

Review Article

Open Access

Importance of Early Diagnosis of Gastrointestinal Tumors: A Brief Review

Emily Eduarda Hellmann^{1*}, Ian Caldeira Ruppen¹, Fernando de Oliveira Dutra², André Cesar Leandro¹, Larissa da Rosa Piccoli¹, Tauane Cano Barreto¹, Ana Paula Mendes¹, Priscila De Oliveira Barros¹, Camilla Antunes Zanini³, Sarila Hali Kloster Lopes¹, Lara Beatriz Dallaqua Bitiati¹, Bruno Tadim Carderelli⁴, Henrique Marques Dagostin⁵, Fernanda Romagnole Pugliese⁵, Ana Carolina Langendyk Rodrigues¹ and Maria Clara Costa Calvo¹

¹Centro Universitario Inga Uninga, Maringa, PR, Brazil

²Hospital Memorial Uninga HMU, Maringa, PR, Brazil

³Faculdade Morgana Potrich, Mineiros, GO, Brazil

⁴Faculdade Cesumar Unicesumar, Maringa, PR Brazil

⁵Universidade Federal Rural do Semi Arido UFERSA Mossoro RN, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Gastrointestinal tumors (GITs) rank among the leading causes of cancer mortality worldwide, accounting for more than one-third of oncology-related deaths estimated for 2024. Evidence shows that five-year survival exceeds 90 % when diagnosis occurs at a localized stage but drops to below 20 % in metastatic disease. This review synthesizes recent literature on the importance of early diagnosis of GITs, explores risk-based screening strategies, and analyzes barriers to their implementation. Searches in PubMed, SciELO, and Embase covered publications from 2020 to 2025. The data demonstrate tangible benefits from colonoscopy, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, and non-invasive tests such as the fecal immunochemical test and multitarget stool DNA, which reduce colorectal-cancer mortality by 30 %–60 %. Artificial-intelligence tools have increased the sensitivity of endoscopic detection of early lesions, while serum and microbiome biomarkers show promise for pancreatic and gastric-cancer screening. Socio-economic inequalities, procedural fear, and low health literacy nevertheless limit adherence, especially in low- and middle-income countries. Cost-effectiveness models indicate net savings when colonoscopy begins at 45 years of age, given the rising incidence of colorectal cancer in young adults. Investing in early diagnosis is therefore a cost-effective strategy that can save lives and reduce the economic burden of digestive cancers.

*Corresponding author

Ian Caldeira Ruppen, Centro Universitario Inga Uninga, Maringa, Parana, Brazil.

Received: June 01, 2025; **Accepted:** June 04, 2025; **Published:** July 01, 2025

Keywords: Gastrointestinal Tumors, Colonoscopy, Endoscopy, Biomarkers

Introduction

Tumors of the gastrointestinal tract encompassing the oesophagus, stomach, liver, biliary tract, pancreas, small intestine, colon, and rectum form a heterogeneous group that leads global cancer-incidence and mortality indicators. The International Agency for Research on Cancer estimated that almost 20 million new malignancies were diagnosed in 2022, roughly one-third of which originated in the GIT (WHO, 2024). Despite therapeutic advances, most of these neoplasms are still detected at advanced stages, limiting curative treatment options and yielding five-year survival rates below 30 % [1]. Early detection is thus pivotal in digestive oncology because it permits less aggressive interventions and markedly better prognoses. Initial tumors may be treated with endoscopic resections or minimally invasive surgery, often obviating systemic chemotherapy and radiotherapy. The biological rationale for screening rests on the stepwise progression of premalignant lesions such as colorectal adenomas or gastric metaplasia to invasive carcinoma over years, creating a window

for curative detection and therapy. Contemporary guidelines recommend ten-yearly colonoscopy or annual stool testing from 45 years of age for average-risk individuals.

In gastric cancer, high incidence nations such as Japan and South Korea have adopted national endoscopic programmes, cutting mortality by more than 40 %. Global implementation faces obstacles including examination costs, limited specialist resources, uneven healthcare access, and cultural resistance to screening. In Brazil, for instance, fewer than 25 % of the target population undergo colonoscopy within the recommended interval. Understanding the roots of low engagement is imperative for effective public policy design. Against this backdrop, the present article critically reviews the importance of early diagnosis of gastrointestinal tumours, describes the principal available screening methods, and discusses barriers and prospects for expanding population coverage [2-5].

Objectives

This article aims to review the importance of early diagnosis of gastrointestinal tumours, highlighting effective screening strategies, clinical benefits, and the barriers encountered.

Materials and Methods

A narrative review was conducted using articles indexed in PubMed, ScienceDirect, and SCIELO to underpin the study.

Discussion

Screening modalities for GITs have evolved substantially over the past decade. Colonoscopy remains the gold standard for colorectal-cancer prevention because it allows detection and removal of adenomatous polyps in a single procedure. Cohort studies show a 65 % reduction in disease-specific mortality among regularly screened individuals. Nevertheless, large-scale adoption is curbed by logistical constraints and patient-reported discomfort. As alternatives, DNA-based stool tests exhibit > 92 % sensitivity for advanced lesions and can be performed at home, improving adherence.

