Follow-Up Strategies in Focal Liver Lesions and Treatment Methods
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ABSTRACT
Today, advances in cross-sectional imaging have led to the detection and early recognition of incidental/focal liver lesions (FCL). In approximately 17,000 cases of chest CT, incidental liver lesions were found in 6% [1]. In general, FCL consists of hepatocytes, biliary epithelium, mesenchymal tissue, connective tissue, or metastasized cells from distant sites. Most incidental lesions are benign, some may require careful management and treatment. In evaluating the lesion, the patient's clinical history, underlying disease and age factor should be considered. FCL can be detected at a rate of 10-30% in normal healthy and chronic liver disease patients, and even in oncology patients with malignancy, FCLs can be highly benign (50-80%).
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Introduction
Most incidental lesions are benign, some may require careful management and treatment. In evaluating the lesion, the patient's clinical history, underlying disease and age factor should be considered. Early diagnosis and treatment should be decided whether it is necessary or not. Factors affecting this decision are the presence of other diseases, laboratory and radiological data. The characteristics of the lesion (size, margin, growth, etc.) should be determined and follow-up should be planned.

Diagnosis of FCLs
Ultrasonography (USG):
It is the most commonly used diagnostic method. It is a great advantage that it is non-invasive and easy to apply. However, the diagnosis of FCLs can sometimes be inaccurate, as it depends on the operator and the ultrasound device. Therefore, USG should be used as a screening test, and advanced tests such as CT, MR, Elastography, CEUS, and PET should also be used for the definition of the lesion when necessary [2,3,4].

Computed Tomography
The most important disadvantages are that it contains X-rays (increased risk of malignancy due to ionizing radiation exposure) and requires iodinated contrast material (allergic reaction and contrast nephropathy). Radiation exposure limits its use in pregnant women and children. In multiphasic studies, patients who will benefit from these protocols should be carefully selected because the dose is increased. Renal function tests should be checked before contrast is given, and iodinated contrast material should not be used in patients with stage 3-4 CRF [4,5].

MR Imaging
Does not include X-rays. It is contraindicated in patients with a pacemaker. Claustrophobic patients may not tolerate it. Gadolinium-containing contrast agents, which are more reliable than iodinated contrast agents, are used. However, the use of contrast material with gadolinium is contraindicated in patients with stage 4-5 CRF (Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis). Dynamic studies, especially with hepatocyte-specific contrast agents (Gadobenic acid/Gd-BOPTA, gadoxic acid/Gd-EOB-DTPA) help in the diagnosis by showing the contrast enhancement patterns of the lesions.

CEUS (Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF6) Microbubble Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasonography)
It is stated that it can be the second examination in the differential diagnosis of benign lesions, especially after ultrasound [6-8]. CT and MRI contrast agents are contraindicated, as are patients with renal impairment and those with known allergic reactions to CT/MRI contrast agents. CEUS will be used in more and more diagnoses in the future.

Elastography
When a focal liver lesion is detected in the liver, the clinician usually chooses the next examination, should be determined and determine the appropriate method. A wrong application also negatively affects the treatment and patient prognosis. In 2014, ACG (American College of Gastroenterology) published a guideline [9-11]. Apart from that, there are some guidelines. The differential diagnosis, especially between benign and malignant...
Follow-up is not recommended. If the lesion is <1 cm, it is generally
little useful in the ability to distinguish between benign and malignant
lesions, hepatocellular carcinoma and liver metastases, and in
follow-up after percutaneous therapy. In the future, elastography
will be used more often.

Dual Energy CT (DECT)
If the patient has an unclear lesion, it may be preferred over
conventional CT MRI [12-14].

