Journal of Surgery & Anesthesia Research

Research Article



Hand Hygiene Compliance among Health Professionals at Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre-Tanzania, A Hospital based Study

Alex P Alexander^{1,2,3*}, Witness John³ and Gloria Sakwari³

¹Department of Health, Social Welfare and Nutrition Services Same District Council, P.O BOX 138, Kilimanjaro, Tanzania

²Public Health and Health Systems (PHDPHS) KCMC University, P.O BOX 2240 Moshi, Kilimanjaro, Tanzania

³Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, P.O BOX 65001, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

ABSTRACT

Background: Contaminated hands of Health Professionals have a big role in the transmission of Hospital Acquired infections (HAIs). Identifying existing gap of hand hygiene compliance among health professionals is a key first step in developing a successful Infection Prevention and Control Program (IPC). In 2005, WHO launched the global patient safety challenge: "*clean care is safer care campaign*" and later on in 2009, introduced a cost-effective measure "*my 5 moments for hand hygiene*" to improve hand hygiene compliance among health professionals and decrease HAIs. However, compliance to hand hygiene remains low globally and worse in developing countries.

Study Objective: The study aimed to determine hand hygiene compliance and its associated factors among Health Professionals at a tertiary referral Hospital Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre (KCMC).

Materials and Methods: Hospital based, descriptive cross-sectional study with quantitative approach conducted among health professionals at KCMC. Data was collected using self-administered questionnaires to assess hand hygiene compliance to standard WHO guidelines direct observation was done by the researcher using WHO checklist to determine availability of functional hand hygiene facilities. Epi info version was used to enter data, then was exported to SPSS version 21 for analysis. Frequencies distribution, compliance proportion, Chi - square test; Multivariable analysis; OR, AOR, CI were conducted for analysis and presentation of the findings.

Results: All 427 participants consented for the study. Overall compliance of the hand hygiene was 193(45.2%). Almost all participants used running water 417(97.7%) and soap/Alcohol based hand rub for hand hygiene, 292 (68.4%) spent the time recommended for the hand hygiene as per WHO guideline and 426 (99.8%) uses available poster of hand hygiene as a reminder during hand washing while only 193 (45.2%) washed their hands before and after wearing gloves. There is significant association between poor hand hygiene compliance and misconception that wearing gloves removes the needs for hand by OR of 1.24 and AOR 1.7. Availability of 100% of functional hand hygiene facilities/infrastructures was directly observed by a researcher in all working wards/ departments.

Conclusion and Recommendations: Despite effort put globally and National wise (Mikono salama campaign in Tanzania) the compliance of the hand hygiene among the health professionals is still low. A misconception that wearing gloves removes the needs for hand hygiene should be addressed through training and supervision so as to increase the hand hygiene practices. The Ministry of Health (MOH), PO-RALG and Hospital Management Team (HMT) needs to re-enforce Policy, Hospital guidelines and SOPs on hand hygiene. Similar study is recommended to be conducted in the Primary Healthcare (PHC) settings to address the compliance to standard hand hygiene techniques among health care professionals during before and after any medical procedure.

*Corresponding author

Alex P Alexander, Department of Health, Social Welfare and Nutrition Services Same District Council, P.O BOX 138, Kilimanjaro, Tanzania.

Received: April 13, 2025; Accepted: April 17, 2025; Published: April 28, 2025

KAP: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice			
and			

SPSS: Statistical Package for Social ScienceSRS: Simple random samplingSSA: Sub Saharan AfricaWHO: World Health organization

Introduction

Hand hygiene is the procedure of washing hands with soap and running water or alcohol-based hand rub based on given set of standard. In hospital setting Hand Hygiene should be performed when arrival at work, time of leaving from work, from patient to another patient contact, after removing gloves, after urination or defecation, before start or after finishing any patient procedure, after exposure to body fluid and after handling contaminated instruments [1].

Globally hand hygiene is considered as an important cost-effective measure towards prevention and control of Hospital acquired infection in healthcare settings. Scientifically it has been proven that when health professional have high compliance of hand hygiene, Hospital acquired infection will be reduced by 50% [1].

