Journal of Physics & Optics Sciences

Review Article

Open @ Access

Field Dependant Metric for Gravitational/EM Fields and a Non-Linear Transformation for Local to Proper Space-Time World

Chandramohanan MR

Former Professor of Mathematics, Narayanaguru College of Engineering, 41/2674 (1) Sreenilayam, Manacaud P.O., Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the introduction of a metric for Gravitational Field is examined based on [4]; this can be extended to EM Fields also by change of the parameters involved (ϵ_1 , μ_1) to (ϵ_2 , μ_2) We know that $E^2 - c^2B^2$ or $E^2 - B^2$ with is an invariant quantity for the EM Fields which can be extended to gravitational fields, as done in [1]. We discuss the metric for the gravitational case and extended to the EM fields as well. The discussion of anomalous characteristic of Lorentz Transformation (LT) and the introduction of a non-linear transformation connecting Local Space-time coordinates (ct,x) with proper system ($c\tau$, x_{τ}) is continued.

*Corresponding author

Chandramohanan MR, Former Professor of Mathematics, Narayanaguru College of Engineering, 41/2674 (1) Sreenilayam, Manacaud P.O., Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India.

Received: December 19, 2023; Accepted: February 23, 2024; Published: March 11, 2024

Keywords: Notations of Classical Dynamics and Electro Dynamics

Field Dependent Metric for Gravitational Field

The matrix of LT for (ct', x', y') space to (ct, x, y) space is given by

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} & \frac{e}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} & 0\\ \frac{e}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where *e* stands for $\frac{v_0}{c}$ and v_0 is the speed of apparent relative

motion of the frames *S*, *S'* and *c* is the maximum signal velocity of the gravitational field in the discussion [1]. Similarly, *c* is signal velocity of the EM field when we consider EM Fields [2-4]. In the general case of $3\oplus 1$ dimensions, the Lorentz matrix can be given by

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} \sec \phi & \tan \phi \cos \alpha & \tan \phi \cos \beta & \tan \phi \cos \gamma \\ \tan \phi \cos \alpha & 1 + (\sec \phi - 1) \cos^2 \alpha & (\sec \phi - 1) \cos \alpha \cos \beta & (\sec \phi - 1) \cos \alpha \cos \gamma \\ \tan \phi \cos \beta & (\sec \phi - 1) \cos \alpha \cos \beta & 1 + (\sec \phi - 1) \cos^2 \beta & (\sec \phi - 1) \cos \beta \cos \gamma \\ \tan \phi \cos \gamma & (\sec \phi - 1) \cos \alpha \cos \gamma & (\sec \phi - 1) \cos \beta \cos \gamma & 1 + (\sec \phi - 1) \cos^2 \gamma \end{bmatrix}$$

implies

$$c^{2}dt^{2} - dx^{2} - dy^{2} - dz^{2} \equiv c^{2}dt'^{2} - dx'^{2} - dy'^{2} - dz'^{2} \equiv c^{2}d\tau^{2}.$$

By letting

(i)
$$\mathbf{v}_0 = \mathbf{v}_0 \left(\mathbf{i} \cos \alpha + \mathbf{j} \cos \beta + \mathbf{k} \cos \gamma \right)$$

(ii) $v_0 = a + b\tau + ... = v_0(\tau)$ and

(iii) $\tan \phi = v_0 c^{-1}$ or $\sin \phi = v_0 c^{-1}$, (two distinct cases) we see that *L* can handle accelerated motion of O' /O relative to O' /O and the *metric* is pseudo-Euclidean but not non-Euclidean as in GTR. Clearly τ is the best estimate of the absolute time.

 $= v_0 \left(\mathbf{i} \cos \omega \tau \sin \omega_0 \tau + \mathbf{j} \sin \omega \tau \sin \omega_0 \tau + \mathbf{k} \cos \omega_0 \tau \right)$

The GTR excludes the possibility of a parallel theory for the motion of mass particles and charged particles by highlighting the observation that the energy momentum tensor of EM field has a vanishing trace [5, 6]. On the other hand, the vector fields can be generalized by means of contracted tensor fields.

We have the constitutive relations $\mathbf{D}_1 = \epsilon_1 \mathbf{E}_1$ and $\mathbf{B}_1 = \mu \mathbf{H}_1$ for gravitational fields. We shall modify the vectors E1 and H1 by means of contracted tensor fields. We know that the metric in tensor calculus [7] is given by $(ds)^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (g_{ij} dx^i) dx^i = dx_j dx^j$ where g_{ij} is a (0, 2) tensor and dx_j , dx^j are the covariant and contra-variant components of the same vector.