PET/CT and PET/MR
In lesions >1 cm, it may eliminate the need for biopsy [13].
When a focal lesion is detected in the liver, risk factors should be
considered first. Risk factors can be low or high grade [3-7].
These risk factors are very useful in the diagnosis and prognosis of
the lesion (Scheme 1 and 2).
1) Low risk factors;
   - Absence of malignancy
   - Absence of hepatic disease (hepatitis, PSC, ACH, NASH, hemochromatosis, anabolic steroid use, genetic disease, etc.)
   - Young age
   - If there are no symptoms, the risk of malignancy is low.
2) High risk factors; If the person
   - Cirrhosis of the Liver
   - Presence of hepatic disease other than liver cirrhosis (NASH, alcoholism, viral, metabolic, anabolic steroid use, glycogen storage
disease, PSK, hereditary disease)
   - Having a known malignant disease
   - Advanced age
   - History of estrogen or other drug use
   - If there are features such as travel history (parasitic diseases), the
   probability of the detected lesion being malignant increases.
   Lesions detected in the liver can be benign or malignant.
1) Benign lesions in the liver
   - Hepatic hemangioma
   - FNH
   - Hepatic adenoma
   - Hepatic cysts
   - Biliary hamartoma
   - Abscess may be in the form of Mesenchymal hamartoma.
2) Malignant lesions; HCC can be in the form of
Cholangiocarcinoma, other liver malignancies and metastatic
lesions.

Follow-Up of The Lesion
When a lesion is detected in the liver;
   - Does it pose a risk for the patient in the future?
   - Is it possible to differentiate between benign and malignant?
   - Does the lesion cause complications (bleeding, etc.)?
parameters such as
If the lesion is <5 mm in diameter and there are no risk factors,
follow-up is not recommended. If the lesion is <1 cm, it is generally
benign. It may be cyst, hemangioma, biliary hamartoma. Difficult
to characterize and biopsy, clinical follow-up is recommended. If
the lesion is >1 cm, the lesion should be investigated.

Hepatic hemangiomas (HH)
It is the most common/common, benign liver lesion. It can be
diagnosed at any age; Most of these lesions (up to 80%) are
between 30-50 years of age, more common in women (3: 1) and
mostly solitary, however, sometimes more than one hemangioma
may be present in the liver [1, 10-12]. Small hemangiomas usually
appear homogeneous, but larger hemangiomas (>4 cm) may appear
heterogeneous. They are generally asymptomatic and have a good
prognosis. Massive hemangiomas can sometimes cause abdominal
pain and discomfort with pressure on neighboring organs [13,14].
In small HH suspicious lesions less than 3 cm, follow-up should
be done after 6 months. For lesions larger than 3 cm, annual
or biennial follow-up is recommended. If Kasabach-Merritt
syndrome and symptomatic hemangioma are present, treatment
should be prompt.
In hemangioma, growth of <3 mm per year, follow-up is not
required. Contrast-enhanced MRI should be preferred for growth
>3 mm per year. If it is stable in 6-12 months, there is no need
for follow-up. However, if the growth is >3 mm per year, the
council (gastroenterologist/hepatologist, hepatobiliary surgeon)
is evaluated for surgery. Only in symptoms that tend to grow
more than 3 cm per year or lesions greater than 10 cm in diameter
should intervention be considered. Indications for surgery are: It is
performed in cases such as a) rupture with intraperitoneal bleeding,
b) massive hemangiomas causing symptoms, and c) inability to
exclude malignancy on imaging. Small hepatic hemangiomas are
less likely to develop complications during pregnancy or oral
contraceptive drug (OCA) use. Conservative monitoring in
pregnancy is recommended for patients with large tumors, but
the presence of hemangioma is not a contraindication for OCA.
We do not recommend contraception in asymptomatic female
patients who wish to become pregnant. During pregnancy, routine
liver ultrasound is not recommended. Estrogen may affect lesion
growth, but the risk of lesion rupture is similar for pregnant and
non-pregnant women. In these patients, acute abdominal pain; may
indicate thrombosis or intratumoral hemorrhage. By stretching the
Glisson’s capsule; in acute thrombosis; There may be fever and
changes in LFT. Rarely, there may be secondary hemobilia due
to the opening of the biliary tract.

Treatment Methods
- surgical enucleation that preserves the parenchyma,
- intra-arterial embolization or radioactive irradiation,
- It is in the form of liver transplantation.
In a series of 1185 cases, complications in enucleation were found
to be quite low [14]. If a giant hemangioma (> 10 cm) and/or
bleeding is present, transcatheter arterial embolization can be
performed to reduce the lesion size before elective surgery.
The prognosis is generally quite good, with most lesions remaining
asymptomatic and without complications. In a study of 76
asymptomatic patients, none of the patients developed symptoms
or complications during a mean follow-up of 92 months [12].
Rupture risk is very rare and there is no relationship between
hemangioma size and rupture risk.