The burden Healthcare associated infections worldwide appear to be highly important and significant underreported. A very limited number of studies from Low Middle Income Countries (LMICs) have been conducted assessing Hospital Acquired Infections (HAIs) risk factors. The overall hand hygiene compliance of 31%, ranging 18% - 82% among ward attendants and medical students respectively was reported in a study doe n in Nigeria Onyedibe et al, Based on WHO My 5 moments of hand hygiene, 21% comply before touching patient, 23% before aseptic procedure, 63% after body fluid exposure, 40% after contact with patient surrounding and 41% after patient contact [2,3].

A narrative study was conducted 20 Sub-Saharan countries (peerreviewed studies, conducted among HCWs, published in the English language between 2005 and 2017) where out of 278 articles identified, 27 articles was analyzed in full length and overall hand hygiene compliance rate among HCWs was estimated to be 21.1%, and concluded that there is a need for more reports of hand hygiene compliance in SSA due to overgrowth of surgical site infections which is the most common form of HAIs [2,3].



Figure 1: Based on the 'My 5 moments for Hand Hygiene' $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ WHO 2009

In Tanzania criterion-based audit was conducted during caesarean section to assess the compliance of hand hygiene among Health Care Workers (HCWs) and finding was out of 559 hand hygiene opportunities available, 30.4% was overall compliance and concluded that low hand hygiene compliance observed at the various healthcare facility it was contributed by low understanding regarding standard hand hygiene and lack of hand hygiene materials and infrastructure in most of health facility units [4]. Hence this study aimed at determining compliance of hand hygiene among Health Professionals at a Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre (KCMC).

Methodology

Study Design

Institution based, descriptive, cross-sectional study with quantitative approach.

Study Area

The study was conducted at KCMC, which is tertiary university teaching Hospital, located in Northern Tanzania at Kilimanjaro Region serving more than 15million people with 1200 Health Professionals. The Hospital has a bed capacity of 450 serving more than 15 million people from Kilimanjaro, Arusha, Singida, Manyara and Tanga regions of Tanzania and neighbours countries such as Kenya.

Study Population

The target subjects were all health professionals that work in direct contact with the patients.

Inclusion Criteria

Health Professionals working in direct contact with patients who were found in a KCMC compound during a period of study November 2022.

Exclusion Criteria

Health professional who were serious ill during study were excluded.

Sample Size Estimation

Estimation of sample size considered; Finite population that reflects all health professionals in KCMC (N). A 95% level of confidence (Z). A 30.4% hand hygiene Compliance/Prevalence (p) were used [5]. A 5% level of precision (d) were used in the sample size calculation to minimize sampling error and a design effect [for cluster surveys-DEFF] of 1.5.

Sample Size [n] Estimation formula = $[DEFF*Np (1-p)]/ [(d^2/Z^2_{1-q/2})*(N-1)+p*(1-p)]$

$$n = 1.5 \times \frac{1200 \times 30.4(100 - 30.4)}{((5^2 \div 1.96^2) \times (1200 - 1)) + (30.4(100 - 30.4))} \quad n = 384$$

Taking into consideration the possibility of none response, the calculated sample size was assumed as 90% hence; $\frac{384}{20} = 426.67$

Therefore, the final working sample size was 427

Sampling Procedure

A stratified random sampling procedure was used to choose eligible participants. Health Professionals were selected according to size of each stratum (sampling proportionate to size), In that order HCWs were stratified into 4 strata such as; Specialist (Surgeons, Obstetricians, Paediatricians, Physicians and Others), Nurses/ Midwifes (Nurse Officer, Assistant Nurse Officer, Enrolled Nurse, Medical Attendants and others), Clinician (Medical Doctor, Assistant Medical Doctor, Clinical Officer, Assistant Clinical

Officer etc) and Other Cadres (Radiographer, physiotherapist, laboratory scientist and technician). Finally proportional number of participants was selected by simple random sampling technique using lottery method from the list of each stratum.

Table	1 · Strat	ified Ra	ndom S	amnling	for He	alth P	rofessional
Table	1. Strat	meu Kai	iuom S	ampning	IOI IIC	anni	roressionar

Health Professionals	Strata (no of staffs per professional)	sampling proportionate to size (by lottery method)
Specialist	108	38
Nurses/Midwifes	712	252
Clinician	310	111
Other Cadres	70	26
TOTAL	1200 (Health professionals)	427 (Final sample size)

Data Collection Tool and Technique: Distributed questionnaire after being filled by respective health professional was returned back to a researcher within the same day or early next day before starting morning report at specific department. The availability of functional HH facilities (running water, soap, sanitizer, tissue etc.) was assessed by using the checklist.

