## Journal of Oncology Research Reviews & Reports



### **Review Article**

Open ට Access

# From War to Compassion-A New Paradigm in Understanding Cancer

Nader Butto

Rabin Medical Center, Israel

### \*Corresponding author

Nader Butto, Rabin Medical Center, Israel.

Received: April 22, 2025; Accepted: April 29, 2025; Published: May 07, 2025

### Introduction

For decades, the prevailing narrative around cancer has been framed as a war a relentless battle between medicine and malignant cells. We speak of "fighting cancer," "killing tumors," and "defeating the disease," projecting onto it an identity of hostility and invasion. While this language has served to mobilize research and treatment efforts, it may have also blinded us to a more profound and compassionate understanding of the biological and energetic nature of cancer.

What if cancer were not an enemy, but a cry for help? What if, instead of a rogue, aggressive force, the cancer cell is a poor cell suffering from a lack of energy, oxygen, coherence, and vitality? A cell that, unable to maintain its structural and functional integrity, falls into a survival mode, clinging to primitive patterns of uncontrolled growth and fermentation [1,2].

At the core of this new paradigm lies a shift in perception: cancer is not evil it is energetically impoverished. The cancer cell is not to be destroyed, but understood; not to be silenced, but listened to. By acknowledging its metabolic distress, its low membrane potential, and its breakdown in communication with the surrounding tissue matrix, we open the door to a new approach: support, restore, and rebalance rather than attack [2,3].

This article proposes that cancer is fundamentally a bioenergetic crisis. Emerging evidence from mitochondrial research, metabolic therapies, and cell membrane biophysics suggests that cancer may originate not in the nucleus, but in the mitochondria and the loss of the cell's electrical vitality [3,4]. The hallmark behaviors of cancer fermentation, resistance to apoptosis, angiogenesis are not acts of aggression, but signs of energetic collapse [1,5].

By reframing cancer as a process of cellular poverty, we unlock new strategies of care approaches that focus on re-energizing the cell, restoring its potential, and reversing the conditions that led to its dysfunction. This vision calls for a healing model that is not combative, but compassionate, integrative, and aligned with the wisdom of the body.

It is time to rewrite the story of cancer. From enemy to messenger. From battlefield to healing ground. From killing the cell to helping it remember how to live.

### The Current State of Cancer Theories and the Limitations of the Biomedical Paradigm The Somatic Mutation Theory: A Brief Overview

SMT assumes that:

- Cancer originates from a single cell with multiple DNA mutations.
- These mutations affect genes regulating proliferation and differentiation.
- Cellular quiescence is disrupted, leading to malignant behavior.

While SMT has guided decades of cancer research, it struggles to account for several critical biological observations, such as tumor heterogeneity, metabolic dysfunction, and the failure to correlate mutation load with cancer incidence [6]. One of the most compelling contradictions to SMT is found in Peto's Paradox, reinforced by evolutionary and reproductive patterns across species and even human populations.

### The Peto Paradox and Evolutionary Bioenergetics

Peto's Paradox, first described by Richard Peto, observes that larger, long-lived animals (like whales and elephants) do not have higher cancer rates despite having vastly more cells and a longer time to accumulate mutations [7]. If mutations were the primary cause of cancer, larger animals would logically have more of it but they don't.

- Complementing this paradox is a reproductive pattern observed throughout evolutionary biology: • Organisms that are energetically stable, coherent, and long-lived (e.g., elephants, whales) tend to produce fewer offspring and invest more in cellular maintenance and cancer suppression [8,9].
- By contrast, organisms under stressful, energy-poor, and unstable conditions (e.g., rodents, or even humans in impoverished areas) tend to produce more offspring to ensure survival of the species, but have lower cancer-suppression adaptations [9,10].

This observation applies not only to animals, but also to human populations: In parts of the world where people face chronic poverty, food insecurity, and high mortality rates, fertility tends to be higher not as a sign of health, but as a biological response to existential instability [10]. In contrast, in wealthier, more stable societies, fertility rates drop, and longevity and individual maintenance (including cancer suppression) increase.

This pattern suggests that biological systems under energetic or ecological stress shift their focus from repair to reproduction, reducing investment in long-term cellular coherence. Cancer, in this view, is less a genetic accident and more a systemic energetic failure an echo of evolutionary survival mechanisms gone awry.

### **Emerging Alternative Theories**

In response to the limitations of the somatic mutation theory, several innovative frameworks have emerged, each offering a more systemic and integrative view of cancer. These models highlight the centrality of energy, cellular environment, and biological coherence, rather than focusing solely on genetic mutations.

