ISSN: 2755-0141

Japan Journal of Clinical & Medical Research

SCIENTIFIC Research and Community

Review Article

Open Access

Constitution of a Failed Antitumor Immune Response as Carcinogenesis Sustainment

Lawrence M Agius

Department of Pathology, Mater Dei Hospital, Tal-Qroqq, University of Malta Medical School, Msida, Malta Europe

ABSTRACT

The constitutive provocations of an immature DC governing enhanced tumor cell proliferation and spread constitute effective further carcinogenesis as projected by systems of tumor cell derivation and progression. The developmental dynamics of an ineffective antitumor immune response constitute the elements for further progression of neoplastic growth that derives sustained stimulation in terms of the genesis of various tumor derived growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor. The involvement of vascular leukocytes is inciting element within the encompassed derivation of a lesion whose growth and spread is actively enhanced by the immature DC and as further proposed by systems of multilayers of DC dysfunctionality.

***Corresponding author**

Lawrence M Agius, Department of pathology, Mater Dei Hospital, Tal-Qroqq, University of Malta Medical School, Msida, Malta Europe; Tel: 356-21451752; E-Mail: lawrence.agius@um.edu.mt

Received: May 10, 2021; **Accepted:** May 17, 2021; **Published:** May 24, 2021

Introduction

The distinctive biologic traits of tumor-associated dendritic cells (DC) have indicated the potential perturbations of immature DC as these relate to a series of dysfunctional states in T-cell helper modulation against the tumor. M2 polarized tumor-associated macrophages and immature DC are centrally implicated in subversion of adaptive immunity and in inflammatory circuits that enhance tumor progression [1]. The various model systems indicate also the potential generation of regulatory T cells that tend to suppress antitumor activities by DC. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells are major inhibitors of immune effector cell function in tumors, and their differentiation is shaped by the tumor microenvironment [2]. The incremental dimensions of involved DC dysfunctional states relate to a multi-layered series of abnormalities in terms that further enhance tumor cell proliferation and spread. Chronic exposure of damage-associated molecular patterns, on the other hand, activates immature DC to transition to a mature phenotype [3].

Microenvironment

The particular enhancements of dysfunctional status of tumor microenvironmental DC indicate the relative contact dynamics of DC with naïve T cells in a manner that is incumbent to the further growth of the neoplasm. In such terms, immaturity of DC appears to suppress contact constitution in relative manner to T cells in the tumor microenvironment.

It is further to such considerations that the development of a failed immune response to tumor cell antigenicity is an acquired differential attribute that provokes further suppression of antitumor immune response. Immature myeloid cells induce immunosuppression through the expression of various cytokines, inhibition of lymphocyte homing, stimulation of other immunosuppressive cells, depletion of metabolites critical to T cell function, and expression of ectoenzymes regulating adenosine metabolism, and reactive species generation [4].

Performance Dynamics

Performance dynamics are themselves incremental attributes that incriminate the disruption of differentiation processes of immature DC. It is further to such a phenomenon that potential incumbency of stimulation of T cytotoxic and helper cells varies with differential histomorphologic types of tumors, including for example ovarian epithelial tumors and breast ductal carcinomas.

It is the apparent distinctiveness of myeloid DC and of plasmacytoid DC differentiation processes that especially afflicts the dynamics of an antitumor immune response in a manner that particularly enhances suppressive regulatory T cell generation and activities. Tutors may impair antigen presentation, active negative costimulatory signals, and elaborate immunosuppressive factors [5]. In such manner, the conflicting contrasts of these two classes of DC modes of differentiation are hurdles in the generation and sustainment of an antitumor immune response. It appears significant that the vascular endothelial cell growth factor in particular inhibits differentiation of DC to a fully mature immunotype and phenotype.

Failed Immune Response

In such manner, the ongoing genesis of a failed antitumor immune response arises as an expression of growth factors in general with

the subsequent emergence of dysfunctional DC within expressly emerging tumor microenvironments. Dendritic cells otherwise play central roles in initiating, directing and regulating adaptive immune responses including tutor immunosurveillance [6].

The large populations of DC that can be generated from malignant ascitic fluids indicate the potential increments of DC within the encompassed derivative dimensions of tumors that additively enhance tumor growth rather than as a suppressing series of mechanisms against tumorigenesis. Also, immature dendritic cell/tumor cell fusions induce robust antitumor immunity. In such terms, the increments in neoplastic growth are dependent and appear to sustain the maturity status of DC functionality and response to such neoplasms [7].

Increments of Tumor Growth and Spread

Tumor growth and angiogenesis depend on the presence of immature dendritic cells and hence promoted DC maturation may both augment the host immune response to the neoplasm and also suppress tumor angiogenesis, Provocative increments are hence a deliberately equated dimension with the biologic microenvironment of a neoplasm that suppresses effectively the antitumor immune response. In such terms, ongoing derivatives of differentiating tumor cells are themselves suppressive elements in the modulation of a failed antitumor immune response. The provocative stimulatory elements in the generation of regulatory T cells would encompass particular subsets of a highly heterogeneous DC series of multilayed dysfunctions as evidenced by microenvironmental classes of cells ranging from developmental to dysfunctional abnormalities. In breast carcinoma, immature dendritic cells are found within the neoplasm, whereas mature dendritic cells are present peritumorally [8,9].