Data Processing and Analysis: Epi info version was used to enter Data, which then was exported, to SPSS version 21 for analysis. A variable with a p-value <0.05 at 95% confidence level was considered statistically significant.

Table 2: Specific Objective Analysis and Presentation

OBJECTIVE	ANALYSIS AND PRESENTATION
The level of HH compliance among Health Professionals	Frequencies distribution, compliance proportion.
Factors associated with HH compliance among Health Professionals	Chi - square test; Cross tabulation, Multivariable analysis; OR, AOR, CI

Results

Social Demographic Data

In this study all 427 participants consented and were enrolled. Majority of the enrolled participants were male 220 (51.5%) and the age of majority of participants were between 40-50 years 186 (43.6%). Among the participants, 253 (59.3%) were nurses and 105 (24.6%) were clinicians and 40 (9.4%) were specialist.

Compliance to Hand Hygiene among the Health Professionals in KCMC

Overall compliance of the hand hygiene in KCMC is 193(45.2%) as calculated from the criteria for the compliance. Of participant, 425(99.5) reported to comply with regular hand hygiene however during assessments only 193 (45.2%) reported to wash their hands before and after wearing gloves, 417(97.7%) used running water and soap or Alcohol based hand rub for hand hygiene, 292 (68.4%) spent the time recommended for the hand hygiene as per WHO guideline and 426 (68.8%) uses available poster of hand hygiene as a reminder during hand washing procedure, Table 3.

Table 3: Criteria for Hand Hygiene Compliances Score at KCMC

Criteria	Compliance			
	YES n(%)	NO n(%)		
Criteria 1: Comply with regular hand hygiene practice according to WHO/CDC recommendations.	420(98.4%)	7(1.6%)		
Criteria 2: Comply with WHO my 05 moments for hand hygiene in all 5 situations.	416(97.4%)	11(2.6%)		
Criteria 3: hand hygiene technique used frequently	417(97.7%)	10(2.3%)		
Criteria 4: Minimal time a person spent during routine hand hygiene procedure in order to kill most of harmful germs in his/her hands.	292(68.4%)	135(31.6%)		
Criteria 5: Washing hands before and after wearing gloves	193(45.2%)	234(54.8%)		
Criteria 6: Regular use of displayed poster as a reminder during hand washing procedure.	426(99.8%)	1(0.2)		
Compliance	193(45.2%)	234(54.8%)		

Alcohol hand rub is most used technique for the had hygiene practices in KCMC followed with the running water with soap, 54.1% and 43.6% respectively and 2.3% of them use plain running water without soap, Figure 1.



Figure 1: Hand Washing Techniques used Frequently by Health Professionals at KCMC

Among the 5 moments of WHO hand hygiene, this study has found that, about 416 (97.4%) of the participants complied with it. Most of the participants 99.8%, reported that the body fluid exposure as the most situation when they complies with the hand washing practices, also most of health care professionals reported to wash their hand immediately before a clean/ascetic procedure by 99.5% and 1.6% of them were not washing their hands before touching a patient, Figure 2.



Figure 2: WHO my 05 Moments for Hand Hygiene at KCMC

According to the department, Health care professionals working at urology and Orthopaedic department complied by 84.0% and 90.9%, while HCWs at Surgical, Laboratory, Obstetric, Paediatric, EMD and ENT department reported to comply by 100% with WHO my 5 moments of Hand Hygiene. The distributions are summarized in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Hand Washing Compliances Distribution According to Departments

According to professional, 100% of other cadres such as Radiographer, physiotherapist, laboratory scientist reported to comply with regular hand hygiene compared to 96.8% of Nurses/ Midwifery. The distributions are summarized in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Hand Hygiene Compliances Distribution According to Professionals

Factors Associated with Hand Hygiene Compliance among HCWs at KCMC

The reasons for the poor compliances with hand hygiene practices reported at KCMC include being busy 409(95.8%), Overcrowding of patient and understaffing 398 (93.2%), not being aware of

standard hand washing procedure 41 (9.6%).

However, some of the participants have reported that mistrusting that wearing gloves removes the need of hand hygiene reduced their need for the hand washing 116 (27.2%) and Lack of constant water supply and soap/sanitizer as contributory factor for poor hand hygiene practices 20 (4.7), Table 3.