- **Metabolic Theory of Cancer Cancer** as a mitochondrial disease This theory, first rooted in the observations of Otto Warburg and significantly advanced by Thomas Seyfried, posits that the primary cause of cancer is mitochondrial dysfunction, not nuclear gene mutations [2,4]. According to Warburg, cancer cells rely heavily on aerobic glycolysis (glucose fermentation) even in the presence of oxygen a phenomenon now called the Warburg effect. This metabolic shift reflects a failure in oxidative phosphorylation, leading to reduced ATP production and forcing cells into a primitive, survival-oriented energy state. Instead of being a result of mutations, genetic instability is seen as a consequence of metabolic collapse. Restoring mitochondrial function, therefore, may reverse cancer's progression.
- Epigenetic Theory of Cancer Gene expression without gene mutation This model focuses on heritable changes in gene expression that do not involve alterations to the DNA sequence itself [9]. Factors such as DNA methylation, histone modification, and non-coding RNA regulation can silence or activate cancer-related genes. These changes are often triggered by environmental factors (toxins, chronic stress, inflammation) and may be reversible. Epigenetic theory allows for a dynamic, responsive model of cancer one where cellular fate is influenced by external and internal conditions, rather than rigid genetic errors.
- Atavistic Theory of Cancer Cancer as a reversion to ancient cellular programming Proposed by Paul Davies and Charles Lineweaver, this theory views cancer as a reawakening of ancient genetic programs that predate multicellular life [10]. In conditions of stress or damage, cells may default to unicellular survival strategies, such as rapid proliferation, resistance to apoptosis, and loss of differentiation all hallmarks of cancer. According to this theory, cancer is not "broken biology" but deep evolutionary memory, emerging when cooperative multicellularity fails and the cell "remembers" how to survive alone.
- **Cancer as a Complex Adaptive System** A systemic breakdown of cellular harmony In this model, cancer is not a linear genetic disease but a self-organizing, adaptive system that evolves in response to environmental pressures [5]. Tumors are seen as emergent phenomena, shaped by feedback loops, metabolic flux, immune interactions, and spatial constraints. This framework draws from systems biology, chaos theory, and thermodynamics, framing cancer as a disruption of energy flow, communication, and coherence across multiple biological levels. The focus here shifts from targeting genes to restoring systemic balance, akin to treating cancer as a distorted ecological niche within the body.

**Evolutionary Energy Allocation Model** Cancer risk as a consequence of life-history strategy Inspired by evolutionary biology and the Peto Paradox, this model suggests that cancer risk is deeply tied to how organisms allocate their energy between reproduction and maintenance [11]. Larger, long-lived animals like elephants and whales have evolved enhanced cancer-suppression mechanisms because they invest in cellular maintenance [12]. Smaller, energetically stressed species and even humans in impoverished conditions tend to prioritize reproduction over repair, leading to lower somatic stability and higher cancer susceptibility [13,14]. Cancer in this view is an energetic tradeoff, not a random mutation it emerges from systemic deprivation and a collapse in the body's capacity for cellular maintenance.

### The Biology of a Poor Cell: Energy Collapse and Membrane Potential

In the traditional biomedical model, cancer cells are described as genetically mutated, autonomous units that proliferate without regulation. However, in this emerging paradigm, cancer is better understood as the expression of a cell in energetic crisis a poor, exhausted cell that has lost its connection to the physiological community due to a collapse in its energy system and bioelectrical integrity.

### The Power of Membrane Potential

All living cells maintain a resting membrane potential (VM) an electrical charge across their plasma membrane resulting from the differential distribution of ions (especially K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and Cl<sup>-</sup>). In healthy human cells, this potential typically ranges from 40 to 90 millivolts, depending on cell type and function [3].

This voltage is not a passive state it is a bioenergetic indicator of cellular health and coherence. A strong membrane potential:

- Regulates ion exchange and cell volume.
- Facilitates nutrient absorption and waste elimination.
- Supports intracellular communication and signaling.
- Maintains the polarity and identity of differentiated cells.

In contrast, cancer cells often exhibit dramatically depolarized membrane potentials, sometimes falling below 15 mV, which compromises their structure, behavior, and connection to the tissue architecture [3].

### Mitochondrial Collapse: The Source of Energetic Poverty

The primary generator of cellular energy the mitochondrion is often dysfunctional in cancer cells. Instead of relying on oxidative phosphorylation, which produces ~36 ATP molecules per glucose, cancer cells shift to aerobic glycolysis, yielding only ~2 ATP per glucose [2,4].

This drastic reduction in energy efficiency:

- Starves the cell of the energy needed to maintain membrane potential.
- Disrupts intracellular signaling.
- Promotes acidity and oxidative stress.
- Fosters an environment favorable to cellular chaos and genetic instability.

### Loss of Tissue Coherence and Communication

Healthy tissues function like symphonies: each cell follows a rhythm, responds to local cues, and synchronizes with others through electromagnetic, chemical, and mechanical signals. A cancer cell, however, loses its ability to "hear" and "speak" with its neighbors due to:

- Disruption of gap junctions.
- Breakdown of the extracellular matrix.

• Loss of electromagnetic synchronization (including biophotonic and electrical coherence).