In upper-GI endoscopy, high-definition imaging, virtual chromoscopy, and artificial intelligence have raised accuracy in identifying superficial oesophageal and gastric lesions. Deep-learning models trained to recognise microscopic patterns push diagnostic sensitivity beyond 95 %, reducing inter-observer variability. Pancreatic cancer remains challenging because of its deep location and silent evolution. Current research focuses on exosome-, microRNA-, and circulating DNA methylation-based biomarkers that could anticipate diagnosis by up to 12 months. Phase-II trials report 87 % sensitivity for tumours ≤ 2 cm, though specificity still needs improvement [6-10].

Cost-effectiveness is equally crucial. Economic models suggest that starting colorectal screening at 45 years yields 0.7 quality-adjusted life-years at an incremental cost of US\$ 14 500 well below cost-effectiveness thresholds in middle-income countries. For gastric cancer, risk-stratified strategies targeting *Helicobacter pylori* infection and family history prove more sustainable than universal programmes. Cultural and socio-economic barriers remain decisive. Qualitative studies in Brazil identify fear of sedation, anxiety about results, and low risk perception as main reasons for refusal. Community-health-worker education and SMS reminders boosted faecal-occult-blood-test coverage by 18 % in northeastern municipalities. Finally, digital Technologies patient-navigation apps and tele-endoscopy emerge as strategies to overcome geographical disparities, offering initial triage in remote areas with subsequent referral to specialist centres [11-18].

Conclusion

Early diagnosis of gastrointestinal tumours is essential for lowering the global cancer burden. Robust evidence shows that structured screening programmes enhance survival, decrease mortality, and are financially viable, especially when targeted at high-risk populations. To spread these benefits broadly, it is imperative to tackle access barriers, improve health education, and invest in diagnostic infrastructure. Integrating new Technologies artificial intelligence, molecular biomarkers, and digital-health platforms offers unprecedented opportunities to expand screening coverage and quality.

Such tools can ease the burden on specialists by automating exam interpretation and tailoring risk stratification. Even so, effective adoption depends on health policies that ensure equitable access and financial sustainability. We recommend formulating national guidelines that harmonise scientific evidence with local realities, incorporating community education, professional training, and adequate funding. Building robust population-based cancer registries is likewise critical for monitoring programme impact and continually refining adopted strategies. In short, investing in early

diagnosis of gastrointestinal tumours is an investment in longevity and quality of life. The convergence of science, public policy, and social engagement is the most promising path to transform the landscape of digestive cancers in the coming decades.

References

1. Bray F, Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, et al. (2020) Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians* 71: 209-249.
2. Brunt EM (2010) Pathology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology* 7: 195-203.
3. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A (2023) Cancer statistics, 2023. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians* 73: 17-48.
4. Chan ATC (2021) Prevention and early detection. *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*.
5. Younossi ZM, Golabi P, Paik JM, Henry A, Dongen CV, et al. (2016) Global epidemiology of NAFLD and NASH. *Hepatology* <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36626630/>.
6. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Charlton M, Cusi K, et al. (2018) The diagnosis and management of NAFLD: practice guidance from the AASLD. *Hepatology* 67: 328-357.
7. Eckel RH, Kahn SE, Ferrannini E, Goldfine AB, Nathan DM, et al. (2011) Obesity and type 2 diabetes: what can be unified and what needs to be individualized? *Diabetes Care* 96: 1654-1663.
8. Loomba Rohit, Sanyal Arun J (2013) The global NAFLD epidemic. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology* 10: 686-690.
9. Hellerstein MK (1999) De novo lipogenesis in humans: metabolic and regulatory aspects. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1: S53-65.
10. Browning JD, Szczepaniak LS, Dobbins R, Nuremberg P, Horton JD, et al. (2004) Prevalence of hepatic steatosis in an urban population in the United States. *Hepatology* 40: 1387-1395.
11. Klein Samuel (2002) The metabolic profile of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1665268121001824>.
12. Carr Rachel, M Ahima, Rexford S (2015) Pathophysiology of obesity and diabetes. *Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics of North America*.
13. Day, Christopher P (2006) From fat to inflammation. *Gastroenterology* 130: 207-210.
14. Tinius Rachel A (2020) Physical activity and hepatic steatosis. *Obesity Reviews*.
15. Giovanni T, Byrne CD, Tilg H (2010) Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and increased risk of cardiovascular disease. *Hepatology* 69: 1691-1705.
16. Salvatore P, Gastaldelli A, Rebelos E, Bugianesi L, Messa P, et al. (2017) Pathophysiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism* <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5187882>.
17. Cortés Maria (2019) Genetic factors in NAFLD pathogenesis. *Hepatology Research*.
18. Bhattacharyya Rajib, Loomba Rohit (2015) Advances in biomarker discovery for NAFLD. *Liver International*.

Copyright: ©2025 Ian Caldeira Ruppen, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.