Table 4: Reasons for the Poor Compliances to the HandWashing Practices

Variable	Frequency	Percent				
Often too busy						
No	18	4.2				
Yes	409	95.8				
Overcrowding of patient/understaffing						
No	29	6.8				
Yes	398	93.2				
Trusting that wearing	gloves removes the need	l of hand hygiene				
No	311	72.8				
Yes	116	27.2				
Low awareness level regarding standard hand hygiene procedure						
No	386	90.4				
Yes	41	9.6				
Long distance from we	ork area to hand washin	g site				
No	401	93.9				
Yes	26	6.1				
No sanitizer around ward round troy or at clinic						
No	408	95.6				
Yes	19	4.4				
Lack of constant water supply and soap/sanitizer						
No	407	95.3				
Yes	20	4.7				
Hand hygiene poster not displayed at my sink for hand washing procedure						
No	400	93.7				
Yes	27	6.3				
Lack of hand drying materials						
No	395	92.5				
Yes	32	7.5				

The independent variables which were significantly associated with the compliance to the hand hygiene were subjected to multiple logistic regressions. Finding was those that did not trust that wearing gloves removes the need of hand hygiene were more likely to comply with 6 criteria for hand hygiene, Table 4.

Table 5: Factors associated with the compliances to the hand hygiene in KCMC								
Factors	Compliances		OR	95% C.I.		AOR (a&p)	95% C.I.	
	Poor compliances (n=234)	Good compliances (n=193)		Lower limit	Upper limit		Lower limit	Upper limit
Attitudes statu	S							
Good attitude	207(88.5%)	173(89.6%)	1.13	0.61	2.08	1.57	0.78	3.16
Poor attitude	27(11.5%)	20(10.4%)	Ref					
Knowledge sta	itus							
Good knowledge	198(84.6%)	157(81.3%)	1.26	0.76	2.09	1.06	0.60	1.88
Poor knowledge	36(15.4%)	36(18.7%)	Ref					
Duration of work (Years)								
<5	47(20.1%)	36(18.7%)	0.63	0.24	1.67	0.44	0.10	1.84
5-10	75(32.1%)	74(38.3%)	0.81	0.32	2.06	0.64	0.19	2.13
11-15	103(44.0%)	72(37.3%)	0.57	0.23	1.45	0.47	0.15	1.44
>15	9(3.8%)	11(5.7%)	Ref					
Training On hand hygiene								
YES	231(98.7%)	190(98.4%)	-			-	-	-
NO	3(1.3%)	3(1.6%)	-			-	-	-
Trusting that wearing gloves removes the need of hand hygiene								
NO	166(70.9%)	145(75.1%)	1.24	0.80	1.91	1.70	1.04	2.78
YES	68(29.1)	48(24.9%)	Ref					

Availability of 100% of functional Hand hygiene facilities/ infrastructures was observed at KCMC by a researcher after passing through all working wards/departments and assessing the availability and its functionality using checklist.

Discussion

This study aimed to determine the compliance of hand hygiene among Health Professionals at a Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre. The response rate was 427(100%) as the recruitment happened at the centre which made availability of the participant optimal.

Compliance of the Health Care Workers on the Hand Hygiene The Overall compliance on the HH practices according to WHO criteria was found to be 45.2%. The proportion was lower as the misconception on use of gloves removes the needs to hand hygiene had been reported by many of the participants. A previous study done in health care facilities enrolled in a WASH project in Dodoma Region reported a slight higher proportion (51%). The differences is that the latter had a HH training component in the project apart from WASH improvent. The proportion of compliance is low but a bit higher than 30.4% of previously reported in sub-Saharan Africa (Tanzania) [5].

The current study has slightly higher compliance compared to that reported in Jimma University Hospital in Ethiopia which was an observational study and reported a compliance ranging from 34.3% to 42.2% compliances [6,7]. The proportion of compliance is in the current study higher than that reported from primary hospitals in Ethiopia (20%) in 2022 Alene et al. The difference between current study and that reported by Alene and colleagues could be due to hospital setting and level of the hospital whereas the one reported in the current study is a tertiary hospital with diverse specialities that offers on-job training whether formally or informal.