Keeping these ideas in view, let us introduce, for the gravitational field, two reciprocal/conjugate tensors ϵ_{ij} and ϵ^{ij} so that

 $\epsilon^{i\alpha}\epsilon_{\alpha j} = \epsilon \delta^i_j$. We define $E_i = \epsilon_{i\alpha} E^{\alpha}$ and $E^i = \epsilon^{i\alpha} E_{\alpha}$ as the covariant

and contra-variant components of E.

Now $\epsilon_{ij}E^iE^j = (\epsilon_{ij}E^i)E^j = E_iE^j = |\mathbf{E}|^2$ and $\epsilon^{ij}E_iE_j = (\epsilon^{ij}E_i)E_j = E^jE_j = |\mathbf{E}|^2$ Hence $|\mathbf{E}|^2 = \epsilon_{ij}E^iE^j = \epsilon^{ij}E_iE_j$.



Citation: Chandramohanan MR (2024) Field Dependant Metric for Gravitational/EM Fields and a Non-Linear Transformation for Local to Proper Space-Time World. Journal of Physics & Optics Sciences. SRC/JPSOS/294. DOI: doi.org/10.47363/JPSOS/2024(6)233

Similarly we introduce two reciprocal/conjugate tensors μ_{ij} and μ^{ij} so that $\mu^{i\alpha}\mu_{\alpha i} = \mu \delta^i_i$ we define $H_i = \mu_{i\alpha}H^{\alpha}$ and $H^i = \mu^{ij}H_i$ as the covariant and contra-variant components of H where ϵ , μ stands for ϵ_1, μ_1 in the gravitational case and ϵ_2, μ_2 for the EM fields, such that $\epsilon \mu c^2 = 1$ where c is the maximum signal velocity of the gravitational/ EM fields.

Also $\mu_{ii}H^{i}H^{j} = (\mu_{ii}H^{i})H^{j} = H_{i}H^{j} = |\mathbf{H}|^{2}$ and

 $\mu^{ij}H_{i}H_{i} = (\mu^{ij}H_{i})H_{i} = H^{j}H_{i} = |\mathbf{H}|^{2}.$

Therefore $|\mathbf{H}|^2 = \mu_{ii}H^iH^j = \mu^{ij}H_iH_i$. Hence our tensors have the

properties that

(i) ϵ_{ij} and ϵ^{ij} are reciprocal tensors dependent on ϵ_1/ϵ_2 (ii) μ_{ij} and μ^{ij} are reciprocal tensors dependent on μ_1/μ_2

(iii) ϵ 's and μ 's are dependent on the dielectric parameter ϵ_1 and the gravitational susceptibility μ_1 , for gravitational fields and ϵ_2 , μ^2 for EM fields, such that $\epsilon \mu c^2 = 1$.

Thus, for an infinitesimal region, it is possible to replace vectorfields by means of contracted tensor fields and the metric $dE^2 - dH^2$ (with c = 1) is a metric for the gravitational field. Similarly, we can form the metric $dE^2 - dH^2$ with

 $(c=1) = \epsilon_{ii} dE^i dE^j - \mu_{ii} dH^i dH^j$ for the EM field by changing ϵ_i , and

 μ_1 to ϵ_2 and μ_2 with corresponding definitions given above.

An Anomolous Characteristic of LT The LT equations satisfy

 $c^2 \tau^2 = c^2 t'^2 - x'^2$ (2.1) $c^2 \tau^2 = c^2 t^2 - x^2$ (2.2)

Both (1) and (2) represent rectangular hyperbolas (RH). A question arises at this stage: do they represent (i) two different RH's or (ii) (ct', x') and (ct, x) are on the same RH. Relativistic arguments support (i). Let us examine the situation



Figure 1

In the figure 1 the upper RH represents equation (2.1) and it is questionable to represent equation (2.2) by the lower one RH or any other RH with axis along *ct*-axis. By using the substitution

$ct' = ct\cos h\varphi - x\sin h\varphi$	(2.3)	(<i>x'</i> axis)
$x' = x\cos h\varphi - ct\sin h\varphi$	(2.4)	(ct'axis)

the equation (2,1) becomes (2,2). But the problem is: does P' and P represent points on two different RH as shown in the figure 1 or are they represent two points on the same RH and have the same time-axis as in figure 2.



By substituting ct' by ct and x' by x in (2.1) this equation (2.1) turns to equation (2.2). But this substitution is not allowed if P (ct, x) and P'(ct', x') lies on the same RH as in figure 2 or at least on two nearby RH's with their time axis distinct but inclined to each other at a 'small' angle and intersecting at the common world point (0, 0), since ct' -axis is not perpendicular to x' -axis (see Figure 3).