The dysfunctional axis of operative suppression of the antitumor immune response credits the ongoing process of such dysfunctionality of DC within the microenvironmental dynamics of a tumor that acquires, thus, the modulation of the immune system in terms of further carcinogenesis.

The potentiality of involvement of immature DC in carcinogenesis is beset by the tumor enhancing growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor in particular. In such terms, the ongoing neoplastic series of mechanisms arise in concert with the failed antitumor immune response in a manner that distinctively provokes the genesis of autonomous tumor cell proliferative bursts and spread of metastatic deposits within systemic organs. Macrophages undergo a broad range of polarised activation states, and can both elicit tumor and tissue destruction and to promote tumor progression; they are also key elements in connecting cancer with inflammation [10].

M2 polarisation of murine peritoneal macrophages stimulates regulatory cytokine production and inhibits T-cell proliferation. Such a paradoxical series of events in neoplastic cell proliferation and spread are descriptive models of operability that subsist to the generation of a failed antitumor immune response that arises in active participation to various models of tumor cell proliferation and spread. In such terms, the ongoing dynamics of incumbency are relative proportions to the emergence of carcinogenesis as primal derivative of the immaturity of the DC in the tumor microenvironment [11].

Dysfunctionality and Immaturity of Dendritic Cells

It is significant to view the genesis of a failed antitumor immune response as symptomatic of a series of dysfunctionalities that express directly and in multilayered manner the dysfunctionalities of DC as emerging and reemerging cellular immaturity.

Concluding Remarks

Dysfunctional and immature DC are harbingers of a failed antitumor immune response as descriptively outlined by various conceptual models of failed antitumor immune responses. The dynamics of DC immaturity are both generative and consequent motives within a tumor microenvironment that is beset by a tumor lesion that grows, proliferates and spreads as dynamics of regulatory T cell activity. In such terms, ongoing dysfunctionalities of DC immaturity come to constitute carcinogenesis events in their own right and within the abbreviated dimensions of ongoing processes of various failed contact dimensions with helper and cytotoxic T cells. In a manner of constitutive reappraisal, the dimensions of modulation of a failed antitumor immune response constitute the realization of enhanced tumor growth and spread.

References

1. Mantovani A, Sozzani S, Locati M, Allavena P, Sica A (2002) "Macrophage polarisation: tumor-associated macrophages as a paradigm for polarized M2 mononuclear phagocytes" Trends Immunol 23: 549-555.
2. Tcyganov E, Mastio J, Chen E, Gabrilovich DI (2018) "Plasticity of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in cancer" Curr Opin Immunol 51: 76-82.
3. Zhou J, Wang G, Chen Y, Wang H, Hua Y, et al. (2019) "Immunogenic cell death in cancer therapy: present and emerging inducers" J Cell Mol Med 23: 4854-4865.
4. Groth C, Hu X, Weber R, Fleming V, Altevogt P, Utikal J, et al. (2019) "Immunosuppression mediated by myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) during tumour progression" Br J Cancer 120: 16-25.
5. Rabinovich GA, Gabrilovich D, Sotomayor EM (2007) "Immunosuppressive strategies that are mediated by tumor cells" Annu Rev Immune 25: 267-296.
6. Strioga M, Schijns V, Powell DJ Jr, Pasukoniene V, Dobrovolskiene N, et al. (2013) "Dendritic cells and their role in tumor immunosurveillance" Innate Immune 19: 98-111.
7. Takeda A, Homma S, Okamoto T, Kufe D, Ohno T (2003) "Immature dendritic cell/tumor cell fusions induce potent antitumor immunity" Eur J Clin Invest 33: 897-904.
8. Fainaru O, Almog N, Yung CW, Nakai K, Montoya-Zavala M, et al. (2010) "Tumor growth and angiogenesis are dependent on the presence of immature dendritic cells" FASEB J 24: 1411-1418.
9. Bell D, Chomarat P, Broyles D, Netto G, Harb GM, et al. (1999) "In breast carcinoma tissue, immature dendritic cells reside within the tumor, whereas mature dendritic cells are located in peritumoral areas" J Exp Med 190: 1417-1426.
10. Mantovani A, Sica A, Allavena P, Garlanda C, Locati M (2009) "Tumor-associated macrophages and the related myeloid-derived suppressor cells as a paradigm of the diversity of macrophage activation" Hum Immunol 70: 325-330.
11. Oishi S, Takano R, Tamura S, Tani S, Iwaizumi M, et al. (2016) "M2 polarization of murine peritoneal macrophages induces regulatory cytokine production and suppresses T-cell proliferation" Immunology 149: 320-328.