Another possible explanation for the slight higher hand hygiene compliance and hand washing practices in our settings may be explained by effect of COVID-19 pandemic. Most of HCWs were trained and reminded several times through informal and formal training to adhere with regular hand hygiene practice as the most affordable and effective way of eliminating transmission of COVID-19 Viruses. Compared to what was reported by Karmax et al and in other setting in developing countries [8-10].

In this study only 68.4% of the participants reported to use 30-60 seconds during the hand washing procedure which is minimal recommended time by the WHO, this finding is alarming as 32.2% of HCWs are not complying with recommended minimal time for HH by WHO and 54.2% of health care professionals doesn't wash their hand before and after touching a patient hence increases a chance of Nosocomial infection transmission between staff and patients, this finding are concurrent with study done by Allegranzi et al. and Bello et al [11,12].

This study has also been congruent with the WHO guidelines as the majority of the participants reported to use the clean water and soap as well as alcohol hand rub. This practice is supported by the WHO as both techniques are reported to be the most effective in microbial and in preventing the Hospital acquired Infections [10]. However, in this study most of the participants reported to prefer the alcohol-based hand rub than the use of the clean water and soap. This finding is supported by the observations of the availabilities of the required materials in different departments and working areas including the alcohol hand rubs. The use of the alcohol-based hand rubs may also account for the slight higher hand hygiene compliances in this study.

Factors Affecting Compliances to Hand Washing Practices

With regards to the sociodemographic data, this study has found that there is no association between the different sociodemographic characteristics with the hand washing compliances with the exceptional of the age of the health care workers. These findings are in consistent with other studies from the developing countries. Age seem to increase exposure and the experiences of the health care workers for hand hygiene practice in the health facilities [8,13,14].

Despite of having good knowledge and attending formal training with regards to hand hygiene, there is significant association between poor hand hygiene compliance and Misconception that wearing gloves removes the needs for hand hygiene, most of health professional who were not complying with regular hand hygiene reported not to wash their hands before and after wearing gloves, this alarm the needs of assessments of that training if it well addresses this misconception among most of HCWS.

Also, in this study, the posters to remind health care workers on the protocols of hand washing was observed in all the working areas in the Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre. On addition, the formal trainings, was crucial for the reminding the health care workers on the hand hygiene in their working areas. This finding is similar to the other studies which have reported that, the hand hygiene compliances were more observed among the trained health care workers than among non-health care workers [13,15].

This study has also reported that there is no significant association between the hand hygiene attitude and the compliance to the hand hygiene practices. These findings may be due to the capacitation of different working areas with the hand hygiene facilities. Also, most of the participants in this study had good knowledge on the hand hygiene in the hospital, as well as the reminding posters as observed in this study. This is contrast to the other studies performed in developing countries like Mali, where by poor infrastructures were reported to be a main factor contributing to inadequate hand washing hygiene among the healthcare workers [13,17].

Conclusions and Recommendations Conclusion

Despite effort put globally and National wise (Mikono salama compaign in Tanzania) the compliance of the hand hygiene among the health professionals is still poor. Less than a half of participant wash their hands before and after wearing gloves with strong association between poor hand hygiene compliance and misconception that wearing gloves removes the needs for hand hygiene. Also not complying with standard minimal time recommended by WHO in order to kill most of harmful germs in hands, remains a challenge among health care workers [18-39].

Recommendations

The Ministry of Health (MoH), PO-RALG and Hospital Management Team (HMT) needs to re-enforce Policy, Hospital guidelines and SOPs on hand hygiene, also should ensure training to health professionals to remove the misconception that wearing gloves removes the needs of hand hygiene and address the issue of standard minimal time required for effective hand hygiene procedure.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate.

Ethical Consideration

Ethical approval was obtained from IRB of Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences (Ref. No.DA 282/298/01.C/1362). The permission to conduct data collections was obtained from RAS-

Kilimanjaro and KCMC administration office.

Consent from each participant was obtained after explaining and participant understand the purpose of study at Morning meeting of each department and required to sign permission form enclosed with each questionnaire. Filled questionnaire and consent form were handled in highly confidentiality.

Consent to Publication

"Given to journal of surgery & anesthesia research"

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

The study had no external funding. All operational costs were met by authors

Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge District Executive Director (Ms. Anastazia Tutuba and Mr. Jimson Mhagama), Kilimanjaro-RMO Dr Jairy Ndax Khanga and the entire Council Health Management Team (CHMT of Same District); who participated fully in the preparation of this manuscript.