This conclusion follows from the following fact (see Figure 3). Equation (2.3) represents the x' - axis and (2.4) represents the

ct'-axis. The slope of these lines is $\frac{1}{e}$ and e respectively where

 $e = \frac{v_0}{c} = \tan h \varphi$ If θ is inclination of ct' -axis with ct -axis, then

$$\tan \theta = e$$
 and slope of x-axis $= \frac{1}{e} = \cot \theta = \tan \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right)$



 $\therefore x' - axis$ has inclination $\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta$ and hence x'-axis and

ct'-axis are inclined at $\frac{\pi}{2} - 2\theta$. Since

 $\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-2\theta\right) = \cos 2\theta = \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta = \frac{1-e^2}{1+e^2}$. We have the relation between the areal elements: $cdt dx = |J| cdt dx' sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 2\theta\right) = \left(\frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e^2}\right) cdt' dx'$ where we used $|\mathbf{J}| = 1$ and $\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 2\theta\right) = \left(\frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e^2}\right) i.e.$

$$dt \, dx = \left(\frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e^2}\right) dt' dx' \tag{2.5}$$

This equation depicts the asymmetric nature of LT. As an approximation if we write $dt dx \cong dt' dx'$, then

Citation: Chandramohanan MR (2024) Field Dependant Metric for Gravitational/EM Fields and a Non-Linear Transformation for Local to Proper Space-Time World. Journal of Physics & Optics Sciences. SRC/JPSOS/294. DOI: doi.org/10.47363/JPSOS/2024(6)233

$$2c dt dx \cong 2c dt' dx' \tag{2.6}$$

Also, we have

$$c^{2}dt^{2} - dx^{2} = c^{2}dt'^{2} - dx'^{2}$$
 (2.7)

Equations (2.6) and (2.7) imply $(cdt + idx)^2 = (cdt' + idx')^2$

so that cdt = cdt' and dx = dx' or t = t' and x = x', except for an additive constant. The asymmetric nature of equation (2.5) reveals that there is no logic in stipulating that the LT represents the motion of O' relatively to O along a common *x*-axis. Figure 3 indicates that (ct, x) world point is a preferred representation to (ct', x'); the converse is true by re-drawing the figure and considering the inverse transformation. Thus, the LT implies that one or the other frame of reference is a preferred one justifying the Lorentzian interpretation of a preferred frame. Hence the relativistic conclusion that there is no preferred frame of reference, is logically invalid; so is the assumption of a common x / x'-axis. Hence figure 2 and 4 give true representation of P and P' whereas figure 1 does not.

The presence of a preferred frame indicates that we can use the proper coordinates $(c\tau, x_{\tau})$. Next, we attempt to find the relation between the proper coordinates and the local coordinates

(ct, x)/(ct', x') Since OO' = $v_0 t$ and O'O = $-v_0 t'$ their sum is not

equal to zero; this is a strange situation [8, 9]. So, we seek to find the required transformation to satisfy $OO' = v_0 \tau$ and $O'O = -v_0 \tau$

Equation (2.2) can be re-written as

$$c\tau = ct\sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{c^2 t^2}}$$

which can be re-written as

$$c\tau = ct\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}$$

where $v = \frac{x}{t}$. This is the formula time-dilation [10-12]. Also, the

formula of Fitzgerald-Larmor-Lorenz contraction [2, 13-16] is

$$L_0 = \frac{L}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$

In this equation, replace L_0 by x_{τ} , L by x and $v = \frac{x}{t}$, Thus we get

$$x_{\tau} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{c^2 t^2}}}$$

Hence the transformation we sought is

$$c\tau = \sqrt{\left|c^2t^2 - x^2\right|} \tag{2.8}$$

$$x_{\tau} = \frac{xt}{\sqrt{|c^2 t^2 - x^2|}}$$
(2.9)

The inverse transformation is given by

$$c^{2}t^{2} = \frac{1}{2}c^{2}\tau^{2}\left[\sqrt{1 + \frac{4x_{\tau}^{2}}{c^{2}\tau^{2}}} + 1\right]$$
(2.10)

$$x^{2} = \frac{1}{2}c^{2}\tau^{2}\left[\sqrt{1 + \frac{4x_{\tau}^{2}}{c^{2}\tau^{2}}} - 1\right]$$
(2.11)

Equations (2.8) to (2.11) represent the transformation between the proper system ($c\tau$, x_{τ}) and the local system (ct, x). This can be generalised to four-dimensional case by including $y_{\tau} = y$, $z_{\tau} = z$.