### The Role of Cellular Polarity and Water

Recent studies suggest that cancer cells lose polarity, the directional structure that orients intracellular processes. This is tightly linked to the structure and charge of intracellular water, which in turn depends on membrane potential and mitochondrial activity. Structured (exclusion zone) water, as studied by Gerald Pollack and others, plays a critical role in:

- Charge separation.
- Intracellular organization.
- Proton flow and ATP generation [15].

### Cancer as a Reversal of Biological Evolution

In this state of bioenergetic poverty, the cell regresses not only metabolically and structurally, but also evolutionarily. The shift from differentiation and communication to chaotic self-propagation mimics the behavior of ancient unicellular organisms [10].

### When Energy Is Low, the DNA Unwinds: A Molecular Path to Cancer

In the conventional model of DNA replication, enzymes such as helicases use energy from ATP hydrolysis to unwind the DNA helix, initiating replication. However, when examining cancer as an energetic disorder rather than a purely genetic one, we must ask: what happens to the DNA structure in cells that are critically low in energy? Could energy depletion itself destabilize the double helix, leading to spontaneous or misregulated unwinding and replication?

To explore this, we must first understand that the DNA double helix is stabilized by a complex interplay of hydrogen bonds between base pairs, base stacking interactions, and the electrostatic environment surrounding the molecule. The negatively charged phosphate groups along the DNA backbone create internal repulsive forces that must be neutralized by positive ions, primarily Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup>, as well as by structured water layers. These ions and water structures are dynamically regulated by the cell's energy status, particularly by ATP-dependent membrane pumps such as the Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase. When energy is abundant, the electrostatic forces within and around DNA are balanced, and the double helix remains tightly bound and functionally stable [16-18].

However, under conditions of low ATP availability whether due to mitochondrial dysfunction, hypoxia, or metabolic collapse ionic gradients begin to fail. The loss of electrochemical stability reduces the shielding of negative charges on the DNA backbone, increasing the electrostatic tension between strands and weakening the hydrogen bonds. This effect is especially pronounced in adenine-thymine (A–T) rich regions, where only two hydrogen bonds are present per base pair, compared to the three in guaninecytosine (G–C) pairs. As a result, regions of the genome become prone to spontaneous unwinding, even in the absence of helicase activity [19,20].

This process is further exacerbated by the collapse of structured intracellular water. Research has shown that intracellular water exists in a semi-ordered state, known as exclusion zone (EZ) water, which forms around hydrophilic surfaces and biomolecules such as DNA. EZ water supports charge separation and contributes to the physical stabilization of macromolecular structures. When energy is depleted, this structured water collapses, leading to a breakdown in intracellular charge architecture and molecular cohesion [21]. In such a compromised state, the DNA helix may

open not as a result of regulated replication signals, but as a consequence of electrostatic and hydrodynamic failure a purely physical degradation of order.

This has profound implications in the context of cancer biology. Replication stress, defined as the stalling or misregulation of the DNA replication machinery, is one of the earliest features observed in precancerous cells. It is well documented that under low energy or hypoxic conditions, cells may initiate aberrant replication processes. ATP depletion has been shown to alter chromatin structure, weaken histone-DNA interactions, and facilitate the dissociation of nucleosomes, thus exposing DNA to replication and transcription machinery prematurely [22,23]. Furthermore, hypoxia, which limits mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and ATP production, promotes unregulated DNA synthesis in many tumor models, especially through the stabilization of HIF-1 and the activation of DNA repair and replication genes [24].

These findings suggest that a collapse in bioenergetic capacity not only affects mitochondrial metabolism and membrane potential, but also reaches into the nucleus, compromising the physical integrity of DNA and setting the stage for genomic instability. In this model, cancer initiation may begin not with mutations, but with the unraveling of the energetic and electrical conditions that maintain the DNA in a stable, non-replicative state.

Thus, cancer can be seen not merely as a disease of gene damage, but as a breakdown of the entire energetic infrastructure that supports genomic order. The poor cancer cell is not a rebellious agent, but an exhausted one one that has lost the electrical and structural means to preserve its identity.

### The Role of Carcinogenic Factors on Vital Energy and Chemical Structure

Cancer does not arise in a vacuum. It emerges in biological systems that have been exposed, over time, to disruptive influences that gradually erode their energetic integrity and molecular coherence. These influences collectively referred to as carcinogens can take many forms: chemical, physical, biological, emotional, and even electromagnetic. While traditional oncology focuses on how these agents cause genetic mutations, a deeper energetic model suggests that carcinogens first impair the organism's ability to maintain vital energy, membrane potential, and biochemical order. The result is not merely gene damage, but a cellular system that has lost the energetic conditions necessary to sustain coherence, regulation, and life.

Chemical carcinogens such as benzene, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), nitrosamines, and heavy metals interfere with redox balance, mitochondrial function, and electron transport, all of which are essential for maintaining ATP production and membrane polarization. Many of these substances accumulate in lipid membranes or bind covalently to DNA, proteins, and structural enzymes, impairing their function and generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) that further degrade bioenergetic pathways. ROS are highly reactive molecules that strip electrons from neighbouring structures, destabilize membranes, and alter the electrical potential across the mitochondrial and cellular membranes. The result is a progressive drop in energy efficiency, ion gradient collapse, and loss of cellular integrity long before mutations are detected at the genomic level [25-27].