Focal nodular hyperplasia (FNH) is a benign lesion with a central
scar and a proliferation of surrounding hyperplastic hepatocytes.
It is seen in the 2nd frequency among the benign lesions of the
liver. FNH occurs in intrahepatic arteriovenous malformation as a
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local hyperplastic response to increased blood flow. Angiopoietin genes (ANGPT1 and ANGPT2) are implicated in etiopathogenesis. Typically, FNH is solitary and is more common in women. It is divided into two as inflammatory and telangiectatic [15-16].

In a large series of patients referred for ultrasound or contrast-enhanced CT, the prevalence of FNH was found to be 0.2–1.6% [1]. Routine follow-up imaging is not recommended for asymptomatic patients with FNH because of low/slow growth risk or low complications. CEUS (Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography), CT or MR can diagnose FNH almost 100% with typical imaging (Picture 1) [10,11]. For FNH, follow-up is not necessary unless there is underlying vascular liver disease.

Discontinuation of oral contraceptives and other estrogen-containing drugs should not be insisted upon. Pregnancy is not contraindicated in these patients. Women with FNH who continue to take these drugs should have follow-up imaging every 6-12 months. In enlarged and symptomatic cases, embolization and resection are performed. If there is no growth and no symptoms, no treatment is required.

Hepatic adenomas (HA)

It is an uncommon, solid, benign liver lesion. Hepatic adenomas consist of hepatocytes, do not contain the portal vein, central vein and bile duct, and are distinguished from FNH with this feature. In young women, it is associated with the use of estrogen-containing drugs. Patients with glycogen storage disease or metabolic syndrome are at higher risk of developing HA [13].

There are 4 subtypes of FNH:

A) Hepatocyte nuclear factor – 1α (HNF-1α) inactivated hepatic adenomas (30-40%)
B) Inflammatory hepatic adenomas (40-55%)
C) β-catenin activated hepatic adenomas (10-20%)
D) Unclassifiable (5-10%). They do not have the typical clinical or imaging appearances.

Inflammatory adenomas should be followed up because of the risk of bleeding. The risk of malignancy is higher in β-catenin-activated adenomas. Inflammatory hepatic adenomas appear strongly hyperintense on T2-weighted MRI, which may be diffuse or margin-like (Atoll sign) at the periphery of the lesion (12). Normally, a follow-up of 2 years at 6 months intervals is recommended.

Mutations of catenin β1 (CTNNB1) in Exon 3 (coding for β-catenin) occur in 10-15% of hepatic adenomas. These are associated with a higher risk of malignant transformation. In contrast, in a subset of HA (5-10%), two hot spots in exons 7 and 8 are associated with CTNNB1 mutations and do not increase the risk of malignancy. These variants of hepatic adenoma do not have typical imaging features and may therefore be difficult to distinguish from HCC or FNH. Hepatic adenomas with catenin β1 mutations may also show contrast enhancement in the hepatobiliary phase of MRI using liver-specific contrast media.

Treatment decisions depend on gender, size, and progression. In addition to weight loss, lifestyle changes such as discontinuation of OCA should be recommended. Resection is recommended in men, regardless of size and in the presence of proven β-catenin mutation. In women, after lifestyle change, 6 months of observation is recommended, and for nodules ≥ 5 cm and those that continue to grow, resection is indicated. Lesions <5 cm in women should be re-evaluated annually, and then annual imaging should be performed [12,13].

Haemorrhagic HA that is hemodynamically unstable should be embolized and any remaining lesion on follow-up imaging is an indication for resection. In multiple HA, liver transplantation is not recommended, but may be considered in people with underlying liver disease.