The geometrical representation of $(c\tau, x_{\tau})$ is as follows:



Figure 4

In figure 4 we represent the RH of equation (2.2) in the ct-x plane. Its vertex is $V(c\tau,0)$; erect the vertical at $V(c\tau,0)$, cutting the conjugate/orthogonal RH $2xct = 2x_{\tau}c\tau$ at the point $W(c\tau,x_{\tau})$. Thus, VW represents x_{τ} and OV represents $c\tau$. If we consider another point P'(ct',x') on the hyperbola of equation (2.2) we get

another point
$$W'(c\tau, x'_{\tau})$$
. Now VW' represents x'_{τ} and

$$WW = x_{\tau} - x'_{\tau} = \frac{xt - xt}{\tau}$$
. We define this quantity as $v_0 \tau$ or $xt - x't'$

 $v_0 = \frac{xt - xt}{\tau^2}$ is the relative speed of O wrt O' or vice versa.

Conclusion

It is possible to introduce field dependent contracted tensors from ϵ_{ij} , μ^{ij} to define filed vectors $\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{D}$, $\mathbf{B}|\mathbf{H}$ and the *metric* $d\mathbf{E}^2$ – $d\mathbf{H}^2$ for Gravitational/EM fields. The linear LT can be considered as a relationship between two local frames of references, whereas equations (2.8) to (2.11) give the relationship among true/proper values and their observed values in the local frames. These equations justify a general principle of fuzziness of measurements as well as the existence of a unique preferred frame viz, the proper frame of reference as suggested by H.A. Lorentz.

References

- Chandramohanan M R (2010) On Maxwell-Lorentz Equations (for a gravitational mass particle), Proceedings of the 17th NPA Annual conference at California State University, Long Beach, USA 7: 1-32.
- 2. Feynman RP, Leighton RB (1989) Feynman Lectures on physics, Addison-Wesley, New York 2: 1-566.
- 3. Griffith David J (2009) Introduction to Electro Dynamics, PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi 1-623.
- 4. Landau LD, Lifshitz E M (1975) The classical theory of fields, Pergamon Press, Oxford 1-387.
- Schute Bernard F (2002) A First Course in General Relativity, Cambridge University Press, U.K https://www.if.ufrgs.br/oei/ santiago/fis02012/FirstCourseGR.pdf

Citation: Chandramohanan MR (2024) Field Dependant Metric for Gravitational/EM Fields and a Non-Linear Transformation for Local to Proper Space-Time World. Journal of Physics & Optics Sciences. SRC/JPSOS/294. DOI: doi.org/10.47363/JPSOS/2024(6)233

- Weinberg Steven (2008) Gravitation and Cosmology, Wiley Student Edition, India, New Delhi http://lib.ysu.am/ disciplines_bk/1e79c894ea57086081172d4988a8a3ce.pdf
- Sokolnikoff I S (1964) Tensor Analysis, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York https://www.scirp.org/reference/References Papers?ReferenceID=1642514
- 8. Dingle Herbert (1971) Science at the Cross Roads, Martin Brian & O'Keefe, Lon-don 4: 358-362.
- Nordenson Harold (1969) Relativity, Time and Reality, George Allen and Unwin Ltd, Ruskin House, London https:// philpapers.org/rec/NORRTA
- Hughes W M L (2005) Michelson-Morley Revisited or Can the Fitzgerald–Lorentz contraction be real. Proceedings of the Natural Philosophy Alliance (NPA), STORRS, USA 2: 63-66.
- Muller, Francisco J (2005) A Demand for a Greater Historical Justice, Proceedings of the Natural Philosophy Alliance (NPA), STORRS, USA 2: 19-20.

- 12. Shtyrkov, Eugene I (2005) Observation of Ether Drift in Experiments with Geo-stationary Satellites, Proceedings of the Natural Philosophy Alliance (NPA), STORRS, USA 2: 201-205.
- 13. Lorentz HA (1992) Theory of Electrons. Dover Publications Inc., New York.
- 14. Lorentz H A, Einstein A, Minkowski H, Weyl H (1952) The Principle of Relativity, Dover Publications, New York 1-254.
- 15. Pauli Wolfgang (1958) Theory of Relativity, Pergamon Press, London https://philpapers.org/rec/PAUTOR
- Whittaker E T (1960) A History of the Theories of Aether and Electricity, Nelson, London, Reprinted by Harper, New York https://www.abebooks.com/book- search/title/historytheories-aether-electricity/used/book/.

Copyright: ©2024 Chandramohanan MR. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.