Beyond chemical toxins, ionizing radiation (such as X-rays, gamma rays, and radioactive isotopes) produces direct DNA breaks and

Citation: Nader Butto (2025) From War to Compassion-A New Paradigm in Understanding Cancer. Journal of Oncology Research Reviews & Reports. SRC/JONRR-202. DOI: doi.org/10.47363/JONRR/2025(6)182

free radicals, but also severely impacts intracellular water structure and mitochondrial polarization. At the cellular level, radiation acts not only as a mutagen but as a depolarizing force disrupting ion balance, water structuring, and the subtle electromagnetic fields that underlie the organization of living matter. Non-ionizing radiation, such as from prolonged exposure to low-frequency electromagnetic fields (EMFs), has been shown to interfere with calcium signalling, voltage-gated channels, and mitochondrial ATP synthase activity. These effects are subtle and cumulative but can contribute over time to a lowering of cellular potential and a weakening of tissue biofields [28-30].

Infectious agents, such as oncogenic viruses (e.g., HPV, EBV, Hepatitis B and C), are known to insert genetic material into host DNA, but also interfere with cellular metabolism and immune regulation. Chronic infections increase systemic inflammation, alter redox homeostasis, and burden the immune and endocrine systems leading to elevated energetic demand and mitochondrial dysfunction. This creates a biochemical terrain favourable to anaerobic metabolism and genomic instability. Some microbial toxins directly inhibit respiratory enzymes or uncouple oxidative phosphorylation, forcing cells into glycolytic energy pathways that mirror the Warburg effect seen in cancer [31,32].

Lifestyle-related carcinogens, including smoking, alcohol, poor nutrition, and chronic psychological stress, contribute in parallel ways. Nicotine and alcohol both impair mitochondrial function and deplete antioxidant defences such as glutathione. Nutritional deficiencies particularly in magnesium, selenium, folate, and coenzyme Q10 reduce the body's ability to detoxify free radicals and maintain membrane integrity. Meanwhile, diets rich in refined sugars and industrial fats accelerate inflammation, insulin resistance, and tissue acidity, which impair cellular energy management and Favor the selection of metabolically deviant cells [33-35].

Equally important, though often underappreciated, are the effects of chronic emotional stress and trauma. Psychological stress activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and elevates cortisol and catecholamine levels, which over time suppress mitochondrial biogenesis, increase oxidative stress, and impair immune surveillance. Chronic sympathetic dominance shifts the body away from rest and repair functions toward a catabolic state of energy depletion. This systemic depletion is mirrored at the cellular level as progressive loss of mitochondrial function, membrane polarization, and regenerative capacity [36-38].

Together, these factors create an internal terrain of low voltage, oxidative chaos, and bioenergetic collapse an environment that invites cancer to take root. Before mutations become detectable, before tumours form, and even before epigenetic shifts occur, the bioelectrical and energetic matrix of the organism has already been eroded. The body is no longer able to maintain the structural and chemical relationships that support differentiated cellular life. The result is that some cells revert to primitive, unicellular behaviors in an attempt to survive a survival that manifests as cancer [39].

From this view, cancer is not simply caused by external insults, but by the system's failure to compensate for them. The energetic model emphasizes that the effect of carcinogens is first to reduce vital energy, disrupt molecular coherence, and collapse cellular self-regulation. The cancer cell, then, is a symptom of an ecosystem that has lost its inner stability not a mutant, but a messenger from the body's energetic ground state.

### Psychological Conflict and the Genesis of Cancer

Understanding the link between psychological trauma and cancer requires a systemic and integrative perspective. In the holistic model previously outlined, we established the correlation between unresolved psychological conflict, blocked phases of stress, and the subsequent manifestation of disease. In this chapter, we focus specifically on cancer and address a central question: why does the same organ manifest different types of cancer, depending on the nature of the trauma and its interpretation? [40].

### **Specific Organ Conflict Mapping**

Every psychological conflict corresponds to a specific organ, each governed by energy centers (chakras), meridians, and associated with one of the twelve aspects of life. When this conflict remains unresolved and leads to chronic stress, the organ's function is disrupted. Over time, as vitality depletes, the unresolved conflict can lead to physiological dysfunction and eventually manifest as cancer [41-46].