Simple Liver Cyst

They are benign lesions that are not associated with the biliary tract. It is asymptomatic and detected incidentally on USG [12]. Its incidence in the community varies between 5-14% [16,17]. They can be single or multiple. Cysts should not show mural thickening, nodularity, or increased contrast on USG, CT, or MRI. Cysts seen

According to hepatocellular adenoma, they can be symptomatic in 40%. In general, it should be followed every 6-12 months. A biopsy is not required for diagnosis. If the appearance on CT is questionable, a biopsy may be required. In the study involving 30 FNH patients (34 lesions) monitored by ultrasound, 33 lesions (97%) either remained stable or decreased in size at a mean follow-up of 42 months [15].

If the diagnosis is uncertain and the person has a history of cancer, even if the lesion is small, surgical treatment is performed. If 0.5 cm of growth per year and the lesion diameter is >3-4 cm, surgical treatment is indicated. Laparoscopic / robotic liver resection has advantages such as less operative blood loss, less postoperative pain, and shorter hospital stay.

Embolization and radiofrequency ablation are not primary treatments. If the patient does not want surgery, these may come up.

The prognosis is excellent, the lesion is mostly stable or may regress over time, complications (eg bleeding) are very rare. Malignant transformation has not been reported.
between the liver and the diaphragm are different from simple hepatic cysts and are diagnosed as diaphragmatic mesothelial cysts. Typical localization and often bilobular appearance are important in the differential diagnosis. They are often asymptomatic and do not require treatment.

Treatment indications;
- symptomatic cysts
- evidence of septations
- calcification or
- if biliary cystadenoma and cystadenocarcinoma are suspected.

Surgical intervention; consists of fenestration, enucleation, aspiration, and sclerotherapy.

Hydatid Cyst in Liver: When small, they resemble simple cysts. In cysts larger than 5 cm, CT and MRI are applied in the follow-up. Laboratory tests are valuable in follow-up. Treatment is medical (Pharmaceutical, PAIR, Knitting method) or surgery [17].

Liver Abscesses
Abscesses; It can be classified as pyogenic, amebic or fungal. In cases such as cholangitis, portal phlebitis, pathogens enter through the portal venous system or biliary tract. The possibility of occult colorectal neoplasia should be considered, especially in patients diagnosed with pyogenic liver abscess due to K. pneumoniae and in the absence of apparent underlying hepatobiliary disease [18,19].

Peribiliary abscesses tend to be scattered, small and adjacent to the biliary tree; In appendicitis or diverticulitis, pathogens can cause larger lesions in the liver via the hepatic artery or portal vein (hematogenous).

Amebic abscesses are nonspecific and their frequency has decreased considerably today. USG and MRI guide the diagnosis. Treatment can be medical or surgical.

Pyogenic abscess is treated with drainage or surgery. In Nepal, in 102 patients with pyogenic liver abscess who did not have abscess drainage, the mean time to ultrasonographic resolution of abscesses <10 cm was 16 weeks, and the mean time to resolution for abscesses >10 cm was 22 weeks [19]. For patients with persistent clinical symptoms with evidence of persistent abscess following drainage intervention and antibiotic therapy, reassessment for re-drainage is required. If this is not possible, surgical intervention is indicated.

Multiple Biliary Hamartoma (Von Meyenbourg Complex)
Bile duct hamartomas are congenital malformations of the ductal plate that are not connected to the bile ducts. They are usually discovered incidentally on abdominal imaging (20). Although not of clinical significance, they may mimic disseminated small liver metastases in the cancer patient. Biliary hamartomas are typically small (5-10 mm in size) and are usually widely distributed in both lobes of the liver. On ultrasound, they appear as small hyperechoic or hypoechoic lesions and may show artifacts (comet appearance). On CT, they appear as round, oval, or irregularly shaped small cystic lesions without contrast enhancement, but sometimes thin rim enhancement may be present and therefore mimic hypovascular liver metastases.

In general; It is symptomatic and diagnosed incidentally. It is a rare, benign malformation of the intrahepatic biliary tract (15). It is usually seen as small (<15 mm), round or irregular, multiple cystic lesions located in the subcapsular regions. Very rarely, malignancy may develop. It may metastasize to the liver. They differ from Caroli’s disease (MRCP) in that they are not associated with the biliary system.

