of ecofriendly practices, including the use of metal suction tips, reusable drinking cups, cloth lab coats, and patient drapes, is essential. In the present study, the use of reusable lab coats and drapes (19.4%), cups (1.6%), and suction tips (2.1%) was very low, similar to the findings of Al Shatrat et al [14]. The decreased use of these items could be due to the ease of availability or necessity for extra equipment or sanitization associated with metal suction tips and reusable cups, which require additional staff to meet the requirements and maintain good hygiene standards.

A similar study from India concluded that the younger generation dental professionals are keen to green dentistry practice. Integration and application of many innovations in green dentistry is more economical, increases productivity, reduce waste and preventing pollution [17, 18].

The practice of "green dentistry" decreases the damage to the environment and highlights the actions that are required by dental professionals. This moderately new concept is basically choosing recyclable or reusable materials and the concept of adopting digital technology is so significant.

The most important point to highlight is that the authors also believe that the recent COVID-19 pandemic outbreak may have had a significant influence on the use of plastic or disposables.

### **Summary and Conclusion**

Efficient use of energy and water in dental clinics is one of the main aspects of green dentistry. Green dentistry is a growing trend that reflects the increasing awareness and responsibility of dental professionals towards environmental sustainability. It reduces waste and pollution; saves energy, water, and money; incorporates technological innovations; and focuses on well-being and integrative practices. This study highlighted the importance of incorporating social values, fostering community care, engaging stakeholders, reaping economic benefits, developing policies and providing leadership in transforming the concept of green dentistry into a practical reality. Furthermore, it emphasizes the essential

role of continuous education and training on the concept of green dentistry for clinical practitioners, academics and students.

**Limitations:** The present study's reliance on a self-reported questionnaire survey may have introduced some inherent bias. The personal views of dental professionals are subjected to influence by individual preferences, and further studies involving larger samples may be required.

**Sponsors/Financial Support:** This study did not receive any specific grants from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest: None. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- 1. Mulimani P (2017) Green dentistry: the art and science of sustainable practice. Br Dent J 222: 954-961.
- 2. Duane B, Harford S, Ramasubbu D, Stancliffe R, Pasdeki-Clewer E, et al. (2019) Environmentally sustainable dentistry: a brief introduction to sustainable concepts within the dental practice. Br Dent J 226: 292-295.
- 3. Martin N, Sheppard M, Gorasia G, Arora P, Cooper M, et al. (2021) Awareness and barriers to sustainability in dentistry: A scoping review. J Dent 112: 103735.
- Saudi Green Initiative [section: Targets]. Available at: https:// www.saudigreeninitiative.org/
- Saudi Green Initiative [section: Homepage]. Available at: https://www.saudigreeninitiative.org/Saudi Vision 2030. Available at: https://www.vision2030.gov.sa/v2030/overview/
- 6. Pallavi C, Moses J, Joybell CC, Sekhar KP (2020) Assessment of knowledge, attitude, and implementation of green dentistry among dental practitioners in Chennai. Journal of oral research and review 12: 6.
- Al-Qarni MA, Shakeela NV, Alamri MA, Alshaikh YA (2016) Awareness of Eco-Friendly Dentistry among Dental Faculty and Students of King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia. J Clin Diagn Res 10: 75-78.
- 8. Al-Thunian FF, Al-Bounni RS, Ingle NA, Assery MK (2020) Evaluation of green dental practice implementation among dental practitioners worldwide-a systematic review. J Dent Oral Health 7: 1-7.
- 9. Agrasuta V, Nelson A (2013) The adoption of green dentistry among dentists in Thailand. Ministry of Public Health, Thailand. http://www.researchgate.net/publication/281629128.
- Khanna SS, Dhaimade PA (2019) Green dentistry: a systematic review of ecological dental practices. Environ Dev Sustain 21: 2599-2618.
- 11. Prathima V, Vellore K, Kotha A, Malathi S, Kumar V, et al. (2017) Knowledge, attitude and practices towards ecofriendly dentistry among dental practitioners. J Dent Res 4: 123.
- 12. Kallakuri P, Kumar BN, Rao VN, Nirupama YS, Velamala A, et al. (2019) Assessment of attitude and implementation of ecofriendly dental office strategies among dental practitioners in a city practice area of South Indian State. Int J Sci Res 12: 8.
- 13. Chopra A, Raju K (2017) Green dentistry: practices and perceived barriers among dental practitioners of Chandigarh, Panchkula, and Mohali (Tricity), India. J Indian Assoc Public Health Dent 15: 53-56.
- 14. Al Shatrat SM, Shuman D, Darby ML, Jeng HA (2013) Jordanian dentists' knowledge and implementation of ecofriendly dental office strategies. Int Dent J 63: 161-168.

J Dental Sci Res Rep, 2024 Volume 6(1): 5-6

- 15. Sawair FA, Hassoneh Y, Jamleh AO, Al-Rabab'ah M (2010) Observance of proper mercury hygiene practices by Jordanian general dental practitioners. Int J Occup Med Environ Health 23: 47-54.
- 16. Al-Nahedh HN, El-Hejazi AA, Habib SR (2020) Knowledge and Attitude of Dentists and Patients Toward Use and Health Safety of Dental Amalgam in Saudi Arabia. Eur J Dent 14: 233-238.
- 17. Antoniadou M, Chrysochoou G, Tzanetopoulos R, Riza E (2023) Green Dental Environmentalism among Students and Dentists in Greece. Sustainability 15: 9508.
- Thakar S, Kinariwala N, Pandya D, Parekh NH, Patel NK, et al. (2023) Awareness and Constraints towards the Implementation of Green Dentistry amongst Dental Students and Private Practitioners of West India. J Pharm Bioallied Sci 15: S1287-S1290.

**Copyright:** ©2024 Ammar Alshamrani. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

J Dental Sci Res Rep, 2024 Volume 6(1): 6-6