Psychological Conflict	Associated Organ	Embryological Layer	Example Cancer Type
Fear of criticism	Colon	Endoderm	Adenocarcinoma
Fear of death or abandonment	Lungs	Endoderm	Bronchioloalveolar carcinoma
Separation from loved one	Heart	Ectoderm	Angiosarcoma
Sexual betrayal	Urinary bladder	Mesoderm	Transitional cell carcinoma
Feeling unsupported	Spine, bones	Mesoderm	Osteosarcoma
Project or career-related delusion	Hypophysis (pituitary)	Ectoderm	Pituitary adenoma
Fear of fainting	Pineal gland	Ectoderm	Pineoblastoma
Social humiliation	Stomach	Endoderm	Gastric adenocarcinoma
Inability to digest a situation	Esophagus	Mixed (Endo/Meso/Ecto)	Adenocarcinoma / Leiomyosarcoma / GIST

### The Following Table Summarizes this Relationship

### **Case Example: Conflict with Multiple Embryological Interpretations**

Consider the case of a man whose wife begins dating another man, yet she continues living with him. The man feels unable to "digest" the emotional trauma and cannot "vomit" the situation out he is stuck with the experience. This unresolved emotional trauma targets the esophagus [40].

The esophagus, like many organs, is composed of tissues derived from all three germ layers:

- Endoderm (inner lining/mucosa): associated with survival and internalized emotional threats. If the trauma is perceived as a threat to inner stability or survival, the resulting cancer may be adenocarcinoma [40].
- Mesoderm (muscle layer): associated with support and action. If the trauma involves lack of support or inner strength, the cancer may present as leiomyosarcoma [41].
- Ectoderm (nervous tissue of the mucosa and epithelium): associated with separation, disconnection, and perception. If the trauma is perceived as a loss of emotional or social connection, the nervous tissue is affected, and the resulting cancer may be GIST (gastrointestinal stromal tumor) [41].

### **Mechanism of Differentiation**

The reason why one organ can manifest in different types of cancer depends on three factors:

- Perception of the trauma: Is it a threat to survival, a lack of support, or disconnection? [41].
- Dominant energy polarity (male/female): determines the laterality of disease [42].
- Energetic state and vitality: determines whether the trauma becomes chronic and which germ layer is targeted [42-43].

Perception of Conflict	Affected Germ Layer	Tissue Type Involved	
Survival threat	Endoderm	Mucosa of GI tract, lungs, liver, pancreas, etc.	
Lack of support	Mesoderm	Bones, muscles, connective tissue, vessels	
Separation/ disconnection	Ectoderm	Skin, neural tissue, sensory epithelium	

**Embryological Correlation Table** 

This classification offers a new lens for diagnosis and treatment: by identifying the psychological perception and linking it to the germ layer, the specific type of cancer in an organ can be predicted and addressed not only biologically, but also energetically and emotionally [43,44].

In the case of the esophagus, if the emotional trauma was perceived primarily as a separation conflict, GIST originating from the ectodermal layer will be the most likely manifestation. If the trauma involved a deep lack of support, leiomyosarcoma may develop. This explains how the same organ can present with different cancer types depending on the individual's perception of their conflict [44,45].

### **Energetic Dissonance and Mutagenesis**

Psychological conflict does not only disrupt function; it changes the energetic information that governs cellular behavior. Each cell in the body functions as a resonant oscillator tuned to coherent bioelectrical fields. When trauma is unresolved, the emotional energy creates a dissonant field. This dissonance alters the vibrational environment around the cell, leading to disturbed ion flux, impaired membrane potential, and altered gene expression [46,47].

Scientific evidence shows that cancer cells exhibit decreased intracellular potassium and magnesium and increased sodium and calcium, which results in a reduced membrane potential [48]. The low membrane potential disrupts the electrochemical gradients that stabilize protein conformation and gene regulation [49]. Abnormal

ion exchange and loss of intracellular coherence contribute to increased mutagenic risk and epigenetic dysregulation [50,51].

Cope's work demonstrated that injured cells lose their structured water layers, leading to protein misfolding and a shift in proteinwater interactions [52]. These changes affect the nuclear matrix, enzyme activity, and DNA repair systems. As membrane and cytoplasmic proteins move into pathological conformations, they lose their preferential binding with potassium and magnesium and instead accumulate sodium and water conditions found in cancerous tissue [53].

In a cancer cell, hypoxia, acidosis, and energetic depletion further impair mitochondrial respiration, lowering ATP production and reinforcing a shift to anaerobic metabolism (the Warburg effect) [54,55]. This metabolic reprogramming is not just a downstream effect of mutation it is triggered and reinforced by the altered electrochemical and energetic context of the tissue [56].

Ultimately, unresolved psychological trauma alters the electromagnetic field around the cell, shifting ionic balance and metabolic behavior. This leads to epigenetic and sometimes genetic mutation, forming the energetic groundwork upon which cancer can take root [57,58].

### A New Integrative Therapeutic Paradigm

If we understand cancer not as a genetically programmed disease, but as the final stage of a prolonged energetic crisis initiated by unresolved psychological conflict, the entire therapeutic approach must be reconsidered. In this view, the initial trigger is not external but internal: a traumatic experience that could not be processed. The unresolved psychological conflict leads to a state of energetic dissonance a breakdown in electromagnetic coherence, vibrational harmony, and wave interference patterns within the organism. This disrupts cellular regulation at the bioelectrical level and collapses the structured energetic field that sustains tissue identity and function [40-42].