Authors' Contributions

AA: Study concept and design, Drafting of the manuscript, Statistical analysis and interpretation of data.

GS & WJ: Study Supervision and secondary Statistical analysis.

All authors have read and approved the final version of this manuscript, including the authorship.

References

- 1. Pittet D, Hugonnet S, Harbarth S, Mourouga P, Sauvan V, et al. (2000) Effectiveness of a hospital-wide programme to improve compliance with hand hygiene. Lancet 356: 1307-1312.
- 2. Onyedibe KI, Shehu NY, Pires D, Isa SE, Okolo MO, et al. (2020) Assessment of hand hygiene facilities and staff compliance in a large tertiary health care facility in northern Nigeria: A cross sectional study. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control 9: 1-9.
- 3. Clancy C, Delungahawatta T, Dunne CP (2021) Hand-hygienerelated clinical trials reported between 2014 and 2020: a comprehensive systematic review. Journal of Hospital Infection 111: 6-26.
- 4. Enna Sengoka, Laeerke Rasmussen, Marycelina Msuya, Godfrey Kisigo, Bjarke Lund Sørensen J, et al. (2019) Criterion-Based Audit of Hand Hygiene Performance During. East African Health Research Journal 3: 134-140.
- Omiye JA, Afolaranmi OJ (2019) Hand Hygiene Practice Among Health Care Workers in a Tertiary Hospital in Sub-Saharan Africa (Handy Study). West Afr J Med 36: 116-121.
- 6. Elaziz K, Bakr I (2008) Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice of hand washing among health care workers. Egyptian Journal of Community Medicine 26: 19-25.
- Stotie Alemu B (2015) Knowledge and Practices of Hand Washing and Glove Utiliz ation Among the Health Care Providers of Shenen Gibe Hospital, South West Ethiopia. Science Journal of Public Health 3: 391.

- 8. Kiprotich K, Wang H, Kaminga AC, Kessi M (2021) Observed and self-reported hand hygiene compliance and associated factors among healthcare workers at a county referral hospital in Kenya. Scientific African 14: e00984.
- 9. Pfäfflin F, Tufa TB, Getachew M, Nigussie T, Schönfeld A, et al. (2017) Implementation of the WHO multimodal Hand Hygiene Improvement Strategy in a University Hospital in Central Ethiopia. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control 6: 1-10.
- Shobowale E, Adegunle B, Onyedibe K (2016) An assessment of hand hygiene practices of healthcare workers of a semi-urban teaching hospital using the five moments of hand hygiene. Nigerian Medical Journal 57: 150.
- 11. Allegranzi B, Gayet-Ageron A, Damani N, Bengaly L, McLaws ML, et al. (2013) Global implementation of WHO's multimodal strategy for improvement of hand hygiene: A quasi-experimental study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 13: 843-851.
- 12. Bello S, Effa EE, Okokon EE, Oduwole OA (2013) Handwashing practice among healthcare providers in a teaching hospital in Southern Nigeria. International Journal of Infection Control 9: 1-7.
- Bedoya G, Dolinger A, Rogo K, Mwaura N, Wafula F, et al. (2017) Observations of infection prevention and control practices in primary health care, Kenya. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 95: 503-516.
- Smith JD, Corace KM, Mac Donald TK, Fabrigar LR, Saedi A, et al. (2019) Application of the Theoretical Domains Framework to identify factors that influence hand hygiene compliance in long-term care. Journal of Hospital Infection 101: 393-398.
- 15. Teker B, Ogutlu A, Gozdas HT, Ruayercan S, Hacialioglu G, et al. (2015) Factors affecting hand hygiene adherence at a private hospital in Turkey. Eurasian Journal of Medicine 47: 208-212.
- Neme A (2020) Nurses' Hand Hygiene Knowledge, Attitude and Practice in Jimma University Medical Center, Jimma Town, Oromia Regional State, South West Ethiopia. Community Medicine and Public Health Care 7: 1-9.
- 17. Ataiyero Y, Dyson J, Graham M (2019) Barriers to hand hygiene practices among health care workers in sub-Saharan African countries: A narrative review. American Journal of Infection Control 47: 565-573.
- WHO Guidelines (2013) Transforming and scalling up health professionals Education and Training: Geneva. Annex 1, definition and list of health professionals https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK298950/.
- 19. Delaune SC, Ladner PK (2011) Fundamentals of nursing: standards & practice (4th ed.). Delmar Cengage Learning https:// search.worldcat.org/title/Fundamentals-of-nursing-:-standardsand-practice/oclc/477272825.
- Abubakar U (2020) Point-prevalence survey of hospital acquired infections in three acute care hospitals in Northern Nigeria. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control 9: 1-7.
- 21. Anne N (2017) Hand hygiene: knowledge and practice by health care workers in a tertiary health care facility in south east nigeria 26.
- 22. Bekele T, Ashenaf T, Ermias A, Sadore AA (2020) Compliance with standard safety precautions and associated factors among health care workers in Hawassa University comprehensive, specialized hospital 2: 1-11.
- 23. Burton M, Cobb E, Donachie P, Judah G, Curtis V (2011) The Effect of Handwashing with Water or Soap on Bacterial Contamination of Hands Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 8: 97-104.
- 24. Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Ehiri JE, Arikpo D, Meremikwu MM, Critchley JA (2021) Hand-washing promotion for preventing diarrhoea. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 12.