Bile duct hamartomas may rarely be very large, up to 20 cm, and may be symptomatic due to internal bleeding or pressure on adjacent structures. Among the differential diagnoses of biliary hamartomas; peribiliary cysts (predominantly in the perihilar region in patients with liver parenchymal disease), polycystic disease, and Caroli’s disease (cysts communicate with the bile ducts and are associated with bile duct abnormalities). They can also sometimes mimic liver abscesses.

Biliary cystadenoma and cystadenocarcinoma
Biliary cystadenoma is a rare, slow growing, multiloculated cystic benign tumor (21). They are slow growing neoplastic lesions originating from the bile ducts. Often – they show intrahepatic localization (85%). It is generally seen in middle-aged women and is considered premalignant. Although it develops slowly, it requires treatment with its precancerous feature. Therefore, early recognition is important. Although benign, these tumors tend to degenerate into malignant and any such tumor should be considered potentially malignant. In both cystadenoma and cystadenocarcinoma, coarse calcifications can be seen on USG and CT, but they are not a sign of benignity [16].

Bilioma
A collection of encapsulated bile of the biliary tree due to traumatic or iatrogenic causes. It appears as a collection showing unilocular, subcapsular or intraparenchymal fluid density (0-15 HU). It is localized in the gallbladder cavity or in the surrounding structures. Biliomas are treated with both percutaneous drainage and surgery.

Hepatic angiosarcoma is a rare tumor. As in patients with hemochromatosis, there is a strong association with prior exposure to carcinogens such as vinyl chloride and Thorotrast. However, in the majority, the tumor is idiopathic. Pathologically, angiosarcoma presents as large, solitary masses or multiple tumor nodules of varying size containing multiple vascular channels. Therefore, they should be followed regularly [12].

Epithelioid hemangioendothelioma (EHE)
is a rare tumor of vascular origin, not to be confused with infantile hemangioendothelioma, which is a very different tumor. These hepatic tumors are characterized by multiple, peripherally based lesions that gradually become confluent masses. In addition to the unusual peripheral liver distribution, an important characteristic feature is the presence of capsular retraction due to fibrosis and scarring. Follow-up should be done with MRI or CT at regular intervals [12].

Regenerative nodules develop in response to liver injury, consist of proliferation of hepatocytes and surrounding stroma. Typically, they occur in liver cirrhosis.

Dysplastic nodules (DN)
Differentiation of dysplastic nodules from HCC must be supported by radiological and several parameters (trabecular irregularity, increased nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio) and immunohistological markers. The DN is typically hypovascular or iso-vascular to the liver during the arterial phase and isoechogenic to the liver in later phases. Better DN diagnosis can be obtained in patients with cirrhosis evaluated with Gd-EOB-DTPA MRI ( ). AASLD practice guidelines recommend repeat ultrasound examination after 3 months for new nodules <1 cm. Diagnostic studies are
recommended only for new nodules >1 cm. Overall, there is still no definitive answer as to whether a much earlier diagnosis will mean a better outcome [12].

**Malignant Incidental Lesions**

HCC develops against the background of chronic liver disease. It occurs frequently in Asian and Mediterranean countries, and develops on the background of chronic liver disease in Europe. AFP (AFP, AFP-L3, DCP) follow-up is important, but its sensitivity is 60% and specificity is 80%. Persistently rising AFP is important. AFP elevation is not specific for HCC, and may be elevated in acute/chronic viral hepatitis and decompensated liver diseases, pregnancy, ovarian Tm, and gastric cancer. In non-cirrhotic HCC, the diagnosis needs to be confirmed by biopsy. New biomarkers, e.g. MicroRNA panels or exosome-derived proteins may be promising in the future diagnosis of HCC [22-29].

In HCC, lesions <1 cm: (AASLD) recommends repeat ultrasound examination after 3 months for new nodules smaller than 1 cm. Diagnostic studies are recommended only for new nodules > 1 cm. With FNAB, the correct diagnosis is made between 82 and 87%. In the absence of diagnostic uncertainty or cirrhosis, a biopsy is required to confirm preoperative HCC. AFP level is also important [22].