As this dissonance persists, it results in chronic stress, emotional instability, and maladaptive coping strategies. The individual, unable to find inner balance, turns to temporary relief in behaviors that further deplete energy and cellular integrity: smoking, alcohol, drug use, compulsive sex, or erratic eating habits [36-39]. These behaviors are not root causes but maladaptive compensations attempt to drown out the internal dissonance and silence the unresolved trauma.

Meanwhile, environmental carcinogens including chemical pollutants, processed foods, heavy metals, pesticides, electromagnetic pollution, and infectious agents act as accelerants. But they do not affect the body randomly. Instead, they strike at the most energetically vulnerable organs those already compromised by conflict and dissonance [25-28, 31-33]. Thus, a chemical toxin that might be neutralized in a coherent, high-energy organism may trigger disease in one that is already in energetic collapse.

Ultimately, cancer manifests where all layers of defense have failed. The psychological conflict weakens the energetic field; the maladaptive behaviors and environmental insults erode cellular resilience; and the cancer forms at the intersection of emotional trauma, energetic collapse, and toxic exposure. In this paradigm, the tumor is not the enemy it is the body's desperate adaptation, a survival mechanism expressing a profound inner imbalance. Citation: Nader Butto (2025) From War to Compassion-A New Paradigm in Understanding Cancer. Journal of Oncology Research Reviews & Reports. SRC/JONRR-202. DOI: doi.org/10.47363/JONRR/2025(6)182

### A Five Layered Therapeutic Strategy

Reversing cancer from this perspective requires a multilevel approach that addresses the root energetic disturbance and rebuilds coherence throughout the system:

- 1. **Psychological Integration:** Using techniques like Energy Emotional Washout, FEEL (Fast Emotional Elaboration and Liberation) and TTRT (Trans Temporal Regression Therapy), the unresolved trauma must be accessed and consciously processed [40-42]. These methods help the individual reframe the experience, discharge stored emotional energy, and close the psychoenergetic loop that sustains cellular dissonance.
- 2. Energetic Cleansing and Balancing: The vibrational and electromagnetic fields of the body are real and measurable [57, 58]. Techniques such as acupuncture, pulsed electromagnetic therapy (PEMF), and biophoton field harmonization help restore wave coherence across tissues and between organs.
- 3. Behavioral Reprogramming: The self-destructive behaviors that emerged as coping mechanisms must be replaced by life-affirming habits. This includes detoxification from alcohol, drugs, and processed foods; establishing sleep regularity; sexual restraint; and the cultivation of stable daily rhythms supported by conscious intention and mindfulness [36-39].
- 4. Bioenergetic Nutrition and Supplementation: A targeted nutritional program supports membrane potential, mitochondrial respiration, and redox balance. Essential components include:
- Magnesium, selenium, zinc, and folate for membrane repair [35].
- Coenzyme Q10 and alpha-lipoic acid for mitochondrial energy [35].
- Structured water (EZ water), naturally derived from vegetable juices, raw living foods, and infrared light exposure to rebuild the intracellular water matrix [15, 21, 57].
- Ozone therapy, which enhances mitochondrial oxygen utilization, boosts antioxidant capacity, modulates immune response, and has been shown to selectively inhibit cancer cell metabolism by increasing oxidative stress in hypoxic tumor environments [59].

### **Spiritual Alignment and Purpose Activation**

Healing requires meaning. When the patient reconnects to a deep existential purpose, the entire system realigns. Practices such as heart-focused meditation, connection with nature, prayer, and guided spiritual inquiry help re-center the soul's vibration and restore resonance with life.

This paradigm does not reject conventional treatments it reframes them as tools that must be integrated into a broader field of care. Chemotherapy, radiation, and surgery may be used to reduce tumor burden, but healing requires energetic reconnection and psycho-spiritual rebirth.

In the final analysis, cancer is not simply a disease to be fought it is a message to be decoded, a threshold to be crossed, and an invitation to evolve. The true cure lies not in destroying the tumor, but in restoring the symphony of life that once held the body in harmony.

### Discussion

The dominant biomedical narrative surrounding cancer has long emphasized a militaristic framework: cancer as an invader, the immune system as the defender, and medicine as the arsenal of weapons. While this approach has yielded important advances particularly in early detection, surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation it has also constrained our vision. It has framed cancer primarily as a genetic anomaly and overlooked the broader terrain in which the disease takes root.

This paper proposes a fundamental shift: from mutation to metabolism, from battle to balance, from enemy to messenger. The convergence of metabolic, epigenetic, biophysical, and psychoenergetic evidence suggests that cancer is not merely a result of damaged DNA, but of disturbed energy. It is a bioenergetic failure, a regression of cellular function in response to prolonged energetic, psychological, and environmental stress.

The implication is profound: if cancer is rooted in a collapse of coherence at the molecular, cellular, tissue, and emotional levels then the restoration of coherence must be central to treatment. Rather than focusing exclusively on tumor eradication, we must restore the conditions that allow the body to self-regulate, regenerate, and reclaim harmony.