- 25. Clancy C, Delungahawatta T, Dunne CP (2021) Hand-hygienerelated clinical trials reported between 2014 and 2020: a comprehensive systematic review. Journal of Hospital Infection 111: 6-26.
- 26. Gloria Umuhoza A, Bosco Kamugisha J, Nashwan AJ, Tahuna Soko G (2023) Assessment of knowledge and practices of hand hygiene among health workers in Rwanda. International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences 19.
- 27. Lopez AD, Mathers CD, Ezzati M, Jamison DT, Murray CJL (2006) Global burden of disease and risk factors 10.
- 28. Mehta A, Tripathi K (2019) Knowledge, attitude and practices of hand hygiene among nurses and nursing students in a tertiary health care center of Central India : a questionnaire based study Knowledge Int J Community Med Public Health 6: 5154-5160.
- 29. Mtweve DM, Sangeda RZ (2022) Assessment of handwashing knowledge, attitude and practices among healthcare workers at Muhimbili National Hospital, Tanzania 16: 238-246.
- WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care: First Global Patient Safety Challenge Clean Care Is Safer Care. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Paudel IS, Ghosh V, Adhikari P (2016) Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of nursing students on Hospital Acquired Infections in Western region of Nepal. Journal of College of Medical Sciences-Nepal 12: 103-107.
- 32. Posfay-barbe KM, Pfister RE, Touveneau S, Pittet D (2005) Attitudes and Perceptions Toward Hand Hygiene Among Healthcare Workers attitudes and perceptions toward h and DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/502544.
- 33. Sharma R, Sharma M, Koushal V (2012) Hand washing compliance among Healthcare staff in Intensive Care Unit (ICU) of a Multispecialty Hospital of North India. Journal of Hospital Administration 1: 27.
- 34. Silago V, Manzi MJ, Mtemisika CI, Damiano P, Mirambo MM, et al. (2022) Knowledge, Attitude and Practices of Hand Hygiene among Students and Nurses Staff in Mwanza Tanzania: A Cross-Sectional Hospital-Based Study during Global COVID-19 Pandemic. East Africa Science 4: 11-20.
- Skinner CS, Tiro J, Champion VL (2015) Skinner CS The Health Belief Model. The Health Belief Model https://www. researchgate.net/publication/332446988_Skinner_CS_The_ Health_Belief_Model.
- Yawson AE, Hesse AAJ (2013) Hand hygiene practices and resources in a teaching hospital in Ghana. Journal of Infection in Developing Countries 7: 338-347.
- Dean AG, Sullivan KM, Soe MM (2013) OpenEpi: Open Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health, Version https:// www.scienceopen.com/book?vid=61cdd360-9883-4330-8c18-3f0341b0f715.
- Ahmadipour M, Dehghan M, Ahmadinejad M, Jabarpour M, Mangolian Shahrbabaki P, et al. (2022) Barriers to hand hygiene compliance in intensive care units during the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative study. Front Public Health 10: 968231.
- Engdaw GT, Gebrehiwot M, Andualem Z (2019) Hand hygiene compliance and associated factors among health care providers in Central Gondar zone public primary hospitals, Northwest Ethiopia. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 8: 1-7.

Copyright: ©2025 Alex P Alexander, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.