Control-follow-up is done with USG at 6-month intervals. 6 months interval is due to the doubling time of the tumor (mean 117 days, 29-398 days). If the lesion is <1 cm, CT and MRI should not be the primary follow-up for the diagnosis of HCC. Contrast EUS (Contrast-enhanced ultrasound) is applied in suspicious cases [22].

**Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)**

HCC develops against the background of chronic liver disease. It occurs frequently in Asian and Mediterranean countries, and develops on the background of chronic liver disease in Europe. AFP (AFP, AFP-L3, DCP) follow-up is important, but its sensitivity is 60% and specificity is 80%. Persistently rising AFP is important. AFP elevation is not specific for HCC, and may be elevated in acute/chronic viral hepatitis and decompensated liver diseases, pregnancy, ovarian Tm, and gastric cancer. In non-cirrhotic HCC, the diagnosis needs to be confirmed by biopsy. New biomarkers, e.g. MicroRNA panels or exosome-derived proteins may be promising in the future diagnosis of HCC [22-29].

Control-follow-up is done with USG at 6-month intervals. 6 months interval is due to the doubling time of the tumor (mean 117 days, 29-398 days). If the lesion is <1 cm, CT and MRI should not be the primary follow-up for the diagnosis of HCC. Contrast EUS (Contrast-enhanced ultrasound) is applied in suspicious cases [22].

**Metastatic lesions**

The liver is a common site for metastasis from solid tumors, and patients with a history of malignancy are at higher risk for metastatic disease. When the lesion is detected, features such as its margin, echopattern, size, growth pattern are investigated. Metastatic lesions may appear as hypo, iso and hyperechoic [12].

Liver Biopsy should be performed for the differential diagnosis of primary or metastatic Liver Tm.

In the follow-up of the lesion:

- a) The size of the lesion
- b) The edge of the lesion
- c) Development pattern of the lesion
- d) Complex structure of the lesion according to its homogeneity
- e) Diversity of the lesion
- f) Localization of the lesion
- g) Criteria such as lesion growth pattern should be examined.
- h) The diagnosis rate in metastatic liver lesions has been increasing in recent years.

**Cholangiocarcinoma**

It constitutes 20% of primary liver tumors (30). It arises from biliary epithelial cells. Its frequency has been increasing in recent years (31). Biopsy, MRCP, CT, ERCP, tumor markers guide the diagnosis. Since the symptoms are detected late, the diagnosis may also be late. It starts from the intrahepatic and spreads to the peri hilar and extrahepatic locations. The presence of primary sclerosing cholangitis, liver cirrhosis, choledochal cyst, cholelithiasis is a risk factor for CC.

**Hepatic Lymphoma**

Primary hepatic lymphoma (PHL) is a rare form of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) that mainly involves the liver, as opposed to a predominant lymph node or spleen involvement in other subtypes of NHL [32-33]. The liver is the major reticuloendothelial organ and liver involvement secondary to systemic NHL is common, such that 40% of patients with NHL have liver involvement. Most patients with PHL have vague symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, upper abdominal pain or discomfort, and about a third have structural symptoms such as fever, muscle pain, and weight loss. However, due to the low incidence of initially characteristically vague symptoms, patients with PHL often undergo extensive investigations before reaching a definitive diagnosis. The diagnosis of PHL depends on a liver biopsy, which should be compatible with lymphoma, and the absence of extrapleural lymphoproliferative involvement.

Primary hepatic lymphoma can often be confused with other space-occupying liver lesions, namely hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatic adenoma, focal hyperplasia of the liver, and hepatic hemangioma. Sometimes a hepatologist and gastroenterologist should consider the rare possibility of PHL when approaching space-occupying lesions of the liver, with the exception of hepatocellular carcinoma, which is particularly common.

**Conclusion:** Early diagnosis and treatment should be decided whether it is necessary or not. Factors affecting this decision are the presence of other diseases, laboratory and radiological data. The characteristics of the lesion (size, margin, growth, etc.) should be determined and follow-up should be planned.

In the near future, liquid biopsy techniques may hold the key to a safe and definitive diagnosis of FLL. The rapid development of artificial intelligence technology will be useful in diagnosis, differential diagnosis and follow-up in the future.
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