This expanded view does not reject existing oncological tools. Instead, it proposes their integration into a layered, person-centered model one that addresses not only the tumor, but the terrain; not only the mutation, but the meaning; not only the physiology, but the psycho-spiritual context of the patient.

Within this integrative framework, therapies such as FEEL, Energy Emotional Washout, nutritional mitochondrial support, biophotonic balance, and ozone therapy are no longer alternative they are foundational. They treat the field in which cancer arises and persists.

Moreover, recognizing the psychoemotional roots of energetic depletion compels us to reframe cancer as a wake-up call not a punishment, but an opportunity. A signal from the soul through the soma, asking us to revisit pain, reconnect with purpose, and reweave the threads of coherence in our lives.

### Conclusion

Cancer, when viewed through the lens of energy, coherence, and consciousness, reveals itself not as an isolated enemy to be destroyed, but as a distorted echo of life's intelligence trying to adapt under hostile conditions.

To truly heal cancer is to shift from a paradigm of combat to one of compassion. We must treat not just the disease, but the disconnection from one's soul, one's story, and the resonant fields of health. The cancer cell is not just malfunctioning it is misunderstood. It reflects a breakdown of communication, energy, and memory.

The way forward is integration: of science and spirit, medicine and meaning, biology and biography. When we address the psychological trauma, restore cellular energy, detoxify the terrain, and reactivate purpose, we are not just treating cancer—we are transforming the human being.

Let us retire the metaphor of war and embrace the metaphor of music. Cancer is a disharmony. Healing is not destruction it is the return to resonance.

### References

- 1. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (2000) The hallmarks of cancer. Cell 100: 57-70.
- 2. Seyfried TN (2012) Cancer as a Metabolic Disease: On the Origin, Management, and Prevention of Cancer. Wiley.
- 3. Di Gregorio E, Israel S, Staelens M, Tankel G, Shankar K, et al. (2022) The distinguishing electrical properties of cancer cells. Cancer Letters 540: 215764.
- 4. Warburg O (1956) On the origin of cancer cells. Science 123: 309-314.
- 5. Pienta KJ (2008) Cancer as a chaotic system. Nature Reviews Cancer 8: 362-370.
- 6. Marusyk A, Almendro V, Polyak K (2012) Intra-tumour heterogeneity: a looking glass for cancer? Nature Reviews Cancer 12: 323-334.
- 7. Holohan C (2013) Cancer drug resistance: an evolving paradigm. Nature Reviews Cancer 13: 714-726.
- 8. (2024) International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). GLOBOCAN 2022: Global Cancer Statistics. World Health Organization.
- Jones PA, Baylin SB (2002) The fundamental role of epigenetic events in cancer. Nature Reviews Genetics 3: 415-428.
- Davies PCW, Lineweaver CH (2011) Cancer tumors as Metazoa 1.0: tapping genes of ancient ancestors. Physical Biology 8: 015001.
- 11. Peto R (1975) Cancer and ageing in mice and men. British Journal of Cancer 32: 411-426.
- Abegglen LM (2015) Potential mechanisms for cancer resistance in elephants and comparative cellular response to DNA damage in humans. JAMA 314: 1850-1860.
- 13. Speakman JR (2008) The evolution of body size, energy metabolism and longevity in mammals. Journal of Experimental Biology 211: 1574-1589.
- Caldwell JC (1976) Toward a restatement of demographic transition theory. Population and Development Review 2: 321-366.
- 15. Pollack GH (2013) The Fourth Phase of Water: Beyond Solid, Liquid, and Vapor. Ebner and Sons.
- Watson JD, Crick FHC (1953) Molecular structure of nucleic acids: A structure for deoxyribose nucleic acid. Nature 171: 737-738.
- 17. Saenger W (1984) Principles of Nucleic Acid Structure. Springer-Verlag.
- 18. Alberts B, Johnson A, Lewis J (2014) Molecular Biology of the Cell (6th ed.). Garland Science.
- 19. Yakovchuk P, Protozanova E, Frank Kamenetskii MD (2006) Base-stacking and base-pairing contributions into thermal stability of the DNA double helix. Nucleic Acids Research 34: 564-574.
- 20. Hinkle PC (2005) P/O ratios of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Bioenergetics 1706: 1-11.
- 21. Pollack GH (2013) The Fourth Phase of Water: Beyond Solid, Liquid, and Vapor. Ebner and Sons.
- 22. Murata M (1996) ATP depletion alters chromatin structure and histone modifications. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 271: 29302-29307.
- 23. Zeman MK, Cimprich KA (2014) Causes and consequences of replication stress. Nature Cell Biology 16: 2-9.
- 24. Semenza GL (2010) HIF-1: mediator of physiological and pathophysiological responses to hypoxia. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology 26: 435-462.
- 25. Valko M (2006) Free radicals and antioxidants in normal

physiological functions and human disease. The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology 39: 44-84.

- 26. Klaunig JE (2011) Oxidative stress and oxidative damage in carcinogenesis. Toxicologic Pathology 39: 48-60.
- 27. Franco R (2008) Oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and cancer: Mitochondria as targets for cancer therapy. Journal of Signal Transduction 1-13.
- Yakymenko I (2016) Oxidative mechanisms of biological activity of low-intensity radiofrequency radiation. Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine 35: 186-202.
- 29. Hardell L, Carlberg M (2015) Increasing incidence of thyroid cancer in the Nordic countries, focusing on papillary cancer and the role of increased diagnostic activity. BMC Cancer 15: 539.
- 30. Blank M, Goodman R (2009) Electromagnetic fields stress living cells. Pathophysiology 16: 71-78.
- 31. Zur Hausen H (2009) The search for infectious causes of human cancers: Where and why. Virology 392: 1-10.
- 32. Damania B (2007) DNA tumor viruses and oncogenesis. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 17: 49-54.
- 33. Newsholme P (2012) Nutrient regulation of insulin secretion and action. Journal of Endocrinology 216: R1-R17.
- Calder PC (2006) Polyunsaturated fatty acids and inflammation. Prostaglandins, Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty Acids 75: 197-202.
- Hagar HH (2016) Coenzyme Q10 and selenium supplementation ameliorate cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity via modulation of oxidative stress and energy metabolism. Biological Trace Element Research 172: 179-187.
- Picard M, McEwen BS (2018) Psychological stress and mitochondria: A systematic review. Psychosomatic Medicine 80: 141-153.
- Bauer ME, Teixeira AL (2019) Inflammation in psychiatric disorders: what comes first? Current Psychiatry Reports 21: 57.
- Thayer JF (2010) A meta-analysis of heart rate variability and neuroimaging studies: implications for heart rate variability as a marker of stress and health. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 33: 81-88.
- Sapolsky RM (2004) Why Zebras Don't Get Ulcers: The Acclaimed Guide to Stress, Stress-Related Diseases, and Coping. Holt Paperbacks https://www.scirp.org/reference/ referencespapers?referenceid=670241.
- Butto N (2019) Four Phases of Life and Four Stages of Stress: A New Stress Theory and Health Concept. Int J Psychiatr Res 2: 1-7.
- 41. Butto N (2019) Psychological Conflict and Physical Illness: A New Mind–Body Model. Int J Psychiatr Res 2: 1-10.
- 42. Butto N (2019) Integration between Psychology and Spirituality: A New Paradigm for the Essence and the Nature of the Psyche. Int J Psychiatr Res 2: 1-8.
- 43. Butto N (2014) The Seven Universal Principles and the Seventh Sense: A New Paradigm for Future's Medicine. Author House.
- 44. Butto N (2014) Unified Integrative Medicine: A Holistic Framework for Personal Growth and Spiritual Evolution. Author House.
- 45. Levin M (2007) Large-scale biophysics: Ion flows and regeneration. Trends in Cell Biology.
- Adey WR (1993) Biological effects of electromagnetic fields. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry 51: 410-416.
- Cone CD, Tongier M (1973) Control of somatic cell mitosis by simulated changes in the transmembrane potential level. Oncology 27: 368-375.

- 48. Fraser FW, Piranian KB (1952) The role of membrane potential in maintaining cellular structure. Biophysical Journal 2: 143-151.
- 49. Berridge MJ, Bootman MD, Roderick HL (2003) Calcium signalling: dynamics, homeostasis and remodelling. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 4: 517-529.
- 50. Szent Györgyi A (1978) The living state and cancer. Physiology and Biochemistry 34: 67-75.
- 51. Cope FW (1975) A review of the applications of the hypothesis of long-range coherence to cancer. Physiological Chemistry and Physics 7: 343-360.
- 52. Cifra M, Fields JZ, Farhadi A (2011) Electromagnetic cellular interactions. Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology 105: 223-246.
- 53. Semenza GL (2010) HIF 1: mediator of physiological and pathophysiological responses to hypoxia. Journal of Applied Physiology 88: 1474-1480.
- 54. Gatenby RA, Gillies RJ (2004) Why do cancers have high aerobic glycolysis? Nature Reviews Cancer 4: 891-899.

- 55. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation Cell 144: 646-674.
- Oschman JL (2003) Energy medicine in therapeutics and human performance. Butterworth-Heinemann. https://www. sciencedirect.com/book/9780750654005/energy-medicinein-therapeutics-and-human-performance.
- 57. Popp FA, Beloussov LV (2003) Integrative Biophysics: Biophotonics. Kluwer Academic Publishers. https://link. springer.com/book/10.1007/978-94-017-0373-4.
- 58. Oschman JL (2003) Energy medicine in therapeutics and human performance. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- 59. Popp FA, Beloussov LV (2003) Integrative Biophysics: Biophotonics. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Bocci V (2005) Scientific and medical aspects of ozone therapy. State of the art. Archives of Medical Research 36: 425-435.

**Copyright:** ©2025 Nader Butto. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.